

The Last Possible Place of Unitarity for Certain Highest Weight Modules

Hans Plesner Jakobsen*

Mathematics Institute, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø,
Denmark

Introduction

The modules in question are those corresponding to holomorphically induced representations on Hermitian symmetric spaces of the non-compact type. Specifically let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition. By assumption \mathfrak{k} has a non-trivial center $\eta = \mathbb{R} \cdot h_0$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot h_0$ where $\mathfrak{k}_1 = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$. The modules W_λ considered are determined by a pair (λ_0, λ) where λ_0 is \mathfrak{k}_1 -dominant and integral and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda)$ determines a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C})$ -module V_λ and W_λ is the irreducible quotient of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}^\mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{p}^+)} V_\lambda$, where $\mathfrak{p}^+ = \{z \in \mathfrak{p}^\mathbb{C} | [h_0, z] = iz\}$.

W_λ may be represented as a space of V_λ -valued polynomials on \mathfrak{p}^+ and the \mathfrak{g} -invariant Hermitian form on W_λ , restricted to the space of first order polynomials, depends linearly on λ . For λ sufficiently negative W_λ is unitarizable and thus, for λ_0 fixed, the smallest λ such that W_λ does not contain all first order polynomials determines the last possible place at which W_λ can be unitarizable.

This philosophy was used in [5] to prove a conjecture of Kashiwara and Vergne, in the case of $SU(p, q)$, by means of geometrical methods.

In this article our methods are algebraic. Specifically, the main tool is Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand [1, Th. 7.6.23] applied in a manner similar to, and motivated by, Shapovalov's in [8]. The technical side of this article, in fact, consists of adapting a theorem of Shapovalov to our situation.

As a corollary we prove the Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture for $Mp(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Finally we mention that after we had realized that [8] could be applied, but before having completed the proof, a preprint of an article by Enright and Parthasarathy [2] was channelled to us. In their article a criterion for unitarizability is developed and applied to give a proof of the same conjecture. Though their criterion leads to the same result, the philosophy behind it, and in particular the proof of it, is quite different from ours.

* This research was partly supported by a grant from the NSF

1. Notation

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . We assume that \mathfrak{k} has a nonempty center η ; in this case $\eta = \mathbb{R} \cdot h_0$ for an $h_0 \in \eta$ whose eigenvalues under the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are $\pm i$. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}^+ = \{z \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} | [h_0, z] = iz\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}^- = \{z \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} | [h_0, z] = -iz\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{k}_1 = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ and let \mathfrak{h} be a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{k} . Then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot h_0$, $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}_1) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot h_0$, $(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}_1)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_1^{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We let σ denote the conjugation in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to the real form \mathfrak{g} of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The sets of compact and non-compact roots of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are denoted Δ_c and Δ_n , respectively. $\Delta = \Delta_c \cup \Delta_n$. We choose an ordering of Δ such that

$$\mathfrak{p}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha},$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{g}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha},$$

and

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha.$$

Throughout β denotes the unique simple non-compact root. For $\gamma \in \Delta$ let H_{γ} be the unique element of $i\mathfrak{h} \cap [(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\gamma}, (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{-\gamma}]$ for which $\gamma(H_{\gamma}) = 2$. Then for all γ_1 in Δ

$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma \rangle = \frac{2(\gamma_1, \gamma)}{(\gamma, \gamma)} = \gamma_1(H_{\gamma}), \quad (1.1)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the bilinear form on $(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ obtained from the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ choose $x_{\alpha} \in (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\alpha}$ such that

$$[x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}^{\sigma}] = H_{\alpha}. \quad (1.2)$$

Following the notation of [7] we let γ_r denote the highest root. Then $\gamma_r \in \Delta_n^+$, and $H_{\gamma_r} \notin [\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}_1]^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Finally we let $u \rightarrow u^*$ be the antilinear antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$ that extends the map $x \rightarrow -x^{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

2. Modules

Corresponding to the decomposition

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) = (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^- \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})) \oplus \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})$$

we let, for $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$, $\gamma(u)$ denote the unique element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})$ for which $u - \gamma(u)$ is in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})\mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^- \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Let $\chi \in (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$. The Verma module M_{χ} of highest weight $\chi - \varrho$ is defined to be $M_{\chi} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})/I_{\chi-\varrho}$, where $I_{\chi-\varrho}$ is the left ideal generated by the elements $(H - \chi(H) + \varrho(H))$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and \mathfrak{g}^+ . We denote the image of 1 in M_{χ} by $1_{\chi-\varrho}$.

If Λ_0 is a dominant integral weight of \mathfrak{f}_1 and if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\Lambda = (\Lambda_0, \lambda)$ the linear functional on $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$ given by

$$\Lambda|_{(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{f}_1)^{\mathbb{C}}} = \Lambda_0, \quad \Lambda(H_{\gamma_r}) = \lambda.$$

Further we let V_{Λ} denote the irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}})$ -module of highest weight Λ . As $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}})$ -modules, clearly $V_{\Lambda} = V_{\Lambda_0}$.

The sesquilinear form B_{Λ} on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$,

$$B_{\Lambda}(u, v) = \Lambda(\gamma(v^*u)) \quad (2.2)$$

is \mathfrak{g} -invariant. We let N_{Λ} denote the kernel of B_{Λ} ;

$$N_{\Lambda} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) | \forall v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) : \Lambda(\gamma(v^*u)) = 0\}, \quad (2.3)$$

and set

$$N_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{f}) = N_{\Lambda} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}}). \quad (2.4)$$

Let $J_{\Lambda} = I_{\Lambda} + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})N_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{f})$. Since

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}^-)\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}})\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}^+),$$

and

$$M_{\Lambda+\varrho} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}^-)\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}})1_{\Lambda}$$

we have

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{p}^+)} V_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})/J_{\Lambda}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $u \in M_{\chi}$. Corresponding to the decomposition $M_{\chi} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}^-)\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}})1_{\chi-\varrho}$ we define $P^-(u)$ to be the projection of u onto $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}^-)1_{\chi-\varrho}$.

The unique irreducible quotient W_{Λ} of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{p}^+)} V_{\Lambda}$ is given as

$$W_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})/N_{\Lambda}. \quad (2.5)$$

Any \mathfrak{g} -invariant Hermitian form on W_{Λ} is proportional to B_{Λ} .

For further background information we refer to [7; Sect. 1] and [4; Sect. 2].

The situations in which $J_{\Lambda} \neq N_{\Lambda}$ are quite interesting. In point of fact, by looking at a special case where these two ideals are different, we can describe a simple condition that must be fulfilled in order to have B_{Λ} define a positive-definite inner product on W_{Λ} . For Λ_0 fixed this will be a description of the biggest λ for which W_{Λ} possibly can be unitarizable:

Let Λ_0 be fixed. The module $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{p}^+)} V_{\Lambda}$ may be thought of as a space of V_{Λ} -valued polynomials on \mathfrak{p}^+ . Consider in particular the space \mathcal{P}_1 of first order polynomials. Under the action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}^{\mathbb{C}})$, \mathcal{P}_1 breaks into a finite sum of irreducible

subspaces; $\mathcal{P}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$. For each i , B_A determines a Hermitian \mathfrak{f} -invariant form on S_i , and it is clear that this form is proportional to a fixed non-trivial such by a polynomial $(a_i\lambda + b_i)$ where $a_i \neq 0$. Let $\lambda_0 = \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \left(-\frac{b_i}{a_i} \right)$. Then: W_A unitarizable $\Rightarrow \lambda \leq \lambda_0$.

We shall describe λ_0 more precisely:

3. Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand

The celebrated theorem of Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand [1, Th.7.6.23] describes the situations in which one Verma module can be imbedded into another. A special case is the following: If χ in $(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ satisfies $\chi(H_{\alpha})=1$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, then $M_{\chi-\alpha} \subset M_{\chi}$. As was shown, among other things, by Shapovalov in [8], this leads to the following.

Proposition 3.1 ([8]). i) Let $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, $\chi \in (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$, and assume $\chi(H_{\alpha})=1$. Then there exists an element $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^-)^{-\alpha}$ such that

$$\forall \gamma \in \Delta^+ : [(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\gamma}, \Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)] \in I_{\chi-\gamma}.$$

ii) If $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ is simple, $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi) = x_{-\alpha}$, where $x_{-\alpha} = x_{\alpha}^{\sigma}$.

iii) If $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ has $\varrho(H_{\alpha}) > 1$ let $\varepsilon \in \Delta^+$ be a simple root such that $\alpha_1 = s_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \in \Delta^+$ has $\varrho(H_{\alpha_1}) < \varrho(H_{\alpha})$. If χ is integral and $\chi(H_{\varepsilon}) < 0$, $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)$ is determined by the equation

$$\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi) x_{-\varepsilon}^q = x_{-\varepsilon}^{q+p} \Theta_{\alpha_1}(\psi) \quad (3.1)$$

where $\psi = s_{\varepsilon}(\chi)$, $q = -\chi(H_{\varepsilon})$, and $p = \alpha(H_{\varepsilon})$.

iv) In any basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^-)$ the coefficients of $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)$ depend polynomially on χ . It follows that (3.1) determines $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)$ for any $\chi \in (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ with $\chi(\alpha)=1$.

Proposition 3.1 can be applied to the present situation. However, to do so needs some preparation.

4. Concerning $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbb{C} \cdot v_0$ be the subspace of highest weight in V_{Λ_0} . Any non-zero $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}})$ -invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$ contains elements with non-zero coefficients in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot v_0$.

Proof. Let M be an invariant subspace and assume M is perpendicular to $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot v_0$. Then M is perpendicular to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot (\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot v_0) = \mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$. \square

Corollary 4.2. A highest weight of $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$ is of the form $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$.

For $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ we write $z_{-\alpha}$ for the element x_{α}^{σ} defined by (1.2).

Corollary 4.3. If a highest weight $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ occurs in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$, there are elements $q_{-\mu}$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}})^{-\mu}$, $\mu \in \Delta_c^+$, such that the corresponding highest weight vector is given as

$$z_{-\alpha} \otimes v_0 + \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_c^+} z_{-\alpha+\mu} \otimes q_{-\mu} v_0.$$

Corollary 4.4. There are no multiplicities.

5. A Special Case in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$

As we shall see below there are two cases that demand special treatment. One of these is a particular non-compact positive root in the root system for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$:

Following the notation of [2] let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The sets of positive roots are

$$\Delta_c^+ = \{e_i \pm e_j | 2 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{e_i | 2 \leq i \leq n\},$$

and

$$\Delta_n^+ = \{e_1 \pm e_j | 2 \leq j \leq n\} \cup \{e_1\}.$$

If $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, Λ is \mathfrak{k}_1 -integral if and only if $\{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. $\varrho = (2n-1/2, 2n-3/2, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ and Λ is Δ_c^+ dominant if and only if $\lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$. Observe that $\forall \mu \in \Delta_c^+ : s_\mu(e_1) = e_1$, i.e. e_1 is Δ_c^+ isolated from the rest of Δ_n^+ .

We want to compute $P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi))$ and observe that

$$e_1 = s_{e_1 - e_2} s_{e_2 - e_3} \dots s_{e_{n-1} - e_n}(e_n).$$

By using one of the standard forms of $\mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$ it is easy to see that there are non-zero elements k_{ij} , m_s , and z_t of \mathfrak{g}^q such that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{ij} &\in \mathfrak{g}^{e_j - e_i}; & 2 \leq i < j \leq n, \\ m_s &\in \mathfrak{g}^{-e_s}; & 2 \leq s \leq n, \\ z_t &\in \mathfrak{g}^{-e_1 + e_t}; & 2 \leq t \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$z_1 \in \mathfrak{g}^{-e_1}$$

and that they can be normalized in a manner, insignificant for the result, such that

$$\begin{aligned} [k_{ij}, k_{jr}] &= k_{ir}; & [k_{ij}, m_n] &= m_i \\ [z_v, k_{ij}] &= \delta_{i,v} z_j; & [z_v, m_s] &= -\delta_{s,v} z_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is then straightforward to compute $P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi))$ (or $\Theta_{e_1}(\chi)$ for that matter). In fact, one needs only pay attention to the terms m_s in the various expressions. We omit the details and give the result:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Up to a non-zero constant*

$$P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi)) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^n (\chi_i - \chi_1) \right) z_1.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\chi = \Lambda + \varrho$ and assume $\chi_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\Lambda_0 - e_1$ is a highest weight for the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_1^q)$ -module $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$.*

Proof. If $P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi)) \neq 0$ it is clear that $\Lambda_0 - e_1$ is a highest weight. Suppose $P^-(\Theta_{e_1}(\chi)) = 0$. Since $\lambda_1 = 1 - n$ this implies that $\lambda_n = 0$. But $e_1 = (e_1 - e_n) + e_n$ and e_n is simple, so if $\lambda_n = 0$, $\Lambda_0 - e_1$ cannot be a highest weight (cf. Corollary 4.3 and the proof of Proposition 7.3 below). \square

6. Concerning the Root System

Since p^- is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}})$ -module with highest weight $-\beta$, the following is obvious.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\alpha \in \Delta$. The coefficient to β in α is 1, 0, or -1 .*

Proposition 6.2. *Root strings are of length at most 3.*

Proof. It is clear that strings through roots of equal length are of length at most 2. Equally obvious is the fact that a string $\beta + i\alpha$, $\beta + (i+1)\alpha$, ..., through β will have either $i=1$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_c^+$, or $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ and $i \in \{-1, -2\}$. In the last case, by Lemma 6.1, the string has length at most 3. Let l and s abbreviate long and short. A hypothetical string of length 4 will then either be of the form lls or $lssl$. Since roots of equal length are conjugate under the Weyl group the first case, however, is ruled out because β is long. The only case to be examined, then, is the string β , $\beta + \alpha$, $\beta + 2\alpha$, $\beta + 3\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta_c^+$. In this case $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = -3$ and thus $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -1$. Hence $s_{\beta}(\beta + 3\alpha) = 2\beta + 3\alpha$. Contradiction. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_l$ denote the set of positive simple roots in Δ_c^+ , let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$, and assume $\varrho(H_{\alpha}) > 1$. If $\forall i: \alpha(H_{\varepsilon_i}) \leq 0$ then either $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$ and $\forall i: \varepsilon_i(H_{\alpha}) = 0$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha = \beta + \varepsilon_i$ for a unique ε_i .*

Proof. The assumptions on α imply that $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0$. Then, by Lemma 6.1, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$. Write $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \beta$ with $\alpha_1 \in \Delta_c^+$ and assume $-q = \langle \alpha, \alpha_1 \rangle < 0$. Then $s_{\alpha_1}(\alpha) = \alpha + q\alpha_1 \in \Delta_n^+$ and we have got a string β , $\beta + \alpha_1$, $\beta + (q+1)\alpha_1$. By Proposition 6.2 $q=1$ and thus $\beta + \alpha_1$ and $\beta + 2\alpha_1$ are of equal length. However, this implies that $\beta + 3\alpha_1$ should be a root and thus $\langle \alpha, \alpha_1 \rangle = 0$. This fact combined with $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 1$ easily implies that $(\alpha, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta, \beta)$. In other words, we are in a Hermitian symmetric space with two root lengths, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The rest is then standard. \square

Finally we shall also need the following elementary fact about general root systems:

Lemma 6.4. *Let α_0 be a positive root with $\varrho(H_{\alpha_0}) > 1$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ be simple roots and define $\alpha_j = s_{\varepsilon_j}(\alpha_{j-1})$ for $j=1, 2, \dots$. If for all $j: \alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ and $\varrho(H_{\alpha_j}) < \varrho(H_{\alpha_{j-1}})$, then $s_{\varepsilon_1} \dots s_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(\varepsilon_j) \in \Delta^+$ for $j=2, \dots$.*

Proof. By assumption $\langle \alpha_{j-1}, \varepsilon_j \rangle$ is positive. Thus $\langle s_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(\alpha_{j-2}), \varepsilon_j \rangle > 0$. Since also $\langle \alpha_{j-2}, \varepsilon_{j-1} \rangle > 0$ it follows that $\varepsilon_{j-1} \neq \varepsilon_j$. Hence [cf. 3, p. 50] $s_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(\varepsilon_j) \in \Delta^+$ and $\langle \alpha_{j-2}, s_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}(\varepsilon_j) \rangle > 0$. Now write $\alpha_{j-2} = s_{\varepsilon_{j-2}}(\alpha_{j-3})$ and proceed analogously. \square

7. The General Case

Proposition 7.1. *Let α be a positive non-compact root with $\varrho(H_{\alpha}) > 1$ and let $\chi \in (\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ be integral with $\chi(H_{\alpha}) = 1$. Assume the existence of a simple compact root ε such that $\varrho(H_{\alpha_1}) < \varrho(H_{\alpha})$ for $\alpha_1 = s_{\varepsilon}(\alpha)$, and such that $\chi(H_{\varepsilon}) < 0$. Let $p = \alpha(H_{\varepsilon})$, $q = -\chi(H_{\varepsilon})$, and $\psi = s_{\varepsilon}(\chi)$ (cf. Sect. 3). If $d_{\alpha}(\chi)$ is defined by the equation $P^-(\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)) = d_{\alpha}(\chi)z_{-\alpha}$, and $d_{\alpha_1}(\psi)$ analogously,*

$$d_{\alpha}(\chi) = c(\alpha, \alpha_1) \binom{q+p}{p} d_{\alpha_1}(\psi) \quad (7.1)$$

where $c(\alpha, \alpha_1)$ is a non-zero constant.

Proof. $\Theta_{\alpha_1}(\psi)$ is a sum of terms of the form $z_{-\alpha_i} u_{-\mu_i}$, where $u_{-\mu_i} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{Q}})^{-\mu_i}$ and $\alpha_i + \mu_i = \alpha_1$. Obviously

$$x_{-\varepsilon}^{q+p}(z_{-\alpha_i} u_{-\mu_i}) = \sum_{s=0}^{q+p} \binom{q+p}{s} z_{-\alpha_i - s\varepsilon} u_{-\mu_i - (q+p-s)\varepsilon}$$

for some elements $u_{-\mu_i - (q+p-s)\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{Q}})^{-\mu_i - (q+p-s)\varepsilon}$. To obtain a term of the form $z_{-\alpha} u_{-q\varepsilon}$ we need $\mu_i = (s-p)\varepsilon$. Thus $s \geq p$. Assume $s > p$. Since $\alpha = \alpha_i + s\varepsilon$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha - p\varepsilon = \alpha_i + (s-p)\varepsilon$ we have a string from α_i to $\alpha_i + s\varepsilon$. Hence, by Proposition 6.2, $s=2$, $p=1$. But α_1 and α are of equal length and we reach the contradiction that $\langle \alpha_i, \varepsilon \rangle = -3$. Hence $s=p$, and $\mu_i=0$. Finally, since $-\alpha_1 - s\varepsilon$ is a root for $s=0, 1, \dots, p$, $[g^{-\alpha_1 - s\varepsilon}, g^{-\varepsilon}] = g^{-\alpha_1 - (s+1)\varepsilon}$ for $s=0, \dots, p-1$, so

$$x_{-\varepsilon}^{q+p} z_{-\alpha_1} = \sum_{s=0}^p c_s \binom{q+p}{s} z_{-\alpha_1 - s\varepsilon} x_{-\varepsilon}^{q+p-s}$$

for some non-zero constants c_s , and (7.1) follows. \square

Analogously it follows that

Proposition 7.2. *If $\alpha = \beta + \varepsilon$ with ε simple and compact, and if $s_{\beta}(\alpha) = \varepsilon$, then*

$$P^-(\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)) = c(\alpha) \chi(H_{\beta}) z_{-\alpha},$$

where $c(\alpha)$ is a non-zero constant.

Proposition 7.3. *Let A_0 be \mathfrak{f}_1 -dominant and integral and put $\chi = A + \varrho$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ and assume $\chi(H_{\alpha}) = 1$. Then $P^-(\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)) \neq 0$ if and only if $A_0 - \alpha$ is a highest weight in the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{Q}})$ -module $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{A_0}$.*

Proof. If $P^-(\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)) \neq 0$, $\Theta_{\alpha}(\chi)$ does not belong to the ideal generated by $N_A(\mathfrak{f})$ and thus projects onto a non-zero element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{Q}})/J_A$. It then follows from Proposition 3.1 i) and Lemma 2.1 that $A_0 - \alpha$ is a highest weight in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{A_0}$.

Now consider the converse, and assume that $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_j$ are simple compact roots such that if

$$\alpha_i = s_{\varepsilon_i}(\alpha_{i-1}); \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha, \quad \text{then} \quad \varrho(H_{\alpha_i}) < \varrho(H_{\alpha_{i-1}})$$

for $i=1, \dots, j$. Analogously let $\chi_0 = \chi$ and $\chi_i = s_{\varepsilon_i}(\chi_{i-1})$. By (7.1) there exists a non-zero constant $K_j(\alpha)$ such that

$$d_{\alpha}(\chi) = K_j(\alpha) d_{\alpha_j}(\chi_j) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{q_i + p_i}{p_i}$$

where $q_i = -\chi_i(\varepsilon_{i+1})$ and $p_i = \alpha_i(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}})$. We observe that $\chi_i(\alpha_j) = 1$. (It can also be shown that $p_0 = p_1 = \dots = p_{j-1}$.) From Lemma 6.4, the assumption that $A_0 - \alpha$ is dominant, and (2.1) we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} -q_i &= \chi_i(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}) = (s_{\varepsilon_i} \dots s_{\varepsilon_1}(A + \varrho))(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}) \\ &\geq 1 + (s_{\varepsilon_i} \dots s_{\varepsilon_1}(A_0))(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}) \\ &\geq 1 + (s_{\varepsilon_i} \dots s_{\varepsilon_1}(A_0 - \alpha))(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}) + \alpha_i(H_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}) \\ &\geq 1 + p_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $r=1, \dots, p_i$, $q_i + r \leq (r-1) - p_i \leq -1$. Thus $\binom{q_i + p_i}{p_i} \neq 0$ for $i=0, \dots, j-1$.

Suppose that $\alpha_j = \beta + \varepsilon$ for some simple compact root ε and that $s_\beta(\alpha_j) = \varepsilon$. Then, by Proposition 7.2, $d_{\alpha_j}(\chi_j) = c\chi_j(H_\beta)$, with $c \neq 0$. For the first time we now use the assumption that $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ not only is dominant, but also is a highest weight on $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$: Suppose $\chi_j(H_\beta) = 0$. Since $\beta = \alpha_j - \varepsilon$ and α_j and ε are of equal length, $\chi_j(H_\varepsilon) = 1$ ($\chi_j(H_{\alpha_j}) = 1$). Let $\varepsilon_0 = s_{\varepsilon_1} \dots s_{\varepsilon_j}(\varepsilon)$ and $\gamma = s_{\varepsilon_1} \dots s_{\varepsilon_j}(\beta)$. Then $\alpha = \gamma + \varepsilon_0$ and $(\Lambda + \varrho)(H_{\varepsilon_0}) = 1$. Since ε_0 is compact it follows that ε_0 is simple and $\Lambda_0(H_{\varepsilon_0}) = 0$. Let $\mathbb{C} \cdot v_0$ denote the subspace of highest weight in V_{Λ_0} . According to Corollary 4.3, if $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ is a highest weight the corresponding subspace is given as $\mathbb{C}(z_{-\alpha} \otimes v_0 + \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_+^*} z_{-\alpha+\mu} \otimes q_{-\mu} v_0)$. But ε_0 is simple and $x_{-\varepsilon_0} v_0 = 0$. Thus, the coefficient in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes v_0$ of $x_{\varepsilon_0}(z_{-\alpha} \otimes v_0 + \sum_{\mu \in \Delta_+^*} z_{-\alpha+\mu} \otimes q_{-\mu} v_0)$ is given as $[x_{\varepsilon_0}, z_{-\alpha}] \otimes v_0 = Kz_{-\gamma} \otimes v_0$ with $K \neq 0$. It follows from Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 5.2 that this contradiction completes the proof. \square

8. A Criterion

If Λ_0 is \mathfrak{f}_1 -dominant and integral and if $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ the equation $(\Lambda + \varrho)(H_\alpha) = 1$, with Λ_0 fixed, has a unique solution in λ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 that for this $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha$, $\Theta_\alpha(\Lambda + \varrho) \in N_A$. Thus, by Proposition 7.3, we arrive at the following criterion:

Proposition 8.1. *Let $\Lambda_0 - \alpha_1, \dots, \Lambda_0 - \alpha_t$ be the set of highest weights in the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{f}_1^{\mathbb{C}})$ -module $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$; $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \Delta_n^+$. Let, for $i = 1, \dots, t$, λ_i be determined by the equation $((\Lambda_0, \lambda_i) + \varrho)(H_{\alpha_i}) = 1$ and let $\lambda_0 = \min\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$. If $\Lambda = (\Lambda_0, \lambda)$, $\lambda > \lambda_0$, then W_Λ is not unitarizable.*

Remark. With the exception of some easily handled cases in $\mathfrak{so}(2n-1, 2)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ is a highest weight in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$ if and only if $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ is dominant.

9. $\mathbf{Mp}(n, \mathbb{R})$

Let e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\Delta_c^+ = \{e_i - e_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

and

$$\Delta_n^+ = \{e_i + e_j | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{2e_j | 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

$\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is \mathfrak{f}_1 -integral and dominant if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\varrho = (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$. Also observe that $\lambda = \lambda_1$.

Let a, b be non-negative integers with $a \geq b$. Define $\Lambda_{a,b} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2)$ where the string of non-zero integers has length a and the string of 2's has length b .

Proposition 9.1. *Let Λ be \mathfrak{f}_1 -integral and dominant and let a, b be the largest possible integers such that $\Lambda + \Lambda_{a,b}$ is \mathfrak{f}_1 -dominant. Then $\lambda = -\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$ is the last possible place of unitarity.*

Proof. First observe that it follows from the proof of Proposition 7.3 that the only case in which an $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ can satisfy $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ dominant but $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ not a highest weight in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$ is when $\alpha = e_i + e_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $\Lambda_0(H_{e_i - e_{i+1}}) = 0$. It is then straightforward to see that for $\alpha = e_{a+1} + e_{b+1}$, $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ is a highest weight in $\mathfrak{p}^- \otimes V_{\Lambda_0}$ and that the λ determined by $(\Lambda + \varrho)(H_\alpha) = 1$ is the last possible place of unitarity. Finally, $\lambda_{a+1} = \lambda$ and $\lambda_{b+1} = \lambda - 1$. (This proof also covers the case $a = b$.) \square

By direct comparison with [6; Th. 6.9 and Th. 6.13] we then conclude:

Proposition 9.2. *The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture is true for $Mp(n, \mathbb{R})$.*

References

1. Dixmier, J.: *Algebres enveloppantes*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1972
2. Enright, T.J., Parthasarathy, R.: A proof of a conjecture of Kashiwara and Vergne. Preprint, 1980
3. Humphreys, J.E.: *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1972
4. Jakobsen, H.P., Vergne, M.: Restrictions and expansions of holomorphic representations. *J. Functional Analysis* **34**, 29–53 (1979)
5. Jakobsen, H.P.: On singular holomorphic representations. *Invent. Math.* **62**, 67–78 (1980)
6. Kashiwara, M., Vergne, M.: On the Segal-Shale-Weil representation and harmonic polynomials. *Invent. Math.* **44**, 1–47 (1978)
7. Rossi, H., Vergne, M.: Analytic continuation of the holomorphic discrete series of a semi-simple Lie group. *Acta Math.* **136**, 1–59 (1976)
8. Shapovalov, N.N.: On a bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra. *Functional Analysis Appl.* **6**, 307–312 (1972)

Received December 17, 1980