

Last time

Tucker-Droob (V+VI) 1

$$\bullet \text{AC}(G) = \langle \{ N \triangleleft G \mid G/C_G(N) \text{ is amenable} \} \rangle$$

$$\bullet \text{I}(G) = \langle \{ N \triangleleft G \mid \begin{array}{c} N \rtimes G \curvearrowright N \\ \text{amenable} \end{array} \} \rangle$$

• Dani's Lemma

Def (173 Kegel-Wehrfritz)

(A group G is said to satisfy m.c.c. (minimal condition on centralizers) if $\{ C_G(B) \mid B \leq G \}$ satisfies D.C.C. (descending chain condition) i.e., for any $B \leq G$, $\exists B_0 \subseteq B$ finite with $C_G(B_0) = C_G(B)$)

Prop 13

(Linear groups satisfy m.c.c.)

<PF> IF $H \leq G$ then $C_H(B) = C_G(B) \cap H$

so m.c.c. passes to subgroups.

So suffices to show m.c.c. for $GL_n(F)$

IF $B \leq GL_n(F)$

$$C_{M_n(F)}(B) = \{ x \in M_n(F) \mid xb = bx \quad \forall x \in B \}$$

is exactly the set of solutions to the system of linear equations

$$(xb - bx = 0, b \in B)$$

By linear alg / Hilbert-basis theorem, $\exists B_0 \subseteq B$ finite

$$\text{s.t. } C_{M_n(F)}(B) = C_{M_n(F)}(B_0)$$

$$\text{Thus } C_{GL_n(F)}(B) = C_{GL_n(F)}(B_0) \quad \square$$

Remark

$BS(m, n)$ not m.c.c. when $|m|, |n| > 1$ & $|m| \neq |n|$
(so they are not linear)

Lemma 4

Suppose G is m.c.c. Then

(i) $AC(G) = I(G)$.

(ii) $G/C_G(AC(G))$ is amenable.

(iii) Every conjugation invariant mean on G lives on $AC(G)$.

<Pf> We'll show

(iii)' Every conj-inv mean m on G

$$\exists N \triangleleft G \quad G/C_G(N) \text{ amenable, s.t. } m(N) = 1$$

(this implies (iii)).

Consider conjugation action $G \overset{\text{conj}}{\curvearrowright} G$

$$\{G_B \mid B \subseteq G\} = \{C_G(B) \mid B \subseteq G\}$$

satisfies D.C.C.

So by Dani's Lemma, G/G_0 is amenable,

$$\text{where } G_0 = \{g \in G \mid m(C_G(g)) = 1\}$$

Let $N = C_G(G_0)$ By m.c.c. $\exists F \overset{\text{finite}}{\subseteq} G_0$

$$\text{with } N = C_G(F) = \bigcap_{g \in F} C_G(g)$$

by finite additivity $m(N) = 1$.

Also $C_G(N) = G_0$ so $G/C_G(N)$ is amenable.

3.

(ci) & Cii) By Yesterday

 $\exists m \in M(I(G))$ which is $I(G) \rtimes G$ -inv.By Ciii) $\exists N \triangleleft G$ $G/C_G(N)$ amenable & $m(N) = 1$ Then $N \leq AC(G) \leq I(G)$ m : left-invariant under $I(G)$, $m(N) = 1$ $\Rightarrow N = AC(G) = I(G) \quad \square$ Thm Let G be m.c.c. Then TFAE(1) G is inner-amenable.(2) $AC(G) = I(G)$ is infinite.(3) \exists short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$$

 K is amenable, and either $Z(N)$ is infinite or $N = LM$, where $L, M \triangleleft G$ commuting, $L \cap M$ is finite, M : infinite amenable.<Pf> (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) \checkmark (1) \Rightarrow (2) If m is atomless, conj-inv on G Then $m(AC(G)) = 1$ $\Rightarrow AC(G)$ is infinite.(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $N = C_G(AC(G))AC(G)$ Then $K = G/N$ is amenable.Case 1: $C_G(AC(G)) \cap AC(G)$ is infinite.

$$\underbrace{C_G(AC(G)) \cap AC(G)}_{= Z(N)}$$

Case 2 $\underbrace{C_G(\text{AC}(G))}_L \cap \underbrace{\text{AC}(G)}_M$ is finite
 infinite, amenable. \square

Cost of actions (Levitt)

Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a probability measure preserving (pmp) action. A measurable graph \mathcal{G} on X is a graphing of the action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ if the connected components of \mathcal{G} are precisely the orbits of the action.

($\mathcal{G} \subseteq X \times X$ = measurable subset.)
 \uparrow
 undirected
 no self-loop

The cost of \mathcal{G} is

$$\text{Cost}(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \text{deg}_{\mathcal{G}}(x) d\mu(x)$$

$$\text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)) = \inf \{ \text{Cost}(\mathcal{G}) \mid \mathcal{G} \text{ is a graphing of } G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \}$$

G is said to have fixed price if

$$\text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)) = \text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu))$$

for any two free pmp actions of G .

Fixed price conjecture

Every (countable) group has fixed price.

This is known to hold for many groups.

- Infinite Amenable groups (f.p. = 1) (Levitt '93) Ornstein-Weiss.
- Finite groups (f.p. = $1 - \frac{1}{|G|}$)
- Free groups F_n (f.p. = n) (Gaboriau '00)

- $Z(G)$ infinite (f.p. = 1)
 - $H \times K$ K : infinite amenable group. (f.p. = 1)
- } Gaboriau

Thm (T-D)

(Inner-amenable groups have fixed price = 1.)

$$\beta_1^{(2)}(G) \leq \text{Cost}(G) \left[= \inf(\text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright X)) \right] - 1 \quad (\text{Gaboriau})$$

↑

Open: is this an equality?

$$\rightsquigarrow \beta_1^{(2)}(\text{inner-amen}) = 0 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{T-D} \\ \text{Chifan-Sinclair-Udrea} \\ \text{Ozawa?} \end{array} \right)$$

Def (Popa)

A subgroup $H \leq G$ is called \mathfrak{g} -normal if the set

$\{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} \cap H \text{ is infinite}\}$
generates G .

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} H \leq_{\mathfrak{g}} G \quad H \curvearrowright_2 H \\ \text{cocycle superinvariant} \\ \downarrow \\ \Gamma \curvearrowright_2 \Gamma \\ \text{"} \end{array} \right)$$

\mathfrak{g} -normality Lemma (Gaboriau - Furman)

(If H is \mathfrak{g} -normal in G then

$$\text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)) \leq \text{Cost}(H \curvearrowright (X, \mu))$$

Lemma If M is a finite normal subgroup of G , then

$$\sup_{G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)} \{ \text{Cost}(G \curvearrowright X) \} \leq \sup_{G/M \curvearrowright (X, \mu)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\text{Cost}(G/M \curvearrowright X)}{|M|} \right\}$$

$$|M|(\text{Cost}(G) - 1) \leq \text{Cost}(G/M) - 1$$

Prop Let G be inner-amenable, Let H be a non-amenable subgroup of G . Then $\exists K \leq G$ with $H \triangleleft_{\varepsilon} K \triangleleft_{\varepsilon} G$.

<Pf> Important Lemma

$G \curvearrowright X$ amenable G_x amenable $\forall x \in X$

$\Rightarrow G$: amenable.

Improvement G : non-amenable, $G \curvearrowright X$ amenable with invariant mean $m \in M(X)$.

$\Rightarrow m(\underbrace{\{x \in X \mid G_x \neq \text{amenable}\}}_{X_0}) = 1$

Assume $m(X_0) < 1$ then $Y := X \setminus X_0$

$G \curvearrowright Y$ amenable G_y amenable $\forall y \in Y$

$\leadsto G$ amenable, contradiction.

<Pf> (of Prop) Fix m : G -conj inv, atom-less

Then $H \curvearrowright^{conj} G$ is amenable w/ inv-mean m .

By improvement : $\{g \mid C_H(g) \text{ is non-amenable}\}$ has measure 1

Let $K = \langle H, \{g \in G \mid C_H(g) \text{ is non-amenable}\} \rangle$

Then $H \triangleleft_{\varepsilon} K$ since $gHg^{-1} \cap H \supseteq C_H(g)$ is infinite for all $g \in G$ s.t. $C_H(g) \neq \text{amenable}$.

$m(K) = 1 \Rightarrow m(gKg^{-1}) = 1$

$\Rightarrow m(K \cap gKg^{-1}) = 1$

m : atom-less

so $K \cap gKg^{-1}$ is infinite.

Goal now is to find $(H_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-amenable subgroups of G with $\sup \text{Cost}(H_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$

Since then by \mathcal{F} -normality Lemma ^{+ previous Prop} we get

$$\sup \text{Cost}(G) \leq 1$$

Prop

Let G be a non-amenable, inner-amenable group.

Then either

1) \exists infinite amenable subgroup $K \subseteq G$ with $C_G(K)$ non-amenable.

or 2) \exists sequence of finite subgroups M_n ($n \geq 1$)

with $|M_n| \rightarrow +\infty$

and $C_G(M_n)$ is nonamenable for all n .

Proof

Fix atomless m -conj-invariant mean on G

By Improvement, (since G is non-amenable)

$G \xrightarrow{m} G$ amenable

m : atomless

$\exists g_1 \in G \setminus \{1\}$ with $C_G(\langle g_1 \rangle)$ is nonamenable.

If $\langle g_1 \rangle$ is infinite then (2) holds and we're done.

Otherwise, $m(\langle g_1 \rangle) = 0$.
finite set

So non-amenable of $C_G(\langle g_1 \rangle) = H_1$ and the improvement,

we can find $g_2 \in H_1 \setminus \langle g_1 \rangle$ with $C_{H_1}(g_2)$ non-amenable.

$C_G(\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle)$
 M_2

Keep going until we set $|M_n| = \infty$ for

some n or $M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq M_3 \subsetneq \dots$ $|M_n| \rightarrow \infty$

$C_G(M_i)$ non-amenable.

8.

If M_n is finite, this procedure stops, and we set $(M_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ as in (2).

If $|M_n| = \infty$ at some n , and M_n is amenable subgroup.

Then (1). $C_G(M_n)$

Finally if M_n is non-amenable then H_n & M_n are commuting non-amenable subgroups.

$$H^{(1)} := H_n, H^{(2)} := M_n.$$

By Improved Lemma

$$\{g \mid \begin{array}{l} C_{H^{(1)}}(g) \text{ is non-amenable} \\ C_{H^{(2)}}(g) \text{ is } \end{array} \}$$

has measure 1

Fix some $g_1 \neq 1$ in this set, and let $M_1 = \langle g_1 \rangle$

either something good happens, or $\forall n \exists$ pairwise commuting non-amenable subgroups $H_1^{(n)}, \dots, H_n^{(n)}$

Define M_n by taking $g_1 \in H_1^{(n)} - \{1\}$

$$g_{i+1} \in H_i^{(n)} - \langle g_1, \dots, g_i \rangle$$

$$M_n = \langle g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \rangle$$

Then $|M_n| \geq 2^{n-1}$

and $H^{(n)} \subseteq C_G(M_n)$ so $C_G(M_n)$ non-amenable, \nexists amenable

9

Known: $G \times H$ \leftarrow infinite

has some action with cost 1

- And $G \times H$ has fixed price = 1 whenever G or H has an infinite amenable subgroup.

Open Problem

Does $G \times H$ have fixed price = 1 ?