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Abstract

The Margrabe formula for valuation of exchange options is described and extensions to other contracts such as spread, compound, and traffic-light options are discussed.
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1 The Margrabe Formula

An exchange option gives its owner the right, but not the obligation, to exchange $b$ units of one asset into $a$ units of another asset at a specific point in time, i.e. it is a claim that pays off

$$(aS_1(T) - bS_2(T))^+$$

at time $T$.  


Outperformance option or Margrabe option are alternative names for the same pay-off.

Let us assume that the interest rate is constant \( r \) and that the underlying assets follow correlated \( (dW_1dW_2 = \rho dt) \) geometric Brownian motions under the risk-neutral measure,

\[
dS_i = \mu_i S_i dt + \sigma_i S_i dW_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.
\]

Note that allowing \( \mu_i \)'s that are different from \( r \) enables us to use resulting valuation formula for the exchange option directly in cases with non-trivial carrying costs on the underlying. This could be for futures (where the drift rate is 0), currencies (where the drift rate is the difference between domestic and foreign interest rates, see eqf06 002), stocks with dividends (where the drift rate is \( r \) less the dividend yield), or non-traded quantities with convenience yields.

The time-\( t \) value of the exchange option is

\[
\pi^{EO}(t) = EO(T - t, aS_1(t), bS_2(t)),
\]

where the function \( EO \) is given by

\[
EO(\tau, S_1, S_2) = S_1 e^{(\mu_1 - r)\tau} \Phi(d_+) - S_2 e^{(\mu_2 - r)\tau} \Phi(d_-),
\]

with

\[
d_\pm = \frac{\ln(S_1/S_2) + (\mu_1 - \mu_2 \pm \sigma^2/2)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}},
\]

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \text{ denoting the standard normal distribution function.}
\]

The formula was derived independently by William Margrabe [12] and Stanley Fisher [6], but despite the two papers being published side by side in the Journal of Finance,
the formula commonly bears only the former author’s name. The result is most easily proven by using a change of numeraire (see eqf05 016 and eqf06 003), writing

\[ \pi^{EO}(t) = S_2(t)E_Q^{S_2}( (S_1(T)/S_2(T) - 1)^+ ), \]

noting that \( S_1/S_2 \) follows a geometric Brownian motion, and reusing the Black-Scholes calculation for the mean of a truncated lognormal variable.

If the underlying asset prices are multiplied by a positive factor, then the exchange option’s value changes by that same factor. This means that we can use Euler’s homogeneous function theorem to read off the partial derivatives of the option value wrt. the underlying assets (the Delta’s) directly from the Margrabe formula (see [15] for more such tricks), specifically

\[ \frac{dEO}{dS_1} = e^{(\mu_1 - r)\tau} \Phi(d_+), \]

and similarly for \( S_2 \). If the \( S \)-assets are traded, then a portfolio with these holdings (scaled by \( a \) and \( b \)) that is made self-financing with the risk-free asset replicates the exchange option, and the Margrabe formula gives the only no-arbitrage price.

If the underlying assets do not pay dividends during the life of the exchange option (so that the risk-neutral drift rates are \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = r \)), then early exercise is never optimal, and the Margrabe formula holds for American options too. With non-trivial carrying costs, this is not true, but as noted by [2], a change of numeraire reduces the dimensionality of the problem so that standard one-dimensional methods for American option pricing can be used.
The Margrabe formula is still valid with stochastic interest rates, provided the factors that drive interest rates are independent of those driving the $S$-assets.

Exchange options are most common in over-the-counter foreign exchange markets, but exchange-features are embedded in many other financial contexts; mergers and acquisitions (see [12]) and indexed executive stock options (see [9]) to give just two examples.

2 Variations and Extensions

Some variations of exchange options can be valued in closed form. In [10] a formula for a so-called traffic-light option which pays

$$(S_1(T) - K_1)^+(S_2(T) - K_2)^+,\n$$

is derived, and [4] gives a formula for the value of a compound exchange option, i.e. a contract that pays

$$(\pi^{EO}(T_C) - S_2(T_C))^+ \text{ at time } T_C < T.\n$$

Both formulas involve the bivariate normal distribution function, and in the case of the compound exchange option a non-linear but well-behaved equation that must be solved numerically.

For knock-in and knock-out exchange options whose barriers are expressed in terms of the ratio of the two underlying assets, [7] show that the reflection-principle based closed-form solutions (see [14]) from the Black-Scholes model carry over; this means
that barrier option values can be expressed solely through the EO-function evaluated at appropriate points.

However, there are not always easy answers; in the simple case of a spread option

$$(S_1(T) - S_2(T) - K)^+$$

there is no commonly accepted closed-form solution. The reason for this is that a sum of lognormal variables is not lognormal. More generally many financial valuation problems can be cast as: Calculate the expected value of

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,n}X_{i,n} - K\right)^+,$$

where the $X_{i,n}$'s are lognormally distributed. One can use generic techniques such a direct integration, numerical solution of partial differential equations or Monte Carlo simulation, but there is an extensive literature other approximation methods. These include:

- Moment approximation, where the moments of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,n}X_{i,n}$ are calculated, the variable then treated as lognormal, and the option priced by a Black-Scholes-like formula. An application to Asian options is given in [11].

- Integration by Fourier transform techniques, which extends beyond log-normal models and works well if $n$ not too large (say 2-4). An application to spread options is given in [1].

- Limiting results for $n \rightarrow \infty$ as obtained in [13] and [5]; the relation to the reciprocal Gamma distribution has been used for Asian and basket options.
- Changing to Gaussian processes as suggested in [3]. This may be suitable for commodity markets where spread contracts are popular, and it allows for the inclusion of mean-reversion.

- If the $a_{i,n}X_{i,n}$’s depend monotonically on a common random variable, then Jamshidian’s approach from [8] can be used to decompose an option on a portfolio into a portfolio of simpler options. This is used to value options on coupon-bearing bonds in one-factor interest rate models.
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