

Errata in “Elements of Causal Inference: Foundations and Learning Algorithms”

Below, you find a collection of all typos and mistakes from our book that we know of. The part in blue is correct (hopefully!). We thank all readers who kindly sent us comments to any of these typos.

København, April 8, 2021

Jonas Peters, Dominik Janzing, and Bernhard Schölkopf

1 Not yet corrected in a new print

- page 92
We write

“the following statements are equivalent:”.

This should read

the following statements (i), (ii), and (iv) are equivalent (and each of them implies (iii)):

- page 227
We write

“We further have $(ii) \stackrel{\text{(trivial)}}{\implies} (iii)$ and that (...) the negation of a statement.”.

This should read

We further have $(ii) \stackrel{\text{(trivial)}}{\implies} (iii)$.

2 Already corrected in a new print

- page 40
We write

“where $N_X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and $N_Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_Y^2)$ ”.

This should read

where $N_X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and $N_Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$

- page 44
We write

“ $F_{Y|x}^{-1}(n_Y) := \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_{Y|x}(x) \geq n_Y\}$ ”.

The correct definition for the inverse cdf is

$$F_{Y|x}^{-1}(n_Y) := \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : F_{Y|x}(y) \geq n_Y\}.$$

- page 51
We write

“ $p(x, y) = p_{N_X}(x)p_{N_Y}(y - f_Y(x))$ ”.

It should read

$$p(x, y) = p_X(x)p_{N_Y}(y - f_Y(x)).$$

- page 51
We write

“Thus, f_X and p_{N_E} ”.

It should read

Thus, f_X and p_{N_Y}

- page 57
We write

$$“\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X} + N_{\mathbf{X}}, \quad N_{\mathbf{X}} \perp \mathbf{X},”$$

It should read

$$\mathbf{Y} = A\mathbf{X} + N_{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad N_{\mathbf{Y}} \perp \mathbf{X},$$

- page 58

We write

“ $A_{\mathbf{X}}$ for the model from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{Y} and $A_{\mathbf{Y}}$ for the model from \mathbf{Y} to \mathbf{X} .”

It should read

“ $A_{\mathbf{X}}$ for the model regressing \mathbf{X} on \mathbf{Y} and $A_{\mathbf{Y}}$ for the model regressing \mathbf{Y} on \mathbf{X} .”

- page 67

In 4.2.2., the first inequality on page 67 reads

$$“H(X) \leq H(Y),”$$

It should read

$$H(X) \geq H(Y),$$

- page 69

In Problem 4.16, part (a) reads:

“Prove that $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y | X = x]$.”

It should read:

Prove that $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y | X = x] - \mu_{N_{\mathbf{Y}}}$.

- page 83

In Definition 6.1, we write:

“neither i_k nor any of its descendants is in \mathbf{S} and”.

It should read:

neither i_k nor any of its descendants is in \mathbf{S} , i.e.,
 $(\{i_k\} \cup \mathbf{DE}_{i_k}) \cap \mathbf{S} = \emptyset$, and

(This is important for the case $\mathbf{DE}_{i_k} = \emptyset$.)

- page 84
We write

“An SCM \mathfrak{C} defines a unique distribution over the variables $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ such that $X_j = f_j(\mathbf{PA}_j, N_j)$, in distribution, for $j = 1, \dots, d$.”

It should read:

An SCM \mathfrak{C} defines a unique distribution over the variables X_1, \dots, X_d : any $X_1, \dots, X_d, N_1, \dots, N_d$ satisfying $X_j = f_j(\mathbf{PA}_j, N_j)$ almost surely, where (N_1, \dots, N_d) has the desired distribution, induce the same distribution over $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$.

(This is, admittedly, a less confusing formulation. Formally, we defined an SCM as a pair of structural equations and a d -dimensional noise distribution. An SCM does not include any $(X_1, \dots, X_d, N_1, \dots, N_d)$, which ‘enter’ only as a solution to the SCM. See [Bongers et al., 2016] for more details on SCMs including cycles and hidden variables.)

- page 134
We write:

“converges in distribution against $\mathbf{X} := (I - B)^{-1}\mathbf{N}$ ”.

It should read

converges almost surely against $\mathbf{X} := (I - B)^{-1}\mathbf{N}$

- page 174
We write:

“We have seen that there is no solely graphical criteria for”.

It should read

We have seen that there is no solely graphical criterion for

- page 175
We write:

“Although A is the more effective drug, we propose to use B .”.

It should read

Although A is the more effective treatment, we propose to use B .

- page 181
We write:

“that induces a distribution $P_{\mathbf{O},\mathbf{V}}$.”.

It should read

that induces a distribution $P_{\mathbf{O},\mathbf{H}}$.

References

- S. Bongers, J. Peters, B. Schölkopf, and J. M. Mooij. Structural causal models: Cycles, marginalizations, exogenous reparametrizations and reductions. *ArXiv e-prints (1611.06221)*, 2016.