

Tail Approximations to the Density Function in EVT

Deyuan Li

(joint work with Jürg Hüsler)

University of Bern

EVA 2005, Gothenburg, August 15–19.

Outline of the talk:

1. Introduction
2. Motivation/Background
3. Main Results
4. Sketch of Proofs
5. Application

1 Introduction

Assume $F \in D(G_\gamma)$ and let $U(t) = F^\leftarrow(1 - 1/t)$, $t \geq 1$.

Drees, de Haan and Li (2003, 2005):

$$\frac{\frac{U(tx) - U(t)}{a(t)} - \frac{x^\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}{A(t)} \rightarrow K_{\gamma, \rho}(x) \quad (1)$$

\Downarrow

$$\sup_{x \in D_{t, \rho}} w_F(t, x) \left| \frac{t\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)) - (1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma}}{A_0(t)} - d_F(x) \right| = o(1).$$

Here,

1. a_0 , b_0 and A_0 are some special chosen functions:

$$a_0 \sim a, \quad b_0 - U = o(a_0), \quad A_0 \in \text{RV}(\rho).$$

2. $D_{t, \rho}$ is the set of x such that $-\frac{1}{\gamma \vee 0} \xleftarrow{\text{slow}} x_t \leq x < \frac{1}{(-\gamma) \vee 0}$.

3. w_F is a power function of $(1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma}$, depending on F only if $\gamma = \rho = 0$.

\Downarrow

$$\frac{t\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t))}{(1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{1 - F^n(a_0(n)x + b_0(n))}{1 - G_\gamma(x)} \rightarrow 1$$

uniform for $-\frac{1}{\gamma \vee 0} \xleftarrow{\text{slower}} x_t \leq x < \frac{1}{(-\gamma) \vee 0}$, but excluding $\gamma = \rho = 0$.

Question: can we find "some conditions" such that

"some conditions"

\Downarrow ?

$$\sup_{x \in D_{t,\rho}} w_f(t, x) \left| \frac{ta_0(t)f(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)) - (1 + \gamma x)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{A_0(t)} + d_f(x) \right| = o(1)$$

\Downarrow ?

$$\frac{ta_0(t)f(a_0(t)x + b_0(t))}{(1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma-1}} \rightarrow 1$$

uniform for $-\frac{1}{\gamma \vee 0} \xleftarrow{\text{slower}} x_t \leq x < \frac{1}{(-\gamma) \vee 0}$, and some result like

$$\sup_{x \in \dots} w(x) \left| \frac{\frac{d}{dx} F^n(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) - \frac{d}{dx} G_\gamma(x)}{A_0(x)} - \text{bias function} \right| = o(1) ?$$

Note:

1. These results are parallelized with those of Drees et. al. (2003, 2005), but do not follow directly from those results.
2. "some condition" should be stronger than (1).

3.

$$\frac{d}{dx} F^n(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) - \frac{d}{dx} G_\gamma(x) \rightarrow 0$$

means that F is in the *differentiable* domain of attraction of G_γ (see Pickands (1986)).

2 Motivation/Background

Game theory: n goods; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;

p_i : the price of i -th good to buy;

$\sigma_i X_i$: the profit from i -th good;

X_i is a random variable, $\sigma_i > 0$ is the scale;

$U_i = -p_i + \sigma_i X_i$:

utility sign for one consumer to choose i -th good;

$D_i = P(U_i > \max_{j \neq i} U_j)$:

demand for for one consumer to choose i -th good;

In order to calculate the Nash equilibrium, we need to approximate D_i . See Gabaix and Laibson (2003).

In general, assume X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. F (density f) and define

$$D_n = P\left(-p_{n1} + \sigma_{n1} X_1 \geq \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} \{-p_{ni} + \sigma_{ni} X_i\}\right).$$

$D_n = 1/n$: if $p_{ni} = p_{nj}$, $\sigma_{ni} = \sigma_{nj}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

How to approximate D_n for other cases?

Assume $F \in D(G_\gamma)$ with $\gamma \geq 0$ and $0 < F(x) < 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= P(-p_{n1} + \sigma_{n1}X_1 \geq \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} \{-p_{ni} + \sigma_{ni}X_i\}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \prod_{i=2}^n F\left(\frac{\sigma_{n1}x - p_{n1} + p_{ni}}{\sigma_{ni}}\right) dx \\ &= \sigma_{n1}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{x + p_{n1}}{\sigma_{n1}}\right) \prod_{i=2}^n F\left(\frac{x + p_{ni}}{\sigma_{ni}}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

First consider $\sigma_{ni} \equiv 1$. Let $a_n = a_0(n)$, $b_n = b_0(n)$.

Then replace x by $a_n x + b_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n a_n f\left(a_n\left(x + \frac{p_{n1}}{a_n}\right) + b_n\right) \prod_{i=2}^n F\left(a_n\left(x + \frac{p_{ni}}{a_n}\right) + b_n\right) dx \\ &= n^{-1} \left(\int_{l_n}^{\infty} \dots + \int_{-\infty}^{l_n} \right) =: n^{-1} (I_{1n} + I_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\frac{n \bar{F}(a_n x + b_n)}{(1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{n a_n f(a_n x + b_n)}{(1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma-1}} \rightarrow 1$$

uniform for $l_n \leq x < \infty$, it may follow that

$$I_{1n} \sim \int_{l_n}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma x_1)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \prod_{i=2}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} (1 + \gamma x_i)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right) dx$$

with $x_i = x + p_{ni}/a_n$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

This may help us to approximate D_n .

3 Main Results

Assume the second order condition in de Haan and Resnick (1996):

$$\begin{cases} U \text{ is twice differentiable, } U' \text{ is eventually positive;} \\ A(t) := \frac{tU''(t)}{U'(t)} - \gamma + 1 \text{ has constant sign near infinity;} \\ A(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } |A| \in \text{RV}(\rho) \text{ with } \rho \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

(2) \implies (1), thus the results on F (Drees et. al. (2003, 2005)) hold under the condition (2).

Let

$$a_0(t) = \begin{cases} tU'(t), & \gamma = \rho = 0, \\ \gamma U(t), & \gamma > 0 = \rho, \\ -\gamma(U(\infty) - U(t)), & \gamma < 0 = \rho, \\ ct^\gamma, & \rho < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$b_0(t) = \begin{cases} U(t) - a_0(t)A_0(t)\frac{1}{\gamma+\rho}, & \text{if } \rho < 0, \gamma + \rho \neq 0, \\ U(t), & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for some suitable A_0 , and for each $\beta, \delta > 0$ define

$$D_{t,\rho} := D_{t,\rho,\delta,\beta} := \begin{cases} \{x : (1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma} \leq \beta t^{-\delta+1}\}, & \rho < 0, \\ \{x : (1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma} \leq |A_0(t)|^{-\beta}\}, & \rho = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Suppose (2) holds. Then

$$\sup_{x \in D_{t,\rho}} w_f(t, x) \left| \frac{ta_0(t)f(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)) - (1 + \gamma x)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{A_0(t)} + d_f(x) \right| = o(1).$$

Note:

$$d_f(x) = d_F'(x)$$

$$\frac{w_f(t, x)}{w_F(t, x)} = 1 + \gamma x, \quad \text{if not } \gamma = \rho = 0.$$

Theorem 2. Suppose (2) holds with $\rho > -1$ but not $\gamma = \rho = 0$.

Then

$$\sup_{\{x: (1+\gamma x)^{-1/\gamma} \leq \log^2 |A_0(t)|\}} w(x)$$

$$\times \left| \frac{\frac{d}{dx} F^n(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) - \frac{d}{dx} G_\gamma(x)}{A_0(x)} - \text{bias func.} \right| = o(1).$$

Note: $w(x)$ and bias function are complicated.

Based on Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we can easily have some large deviation results on the density function.

4 Sketch of Proofs

By de Haan and Resnick (1996), (2) implies

$$U'(t) = kt^{\gamma-1} \exp \left(\int_1^t \frac{A(u)}{u} du \right),$$

where $k \neq 0$, and that

$$\frac{\frac{U'(tx)}{U'(t)} - x^{\gamma-1}}{A(t)} \rightarrow x^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho}, \quad x > 0.$$

Then we prove that

Lemma 1. Suppose (2) holds. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists t_\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. for all $\min\{t, tx\} > t_\varepsilon$

$$x^{-(\gamma+\rho-1)} e^{-\varepsilon |\log x|} \left| \frac{\frac{U'(tx)}{t^{-1}a_0(t)} - x^{\gamma-1}}{\tilde{A}(t)} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(K_{\gamma,\rho}(x) \right) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Comparing it with

Lemma 1*. (Drees, 1998; Cheng and Jiang, 2001) Suppose (1) holds. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists t_\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. for all $\min\{t, tx\} > t_\varepsilon$

$$x^{-(\gamma+\rho)} e^{-\varepsilon |\log x|} \left| \frac{\frac{U(tx)-U(t)}{a_0(t)} - \frac{x^\gamma-1}{\gamma}}{A_0(t)} - K_{\gamma,\rho}(x) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 1* is elementary to derive the results on F ;

Lemma 1 is elementary to obtain the results on f .

Proof of Theorem 1:

Recall $U(t) = F^{\leftarrow}(1 - 1/t)$. Hence $F(U(t)) = 1 - 1/t$ and

$$f(U(t)) \cdot U'(t) = t^{-2}.$$

Replace t by

$$U^{\leftarrow}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)) = \frac{1}{\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t))},$$

then

$$f(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)) = \frac{\bar{F}^2(a_0(t)x + b_0(t))}{U'(1/\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)))}.$$

Using the results on F to approximate $\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t))$, using Lemma 1 to approximate $U'(1/\bar{F}(a_0(t)x + b_0(t)))$ and by very complicated calculation (for different cases), we obtain Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2:

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dx}F^n(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) \\ = na_0(n)f(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) \cdot F^{n-1}(a_0(n)x + b_0(n))\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}F^{n-1}(a_0(n)x + b_0(n)) \\ = \exp \left\{ (n-1) \log [1 - \bar{F}(a_0(n)x + b_0(n))] \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 1 and the results on F (Drees et. al., 2003, 2005), Theorem 2 follows by complicated calculation.

5 Application

Theorem 2.1 in Li and de Vries (2005):

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. F and F satisfies (2) with $\gamma > 0$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= P\left(-p_{n1} + \sigma_{n1}X_1 \geq \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} \{-p_{ni} + \sigma_{ni}X_i\}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{\sigma_{n1}^{1/\gamma}}{\sum_{i=2}^n \sigma_{ni}^{1/\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, provided by

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_{ni} = O(1),$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |p_{ni}| = o(n^{(1-\varepsilon)\gamma})$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

More results in Li and de Vries (2005):

1. $\gamma = 0 > \rho$;
2. $\gamma = 0 = \rho$;
3. $\bar{F} \sim cx^\beta e^{-dx^\alpha}$ with $c, d, \alpha, \beta > 0$.