

The stable and the real rank of \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras

Mikael Rørdam

Abstract

Suppose that A is a C^* -algebra for which $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, where \mathcal{Z} is the Jiang–Su algebra: a unital, simple, stably finite, separable, nuclear, infinite dimensional C^* -algebra with the same Elliott invariant as the complex numbers. We show that:

- (i) The Cuntz semigroup $W(A)$ of equivalence classes of positive elements in matrix algebras over A is almost unperforated¹.
- (ii) If A is exact, then A is purely infinite if and only if A is traceless.
- (iii) If A is separable and nuclear, then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if and only if A is traceless.
- (iv) If A is simple and unital, then the stable rank of A is one if and only if A is finite.

We also characterise when A is of real rank zero.

1 Introduction

Jiang and Su gave in their paper [12] a classification of simple inductive limits of direct sums of dimension drop C^* -algebras. (A dimension drop C^* -algebra is a certain sub- C^* -algebra of $M_n(C([0, 1]))$, a precise definition of which is given in the next section.) They prove that inside this class there exists a unital, simple, infinite dimensional C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} whose Elliott invariant is isomorphic to the Elliott invariant of the complex numbers, that is,

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Z}), K_0(\mathcal{Z})^+, [1]) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^+, 1), \quad K_1(\mathcal{Z}) = 0, \quad T(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\tau\},$$

¹Almost perforation is a natural extension of the notion of weak unperforation for *simple* ordered abelian (semi-)groups, see Section 3.

where τ is the unique tracial state on \mathcal{Z} . They proved that $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}$, and that $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A$ if A is a simple, unital, infinite dimensional AF-algebra or if A is a unital Kirchberg algebra. Toms and Winter have in a paper currently under preparation extended the latter result by showing that $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A$ for all approximately divisible C^* -algebras. Toms and Winter note that upon combining results from [12] with [16, Theorem 8.2] one obtains that a separable C^* -algebra A is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing if and only if there is a unital embedding of \mathcal{Z} into the relative commutant $\mathcal{M}(A)_{\omega} \cap A'$, where $\mathcal{M}(A)_{\omega}$ is the ultrapower, associated with a free filter ω on \mathbb{N} , of the multiplier algebra, $\mathcal{M}(A)$, of A . This provides a partial answer to the question raised by Gong, Jiang, and Su in [9] if one can give an intrinsic description of which (separable, nuclear) C^* -algebras absorb \mathcal{Z} .

Gong, Jiang, and Su prove in [9] that $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+) \cong (K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}), K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})^+)$ if and only if $K_0(A)$ is weakly unperforated as an ordered group, when A is a simple C^* -algebra; and hence that A and $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ have isomorphic Elliott invariant if A is simple with weakly unperforated K_0 -group. This result indicates that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ whenever A is “classifiable” in the sense of Elliott (see Elliott, [8], or [23] by the author).

The results quoted above show on the one hand that surprisingly many C^* -algebras, including for example the irrational rotation C^* -algebras, absorb the Jiang–Su algebra, but on the other hand that not all simple, unital, nuclear, separable C^* -algebras are \mathcal{Z} -absorbing. Villadsen’s example from [27] of a simple, unital AH-algebra whose K_0 -group is not weakly unperforated cannot absorb \mathcal{Z} . The example by the author in [24] of a simple, unital, nuclear, separable C^* -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection is prime (i.e., is not the tensor product of two non type I C^* -algebras), and is hence not \mathcal{Z} -absorbing. Toms gave in [25] an example of a simple, unital ASH-algebra which is not \mathcal{Z} -absorbing, but which has weakly unperforated K_0 -group. The latter two examples (by the author and by Toms) have the same Elliott invariant as, but are not isomorphic to, their \mathcal{Z} -absorbing counterparts; and so they serve as counterexamples to the classification conjecture of Elliott (as it is formulated in [23, Section 2.2]).

It appears plausible that the Elliott conjecture holds for all simple, unital, nuclear, separable \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras.

In the present paper we begin by showing that the Cuntz semigroup of equivalence classes of positive elements in a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra is almost unperforated (a property that for simple ordered abelian (semi-)groups coincides with the weak unperforation property, see Section 3). We use this to show that the semigroup $V(A)$ of Murray–von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra A , and in some cases also $K_0(A)$, is almost (or weakly) unperforated. We show that the stable rank of A is one if A is a simple, finite, unital \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra, thus answering in the affirmative

a question from [9]. In the last section we characterise when a simple unital \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra is of real rank zero.

2 Preliminary facts about the Jiang–Su algebra \mathcal{Z}

We establish a couple of results that more or less follow directly from Jiang and Su’s paper [12] on their C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} .

For each triple of natural numbers n, n_0, n_1 , for which n_0 and n_1 divides n , the *dimension drop C^* -algebra* $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ is the sub- C^* -algebra of $C([0, 1], M_n)$ consisting of all functions f such that $f(0) \in \varphi_0(M_{n_0})$ and $f(1) \in \varphi_1(M_{n_1})$, where $\varphi_j: M_{n_j} \rightarrow M_n$, $j = 0, 1$, are fixed unital $*$ -homomorphisms. (The C^* -algebra $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ is—up to $*$ -isomorphism— independent on the choice of the $*$ -homomorphisms φ_j .) The dimension drop C^* -algebra $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ is said to be *prime* if n_0 and n_1 are relatively prime and $n = n_0 n_1$. If $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ is prime, then it has no projections other than the two trivial ones: 0 and 1, cf. [12].

The C^* -algebra $I(n, nm, m)$ can, and will in this paper, be realized as the sub- C^* -algebra of $C([0, 1], M_n \otimes M_m)$ consisting of those functions f for which $f(0) \in M_n \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes M_m$.

A unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi: I(n_0, n, n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ will here be said to be *standard*, if

$$\tau(\psi(f)) = \int_0^1 \text{tr}(f(t)) \, dm(t), \quad f \in I(n_0, n, n_1), \quad (2.1)$$

where τ is the unique trace on \mathcal{Z} , and where tr is the normalised trace on M_n .

The following theorem is essentially contained in Jiang and Su’s paper ([12]).

Theorem 2.1 (Jiang–Su) *Let n, n_0, n_1 be a triple of natural numbers where n_0 and n_1 are relatively prime and $n = n_0 n_1$. As above, let τ denote the unique trace on \mathcal{Z} .*

- (i) *For each faithful tracial state τ_0 on $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ there exists a unital embedding $\psi: I(n_0, n, n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\tau \circ \psi = \tau_0$. In particular, there is a standard unital embedding of $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ into \mathcal{Z} .*
- (ii) *Two unital embeddings $\psi_1, \psi_2: I(n_0, n, n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ are approximately unitarily equivalent if and only if $\tau \circ \psi_1 = \tau \circ \psi_2$. In particular, ψ_1 and ψ_2 are approximately unitarily equivalent if they both are standard.*

Proof: For brevity, denote the prime dimension drop C^* -algebra $I(n_0, n, n_1)$ by I .

Find an increasing sequence $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq B_3 \subseteq \dots$ of sub- C^* -algebras of \mathcal{Z} such that each B_k is (isomorphic to) a prime dimension drop algebra of the form $I(n_0(k), n(k), n_1(k))$, and such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ is dense in \mathcal{Z} . Simplicity of \mathcal{Z} ensures that $n_0(k)$, $n(k)$, and $n_1(k)$ all tend to infinity as k tends to infinity.

It is shown in [12, Lemma 2.3] that $K_0(I)$ and $K_0(B_k)$ are infinite cyclic groups each generated by the class of the unit in the corresponding algebra, and $K_1(I)$ and $K_1(B_k)$ are both trivial. This entails that $KK(\psi_1) = KK(\psi_2)$ for any pair of *unital* $*$ -homomorphisms $\psi_1, \psi_2: I \rightarrow B_k$.

In both parts of the proof we shall apply the uniqueness theorem, [12, Corollary 5.6], in Jiang and Su's paper. For each $x \in [0, 1]$ consider the extremal tracial state τ_x on a dimension drop C^* -algebra $I(m_0, m, m_1)$ given by $\tau_x(f) = \text{tr}(f(x))$ (where tr is the normalised trace on M_m). Each self-adjoint element f in a dimension drop C^* -algebra $I(m_0, m, m_1)$ gives rise to a function $\hat{f} \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$ defined by $\hat{f}(x) = \tau_x(f)$. If f is a self-adjoint element in the center of $I(m_0, m, m_1)$, then $\hat{f} = f$. Every $*$ -homomorphism $\psi: I(m_0, m, m_1) \rightarrow I(m'_0, m', m'_1)$ between two dimension drop C^* -algebras induces a positive linear mapping $\psi_*: C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1]) \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$ given by $\psi_*(f) = \widehat{\psi(f)}$ (when we identify $C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$ with the self-adjoint part of the center of $I(m_0, m, m_1)$). Let $h_{D,d} \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$, $d = 1, 2, \dots, D$, be the test functions defined in [12, (5.5)] (and previously considered by Elliott).

(i). Let τ_0 be a faithful trace on $I = I(n_0, n, n_1)$. Let $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of I with dense union. By a one-sided approximate intertwining argument (after Elliott, see eg. [17, Theorem 1.10.14]) it suffices to find a sequence $1 \leq m(1) < m(2) < m(3) < \dots$ of integers, a sequence $\psi_j: I \rightarrow B_{m(j)}$ of unital $*$ -homomorphisms, and unitaries $u_j \in B_{m(j)}$ such that

$$\|u_{j+1}^* \psi_j(f) u_{j+1} - \psi_{j+1}(f)\| \leq 2^{-j}, \quad |\tau(\psi_j(f)) - \tau_0(f)| \leq 1/j, \quad f \in F_j,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. It will then follow that there exist a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and unitaries $v_j \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\|v_j^* \psi_j(f) v_j - \psi(f)\|$ tends to zero as j tends to infinity for all $f \in I$. This will imply that $\tau \circ \psi = \tau_0$.

For each j choose a natural number D_j such that $\|f(s) - f(t)\| \leq 2^{-j}$ for all $f \in F_j$ and for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ with $|s - t| \leq 6/D_j$. Let G_j be the finite set that contains F_j and the test functions $h_{D_j,d}$, $d = 1, \dots, D_j$. Put

$$c_j = \frac{2}{3} \min \{ \tau_0(h_{D_j,d-1} - h_{D_j,d}) \mid d = 2, 3, \dots, D_j \} > 0.$$

By [12, Corollary 4.4] — if $m(j)$ are chosen large enough — there exists for each j a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi_j: I \rightarrow B_{m(j)}$ such that $|\tau'(\psi_j(f)) - \tau_0(f)| < \min\{1/j, c_j/2, c_{j-1}/2\}$ for all tracial states τ' on $B_{m(j)}$ and for all $f \in G_j$. In particular, $|\tau(\psi_j(f)) - \tau_0(f)| < 1/j$ for $f \in F_j$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_j)_*(h_{D_j, d-1} - h_{D_j, d}) &\geq c_j, & (\psi_{j+1})_*(h_{D_j, d-1} - h_{D_j, d}) &\geq c_j, \\ \|(\psi_{j+1})_*(h_{D_j, d}) - (\psi_j)_*(h_{D_j, d})\|_\infty &< c_j, \end{aligned}$$

for $d = (1), 2, 3, \dots, D_j$. It now follows from [12, Corollary 5.6] that there exists a unitary u_{j+1} in $B_{m(j+1)}$ such that $\|u_{j+1}^* \psi_j(f) u_{j+1} - \psi_{j+1}(f)\| \leq 2^{-j}$.

(ii). The “only if” part is trivial. Assume that $\tau \circ \psi_1 = \tau \circ \psi_2$. Take a finite subset F of I and let $\varepsilon > 0$.

It is shown in [12] (and in [6]) that the dimension drop C^* -algebra $I = I(n_0, n, n_1)$ is semiprojective. We can therefore, for some large enough k_0 , find unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\psi_1^{(k)}, \psi_2^{(k)}: I \rightarrow B_k$ for each $k \geq k_0$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_j(f) - \psi_j^{(k)}(f)\| = 0, \quad f \in I, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.2)$$

We assert that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\psi_j^{(k)})_*(h) - (\tau \circ \psi_j)(h)\mathbf{1}\|_\infty = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1]), \quad (2.3)$$

when we identify $C_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1])$ with the self-adjoint portion of the center of $I = I(n_0, n, n_1)$. Indeed, because τ is the unique trace on \mathcal{Z} , the quantity

$$\sup_{\tau' \in T(B_k)} |\tau'(b) - \tau(b)|, \quad b \in B_\ell,$$

tends to zero as k tends to infinity (with $k \geq \ell$). Hence, if we let $\iota_{k, \ell}$ denote the inclusion mapping $B_\ell \rightarrow B_k$, then $\|(\iota_{k, \ell})_*(h) - \tau(h)\mathbf{1}\|_\infty$ tends to zero as k tends to infinity ($k \geq \ell$). It follows from (2.2) that $\|(\iota_{k, \ell} \circ \psi_j^{(\ell)})_*(h) - (\psi_j^{(k)})_*(h)\|_\infty$ is small if ℓ is large (and $k \geq \ell$). The claim in (2.3) follows from these facts and the identity $(\iota_{k, \ell} \circ \psi_j^{(\ell)})_* = (\iota_{k, \ell})_* \circ (\psi_j^{(\ell)})_*$.

Choose an integer D such that $\|f(s) - f(t)\| < \varepsilon/9$ for all $f \in F$ and for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$ with $|s - t| \leq 6/D$. Each $\psi_j(h_{D, d-1} - h_{D, d})$ is a non-zero and positive element in \mathcal{Z} , and we can therefore find $c > 0$ such that $(\tau \circ \psi_j)(h_{D, d-1} - h_{D, d}) \geq 2c$ for $d = 2, 3, \dots, D$ and $j = 1, 2$. Use (2.2) and the assumption $\tau \circ \psi_1 = \tau \circ \psi_2$ to find $k \geq k_0$ such that

$\|\psi_j(f) - \psi_j^{(k)}(f)\| < \varepsilon/3$ and

$$(\psi_j^{(k)})_*(h_{D,d-1} - h_{D,d}) \geq c, \quad \|(\psi_1^{(k)})_*(h_{D,d}) - (\psi_2^{(k)})_*(h_{D,d})\|_\infty < c,$$

for all $f \in F$, for $d = (1), 2, \dots, D$, and for $j = 1, 2$. It then follows from [12, Corollary 5.6] that there is a unitary element u in B_k such that $\|\psi_2^{(k)}(f) - u^*\psi_1^{(k)}(f)u\| \leq \varepsilon/3$ for all $f \in F$; whence $\|\psi_2(f) - u^*\psi_1(f)u\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$. This proves that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are approximately unitarily equivalent. \square

For any natural numbers n and m let $E(n, m)$ be the C^* -algebra that consists of all functions f in $C([0, 1], M_{n^\infty} \otimes M_{m^\infty})$ for which $f(0) \in M_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes M_{m^\infty}$.

Proposition 2.2 *There is a unital embedding of $E(n, m)$ into \mathcal{Z} for every pair of natural numbers n, m that are relatively prime.*

Proof: For each k there is a unital embedding $\sigma_k: M_{n^k} \otimes M_{m^k} \rightarrow M_{n^{k+1}} \otimes M_{m^{k+1}}$ which satisfies

$$\sigma_k(M_{n^k} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_{n^{k+1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma_k(\mathbb{C} \otimes M_{m^k}) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \otimes M_{m^{k+1}}.$$

Thus $f \mapsto \sigma_k \circ f$ defines a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho_k: I(n^k, n^k m^k, m^k) \rightarrow I(n^{k+1}, n^{k+1} m^{k+1}, m^{k+1})$, and $E(n, m)$ is the inductive limit of the sequence

$$I(n, nm, m) \xrightarrow{\rho_1} I(n^2, n^2 m^2, m^2) \xrightarrow{\rho_2} I(n^3, n^3 m^3, m^3) \xrightarrow{\rho_3} \dots \longrightarrow E(n, m).$$

Take standard unital embeddings $\psi_k: I(n^k, n^k m^k, m^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ (cf. Theorem 2.1 (i)). Then ψ_k and $\psi_{k+1} \circ \rho_k$ are both standard unital embedding of $I(n^k, n^k m^k, m^k)$ into \mathcal{Z} , so they are approximately unitarily equivalent by Theorem 2.1 (ii). We obtain the desired embedding of $E(n, m)$ into \mathcal{Z} from this fact combined with a one-sided approximate intertwining (after Elliott), see for example [17, Theorem 1.10.14]. \square

3 Almost unperforation

Consider an ordered abelian semigroup $(W, +, \leq)$. An element $x \in W$ is called *positive* if $y + x \geq y$ for all $y \in W$, and W is said to be positive if all elements in W are positive. If W has a zero-element 0 , then W is positive if and only if $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in W$. An abelian semigroup equipped with the *algebraic order*: $x \leq y$ iff $y = x + z$ for some $z \in W$, is positive.

Definition 3.1 A positive ordered abelian semigroup W is said to be *almost unperforated* if for all $x, y \in W$ and all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $nx \leq my$ and $n > m$, one has $x \leq y$.

Let W be a positive ordered abelian semigroup. Write $x \propto y$ if x, y are elements in W and $x \leq ny$ for some natural number n (i.e., x belongs to the ideal in W generated by the element y). The element y is said to be an *order unit* for W if $x \propto y$ for all $x \in W$. For each positive element x in W let $S(W, x)$ be the set of order preserving additive maps $f: W \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $f(x) = 1$. Although we shall not use this fact, we mention that $S(W, x)$ is non-empty if and only if for all natural numbers n and m , with $nx \leq mx$, one has $n \leq m$. This follows from [4, Corollary 2.7] and the following observation that also will be used in the proof of the proposition below. For any element $x \in W$ the set $W_0 = \{z \in W \mid z \propto x\}$ is an order ideal in W , and x is an order unit for W_0 . Moreover, any state f in $S(W_0, x)$ extends to a state \bar{f} in $S(W, x)$ by setting $\bar{f}(z) = \infty$ for $z \in W \setminus W_0$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let W be a positive ordered abelian semigroup. Then W is almost unperforated if and only if the following condition holds: For all elements x, y in W , with $x \propto y$ and $f(x) < f(y)$ for all $f \in S(W, y)$, one has $x \leq y$.*

Proof: Following the argument above we can—if necessary by passing to an order ideal of W —assume that y is an order unit for W . The “only if” part now follows from [22, Proposition 3.1], which again uses Goodearl and Handelman’s extension result [10, Lemma 4.1].

To prove the “if” part, take elements $x, y \in W$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n + 1)x \leq ny$. Then $x \propto y$ because $x \leq (n + 1)x \leq ny$; and $f(x) \leq n(n + 1)^{-1} < 1 = f(y)$ for all $f \in S(W, y)$, whence $x \leq y$. \square

Definition 3.3 An ordered abelian group (G, G^+) is said to be *almost unperforated* if for all $g \in G$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $ng, (n + 1)g \in G^+$, one has $g \in G^+$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let (G, G^+) be an ordered abelian group. Then G is almost unperforated if and only if the positive semigroup G^+ is almost unperforated.*

Proof: Suppose that G is almost unperforated and that $x, y \in G^+$ satisfy $(n + 1)x \leq ny$ for some natural number n . Then $n(y - x) \geq x \geq 0$ and $(n + 1)(y - x) \geq y \geq 0$, whence $y - x \geq 0$ and $y \geq x$. Conversely, suppose that G^+ is almost unperforated and that $ng, (n + 1)g \in G^+$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(n + 1)ng = n(n + 1)g$, we get $ng \leq (n + 1)g$, which implies that $g = (n + 1)g - ng$ belongs to G^+ . \square

A *simple* ordered abelian group is almost unperforated if and only if it is weakly unperforated. Indeed, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$ are such that $ng \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$, then, by simplicity of

G , there is a natural number k such that $kn g \geq g$. Thus $(kn - 1)g$ and $kn g$ are positive, so g is positive if G is almost unperforated (cf. Lemma 3.4). Conversely, if G is weakly unperforated and $ng, (n + 1)g \in G^+$, then $g \in G^+$ if $ng \neq 0$, and $g = (n + 1)g \in G^+$ if $ng = 0$.

Elliott considered in [7] a notion of what he called weak unperforation of (non-simple) ordered abelian groups with torsion. (We have refrained from using the term “weak unperforation” in Definition 3.3 to avoid conflict with Elliott’s definition.) A torsion free group is weakly unperforated in the sense of Elliott if and only if it is unperforated: $ng \geq 0$ implies $g \geq 0$ for all group elements g and for all natural numbers n . The group $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the positive cone generated by the three elements $(1, 0), (0, 1), (2, -2)$ is torsion free with perforation: $(2, -2) \in G^+$ but $(1, -1) \notin G^+$, so it is not weakly unperforated in the sense of Elliott. However, the group (G, G^+) is almost unperforated, as the reader can verify.

In the converse direction, any weakly unperforated group is almost unperforated. Indeed, if G is weakly unperforated and $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $ng, (n + 1)g$ are positive, then g is positive modulo torsion, i.e., $g + t$ is positive for some $t \in G_{\text{tor}}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the order of t , find natural numbers ℓ_1, ℓ_2 such that $N = \ell_1 n + \ell_2(n + 1)$ is congruent with -1 modulo k . Then $Ng = Ng + (N + 1)t$ is positive, whence $-t \leq N(g + t)$, which by the hypothesis of weak unperforation implies that $g = (g + t) + (-t)$ is positive.

4 Weak and almost unperforation of \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras

Cuntz associates in [5] to each C^* -algebra A a positive ordered abelian semigroup $W(A)$ as follows. Let $M_\infty(A)^+$ denote the (disjoint) union $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty M_n(A)^+$. For $a \in M_n(A)^+$ and $b \in M_m(A)^+$ set $a \oplus b = \text{diag}(a, b) \in M_{n+m}(A)^+$, and write $a \precsim b$ if there is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in $M_{m,n}(A)$ such that $x_k^* b x_k \rightarrow a$. Write $a \sim b$ if $a \precsim b$ and $b \precsim a$. Put $W(A) = M_\infty(A)^+ / \sim$, and let $\langle a \rangle \in W(A)$ be the equivalence class containing a (so that $W(A) = \{\langle a \rangle \mid a \in M_\infty(A)^+\}$). Then $W(A)$ is a positive ordered abelian semigroup when equipped with the relations:

$$\langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle = \langle a \oplus b \rangle, \quad \langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle \iff a \precsim b, \quad a, b \in M_\infty(A)^+.$$

Following the standard convention, for each positive element $a \in A$ and for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$, write $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ for the positive element in A given by $h_\varepsilon(a)$, where $h_\varepsilon(t) = \max\{t - \varepsilon, 0\}$. We recall below some facts about the comparison of two positive elements a, b in a C^* -algebra

A (see [5, Proposition 1.1] and [22, Section 2]):

- (a) $a \preceq b$ if and only if $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \preceq b$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (b) $a \preceq b$ if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in A$ such that $x^*bx = (a - \varepsilon)_+$.
- (c) If $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$, then $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \preceq b$.
- (d) $((a - \varepsilon_1)_+ - \varepsilon_2)_+ = (a - (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2))_+$.
- (e) $a + b \preceq a \oplus b$; and if $a \perp b$, then $a + b \sim a \oplus b$.

If a belongs to the closed two-sided ideal, \overline{AbA} , generated by b , then $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the algebraic two-sided ideal, AbA , generated by b for all $\varepsilon > 0$, in which case $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*bx_i$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $x_i \in A$. This shows that

$$a \in \overline{AbA} \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \langle (a - \varepsilon)_+ \rangle \leq n\langle b \rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let A and B be two C^* -algebras, let $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ be positive elements, and let n, m be natural numbers.*

- (i) *If $n\langle a \rangle \leq m\langle a' \rangle$ in $W(A)$, then $n\langle a \otimes b \rangle \leq m\langle a' \otimes b \rangle$ in $W(A \otimes B)$.*
- (ii) *If $n\langle b \rangle \leq m\langle b' \rangle$ in $W(B)$, then $n\langle a \otimes b \rangle \leq m\langle a \otimes b' \rangle$ in $W(A \otimes B)$.*

Proof: (i). Assume that $n\langle a \rangle \leq m\langle a' \rangle$ in $W(A)$. Then there is a sequence $x_k = \{x_k(i, j)\}$ in $M_{m,n}(A)$ such that $x_k^*(a' \otimes 1_m)x_k \rightarrow a \otimes 1_n$ (or, equivalently, such that $\sum_{l=1}^m x_k(l, i)^*a'x_k(l, j) \rightarrow \delta_{ij}a$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Let $\{e_k\}$ be a sequence of positive contractions in B such that $e_kbe_k \rightarrow b$. Put $y_k(i, j) = x_k(i, j) \otimes e_k \in A \otimes B$, and put $y_k = \{y_k(i, j)\} \in M_{m,n}(A \otimes B)$. Then $y_k^*((a' \otimes b) \otimes 1_m)y_k \rightarrow (a \otimes b) \otimes 1_n$ (or, equivalently, $\sum_{l=1}^m y_k(l, i)^*(a' \otimes b)y_k(l, j) \rightarrow \delta_{ij}(a \otimes b)$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$). This shows that $n\langle a \otimes b \rangle \leq m\langle a' \otimes b \rangle$.

(ii) follows from (i) by symmetry. □

Lemma 4.2 *For all natural numbers n there exists a positive element e_n in \mathcal{Z} such that $n\langle e_n \rangle \leq \langle 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle \leq (n+1)\langle e_n \rangle$.*

Proof: By Theorem 2.1 (a fact which follows easily from Jiang and Su's paper [12]) the C^* -algebra $I = I(n, n(n+1), n+1)$ admits a unital embedding into \mathcal{Z} , so it suffices to find a positive element e_n in I such that $n\langle e_n \rangle \leq \langle 1_I \rangle \leq (n+1)\langle e_n \rangle$ in $W(I)$.

The idea of the proof is simple (but verifying the details requires some effort): There are positive functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1} in I such that

(i)

$$f_i(0) = \begin{cases} e_{ii}^{(n)} \otimes 1, & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ 0, & i = n+1, \end{cases} \quad f_i(t) = 1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)}, \quad t \in [1/2, 1],$$

(where $\{e_{ij}^{(m)}\}_{i,j=1}^m$ denotes the canonical set of matrix units for $M_m(\mathbb{C})$),

(ii) f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are pairwise orthogonal,

(iii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f_i = 1$, and

(iv) $f_{n+1} \preceq f_1 \sim f_2 \sim \dots \sim f_n$.

It will follow from (iii) and (e) that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle f_i \rangle \geq \langle 1 \rangle$; and (ii) and (e) imply that $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle f_i \rangle \leq \langle 1 \rangle$. It therefore follows from (iv) that $e_n = f_1$ has the desired property.

We proceed to construct the functions f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} . Put

$$W = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij}^{(n)} \otimes e_{ji}^{(n+1)} + 1 \otimes e_{n+1,n+1}^{(n+1)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Then W is a self-adjoint unitary element in $M_n \otimes M_{n+1}$, and

$$W(1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)})W^* = e_{ii}^{(n)} \otimes (1 - e_{n+1,n+1}^{(n+1)}) \leq e_{ii}^{(n)} \otimes 1, \quad (4.3)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Choose a continuous path of unitaries $t \mapsto V_t$ in $M_n \otimes M_{n+1}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $V_0 = 1$ and $V_t = W$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$. Put $W_t = V_t W$. Choose a continuous path $t \mapsto \gamma_t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_t = 1$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$. Define $f_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_n \otimes M_{n+1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(t) &= \gamma_t W_t (1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)}) W_t^* + (1 - \gamma_t) V_t (e_{ii}^{(n)} \otimes 1) V_t^*, & i = 1, \dots, n, \\ f_{n+1}(t) &= \gamma_t W_t (1 \otimes e_{n+1,n+1}^{(n+1)}) W_t^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to check that (i) and (iii) above hold. From (i) we see that all f_i belong to I . Use (4.3) to see that $f_i(t) \leq V_t (e_{ii}^{(n)} \otimes 1) V_t^*$ for all t and all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and use again this to see that (ii) holds.

We proceed to show that (iv) holds. Let $S \in M_n$ and $T \in M_{n+1}$ be the permutation unitaries for which $S^{i-1} e_{11}^{(n)} S^{-(i-1)} = e_{ii}^{(n)}$ and $T^{i-1} e_{11}^{(n+1)} T^{-(i-1)} = e_{ii}^{(n+1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n, (n+1)$. Put

$$R_i(t) = V_t (S^{i-1} \otimes 1) V_t^*, \quad t \in [0, 1/2], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Brief calculations show that $R_i(0) = S^{i-1} \otimes 1 \in M_n \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $f_i(t) = R_i(t)f_1(t)R_i(t)^*$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(1/2)(1 \otimes e_{11}^{(n+1)})R_i(1/2)^* &= R_i(1/2)f_1(1/2)R_i(1/2)^* = f_i(1/2) = 1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)} \\ &= (1 \otimes T^{(i-1)})(1 \otimes e_{11}^{(n+1)})(1 \otimes T^{-(i-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The unitary group of the relative commutant $M_n \otimes M_{n+1} \cap \{1 \otimes e_{11}^{(n+1)}\}'$ is connected, so we can extend the paths $t \mapsto R_i(t)$, $t \in [0, 1/2]$, to continuous paths $t \mapsto R_i(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $R_i(1) = 1 \otimes T^{-(i-1)} \in \mathbb{C} \otimes M_{n+1}$ and $R_i(t)f_1(t)R_i(t)^* = 1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)} = f_i(t)$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$ and for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Thus R_i is a unitary element in I and $R_i f_1 R_i^* = f_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. This proves that $f_1 \sim f_2 \sim \dots \sim f_n$.

We must also show that $f_{n+1} \lesssim f_1$. Let $g_i \in I$ be given by $g_i(t) = \gamma_t W_t(1 \otimes e_{ii}^{(n+1)})W_t^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ (so that $f_{n+1} = g_{n+1}$). A calculation then shows that $R_i g_1 R_i^* = g_i$ so that g_1, \dots, g_n are unitarily equivalent. By symmetry, $f_{n+1} = g_{n+1}$ is unitarily equivalent to g_1 , and as $g_1 \leq f_1$, we conclude that $f_{n+1} \lesssim f_1$. \square

Lemma 4.3 *Let A be any C^* -algebra, and let a, a' be positive elements in A for which $(n+1)\langle a \rangle \leq n\langle a' \rangle$ in $W(A)$ for some natural number n . Then $\langle a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle \leq \langle a' \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$ in $W(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$.*

Proof: Take e_n in \mathcal{Z} as in Lemma 4.2. Then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\langle a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle \leq (n+1)\langle a \otimes e_n \rangle \leq n\langle a' \otimes e_n \rangle \leq \langle a' \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$$

in $W(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})$. \square

Lemma 4.4 *Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra. Then there is a sequence of isomorphisms $\sigma_n: A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_n(a \otimes 1) - a\| = 0, \quad a \in A.$$

Proof: It is shown in [12] that \mathcal{Z} is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}$. We may therefore identify A with $A \otimes (\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z})$. With this identification we define $\sigma_n: A \otimes (\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A \otimes (\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z})$ to be the isomorphism that fixes A and the first n copies of \mathcal{Z} , which sends the last copy of \mathcal{Z} to the copy of \mathcal{Z} at position “ $n+1$ ”, and which shifts the remaining copies of \mathcal{Z} one place to the right. \square

Theorem 4.5 *Let A be a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra. Then $W(A)$ is almost unperforated.*

Proof: Let a, a' be positive elements in $M_\infty(A)$ for which $(n+1)\langle a \rangle \leq n\langle a' \rangle$. Upon replacing A by a matrix algebra over A (which still is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing) we may assume that a and a' both belong to A . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $a \otimes 1 \precsim a' \otimes 1$ in $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, so there exists $x \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ with $\|x^*(a' \otimes 1)x - a \otimes 1\| < \varepsilon$. Let $\sigma_k: A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$ be as in Lemma 4.4, and put $x_k = \sigma_k(x)$. Then $\|x_k^* \sigma_k(a' \otimes 1)x_k - \sigma_k(a \otimes 1)\| < \varepsilon$, whence $\|x_k^* a' x_k - a\| < \varepsilon$ if k is chosen large enough. This shows that $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle a' \rangle$ in $W(A)$. \square

A C^* -algebra A , where $W(A)$ is almost unperforated, has nice comparability properties, as we shall proceed to illustrate in the remaining part of this section, and in the later sections of this paper.

We recall a few facts about *dimension function*, introduced by Cuntz in [5]. A dimension function on a C^* -algebra A is an additive order preserving function $d: W(A) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. (We can also regard d as a function $M_\infty(A)^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ that respects the rules $d(a \oplus b) = d(a) + d(b)$ and $a \precsim b \Rightarrow d(a) \leq d(b)$ for all $a, b \in M_\infty(A)^+$.) A dimension function d is said to be *lower semi-continuous* if $d = \bar{d}$, where

$$\bar{d}(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} d((a - \varepsilon)_+), \quad a \in M_\infty(A)^+. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, \bar{d} is a lower semi-continuous dimension function on A for each dimension function d , cf. [22, Proposition 4.1]. Note that $d((a - \varepsilon)_+) \leq \bar{d}(a) \leq d(a)$ for every dimension function d and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and that $\bar{d}(p) = d(p)$ for every projection p .

By an *extended trace* on a C^* -algebra A we shall mean a function $\tau: A^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ which is additive, homogeneous, and has the trace property: $\tau(x^*x) = \tau(xx^*)$ for all $x \in A$. If τ is an extended trace on A , then

$$d_\tau(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \tau(f_\varepsilon(a)) \quad (= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(a^{1/n})), \quad a \in M_\infty(A)^+, \quad (4.5)$$

where $f_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by $f_\varepsilon(t) = \min\{\varepsilon^{-1}t, 1\}$, defines a lower semi-continuous dimension function on A . If A is exact, then every lower semi-continuous dimension function on A is of the form d_τ for some extended trace τ on A . (This follows from Blackadar and Handelman, [2, Theorem II.2.2], who show that one can lift d to a quasitrace, and from Haagerup, [11], and Kirchberg, [14], who show that quasitraces are traces on exact C^* -algebras).

With the characterization of lower semi-continuous dimension functions above and with Theorem 4.5 at hand one can apply the proof of [22, Theorem 5.2] to obtain:

Corollary 4.6 *Let A be a C^* -algebra for which $W(A)$ is almost unperforated (in particular, A could be a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra), and suppose in addition that A is exact, simple and unital. Let a, b be positive elements in A . If $d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b)$ for every tracial state τ on A , then $a \lesssim b$.*

We also have the following “non-simple” version of the result above.

Corollary 4.7 *Let A be a C^* -algebra for which $W(A)$ is almost unperforated (in particular, A could be a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra). Let a, b be positive elements in A . Suppose that a belongs to \overline{AbA} and that $d(a) < d(b)$ for every dimension function d on A with $d(b) = 1$. Then $a \lesssim b$.*

Proof: It follows from (4.1) that $\langle (a - \varepsilon)_+ \rangle \propto \langle b \rangle$ in $W(A)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$; and by assumption, $d(\langle (a - \varepsilon)_+ \rangle) \leq d(\langle a \rangle) < d(\langle b \rangle)$ for every $d \in S(W(A), \langle b \rangle)$. Thus $\langle (a - \varepsilon)_+ \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$ by Proposition 3.2, and this proves the corollary as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary. \square

Gong, Jiang and Su proved in [9] that the K_0 -group of a simple unital \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra is weakly unperforated. At the level of semigroups, we can extend this result to the non-simple case, as explained below.

Let $V(A)$ denote the semigroup of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in matrix algebras over A equipped with the algebraic order: $x \leq y$ if there exists z such that $y = x + z$. The relation “ \lesssim ”, defined in the beginning of this section, agrees with the usual comparison relation when applied to projections p and q , i.e., $p \lesssim q$ if and only if p is equivalent to a subprojection of q . The corollary below is thus an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.8 *The semigroup $V(A)$ is almost unperforated for every \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra A .*

It follows from Lemma 3.4 that if A is a stably finite C^* -algebra with an approximate unit consisting of projections, then $K_0(A)$ is almost unperforated if and only if $K_0(A)^+$ is almost unperforated. It seems plausible that $K_0(A)^+$ is almost unperforated whenever $V(A)$ is almost unperforated; and this is trivially the case when $V(A)$ has the cancellation property. This implication also holds when $V(A)$ is simple. Indeed, let $\gamma: V(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ be the Grothendieck map, so that $K_0(A)^+ = \gamma(V(A))$. Take $x, y \in V(A)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n+1)\gamma(x) \leq n\gamma(y)$. Then $(n+1)x + u \leq ny + u$ for some $u \in V(A)$. Repeated use of this inequality yields $N(n+1)x + u \leq Nny + u$ for all natural numbers N . That $V(A)$ is simple means that every non-zero element, and hence y , is an order unit for $V(A)$, so there is a

natural number k with $u \leq ky$. Now, $N(n+1)x \leq N(n+1)x + u \leq Nny + u \leq (Nn+k)y$, which for $N \geq k+1$ yields $x \leq y$ and hence $\gamma(x) \leq \gamma(y)$.

We thus have the following result, that slightly extends [9, Theorem 1].

Corollary 4.9 *Let A be a stably finite \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra with an approximate unit consisting of projections. If $V(A)$ has the cancellation property or if $V(A)$ is simple, then $K_0(A)$ is almost unperforated.*

Corollary 4.10 *Let A be an exact C^* -algebra for which $W(A)$ is almost unperforated (in particular, A could be an exact \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra). Let p, q be projections in A such that p belongs to \overline{AqA} . Suppose that $\tau(p) < \tau(q)$ for every extended trace τ on A with $\tau(q) = 1$. Then $p \prec q$.*

Proof: We show that $d(p) < d(q)$ for every dimension function d on A with $d(q) = 1$, and the result will then follow from Corollary 4.7. Let \bar{d} be the lower semi-continuous dimension function associated with d in (4.4). As remarked above, by Haagerup's theorem on quasitraces, $\bar{d} = d_\tau$ for some extended trace τ , cf. (4.5). Because d, \bar{d} and τ agree on projections, we have $\tau(q) = d(q) = 1$ and $d(p) = \tau(p) < \tau(q) = d(q)$ as desired. \square

5 Applications to purely infinite C^* -algebras

In this short section we derive two results that say when a \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra is purely infinite and \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing. Similar results were obtained in [16] for approximately divisible C^* -algebras. An exact C^* -algebra is said to be *traceless* when it admits no extended trace (see Section 3) that takes values other than 0 and ∞ .

Corollary 5.1 *Let A be an exact C^* -algebra for which $W(A)$ is almost unperforated (in particular, A could be an exact \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra). Then A is purely infinite if and only if A is traceless.*

Proof: Note first that A , being traceless, can have no abelian quotients. Each lower semi-continuous dimension function on A arises from an extended trace on A (as remarked above Corollary 4.6), and must therefore take values in $\{0, \infty\}$, again because A is traceless.

Take positive elements a, b in A such that a belongs to \overline{AbA} . We must show that $a \prec b$ (cf. [15]), and it suffices to show that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \prec b$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $\varepsilon > 0$. Let d be a dimension function on A such that $d(b) = 1$ (if such a dimension function exists), and let \bar{d} be its associated lower semi-continuous dimension function, cf. (4.4). Then $\bar{d}(b) = 0$ (as

remarked above). Use (4.1) to see that $\bar{d}((a - \varepsilon/2)_+) = 0$, and hence that $d((a - \varepsilon)_+) = 0$. Thus $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \precsim b$ by Corollary 4.7. \square

Theorem 5.2 *Let A be a nuclear separable \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra. Then A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ (i.e., $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$) if and only if A is traceless.*

Proof: If A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ , then A is traceless (see [16, Theorem 9.1]). Suppose conversely that A is traceless. We then know from Corollary 5.1 that A is purely infinite. It follows from [16, Theorem 9.1] (in the unital or the stable case) and from [13, Corollary 8.1] (in the general case) that A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ if A is *strongly purely infinite*, cf. [16, Definition 5.1].

We need therefore only show that any \mathcal{Z} -absorbing purely infinite C^* -algebra is strongly purely infinite. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ x^* & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+,$$

and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Take pairwise orthogonal non-zero positive contractions h_1, h_2 in the simple C^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} . Then

$$a \otimes 1 \in \overline{(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})(a \otimes h_1)(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})}, \quad b \otimes 1 \in \overline{(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})(b \otimes h_2)(A \otimes \mathcal{Z})}.$$

Since $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A$ is purely infinite there are elements c_1, c_2 in $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$\|c_1^*(a \otimes h_1)c_1 - a \otimes 1\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|c_2^*(b \otimes h_2)c_2 - b \otimes 1\| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\sigma_n: A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$ be as in Lemma 4.4, and put $d_{1,n} = \sigma_n((1 \otimes h_1^{1/2})c_1)$ and $d_{2,n} = \sigma_n((1 \otimes h_2^{1/2})c_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_{1,n}^* \sigma_n(a \otimes 1) d_{1,n} - \sigma_n(a \otimes 1)\| &< \varepsilon, & \|d_{2,n}^* \sigma_n(b \otimes 1) d_{2,n} - \sigma_n(b \otimes 1)\| &< \varepsilon, \\ d_{2,n}^* \sigma_n(x \otimes 1) d_{1,n} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The norm of $d_{j,n}$ does not depend on n . Thus, if we take $d_1 = d_{1,n}$ and $d_2 = d_{2,n}$ for some large enough n , then we obtain the desired estimates: $\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon$, $\|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon$, and $\|d_2^* x d_1\| < \varepsilon$. \square

6 The stable rank of \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras

We shall in this section show that simple, finite \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras have stable rank one.

Definition 6.1 A unital C^* -algebra A is said to be *strongly K_1 -surjective* if the canonical mapping $\mathcal{U}(A_0 + \mathbb{C}1_A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is surjective for every full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra A_0 of A . If the canonical mapping $\mathcal{U}(A_0 + \mathbb{C}1_A)/\mathcal{U}_0(A_0 + \mathbb{C}1_A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is injective for every full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra A_0 , then we say that A is *strongly K_1 -injective*.

Note that we do not assume simplicity in the two next lemmas.

Lemma 6.2 *Every full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra in a unital approximately divisible C^* -algebra contains a full projection.*

Proof: Let B be a full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a unital approximately divisible C^* -algebra A . Take a full positive element b in B . Then $n\langle b \rangle \geq \langle 1_A \rangle$ in $W(A)$ for some natural number n (by (4.1)). Since A is approximately divisible, there is a unital embedding of $M_{n+1} \oplus M_{n+2}$ into A , and, as shown in [26], A is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing. (We shall only apply this lemma in the case where A is the tensor product of a unital C^* -algebra with a UHF-algebra, and in this case we can conclude that A is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing by the result in [12] that any non-elementary simple AF-algebra, and in particular, every UHF-algebra, is \mathcal{Z} -absorbing.)

Let e and f be one-dimensional projections in M_{n+1} and M_{n+2} , respectively, and let $p \in A$ be the image of (e, f) under the inclusion mapping $M_{n+1} \oplus M_{n+2} \rightarrow A$. Then p is a full projection that satisfies $(n+1)\langle p \rangle \leq \langle 1 \rangle \leq n\langle b \rangle$. Hence, by Theorem 4.5, $\langle p \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$, i.e., $p \preceq b$. It follows that $p = x^*bx$ for some $x \in A$. Put $v = b^{1/2}x$. Then $p = v^*v$ and $p \sim vv^* = b^{1/2}xx^*b^{1/2} \in B$, so vv^* is a full projection in B . \square

Lemma 6.3 *Every unital approximately divisible C^* -algebra is strongly K_1 -surjective.*

Proof: Let B be a full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of A . We must show that the canonical map $\mathcal{U}(B + \mathbb{C}1_A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is surjective. Use Lemma 6.2 to find a full projection p in B . It suffices to show that the canonical map $\mathcal{U}(pAp + \mathbb{C}(1_A - p)) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is surjective. Take an element g in $K_1(A)$, and represent g as the class of a unitary element u in $M_n(A)$ for some large enough natural number n . Upon replacing $M_n(A)$ by A we can assume that $n = 1$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of projections $q \in A$ such that there exists a unitary element $v \in qAq$ for which $g = [v + (1_A - q)]_1$ in $K_1(A)$. We must show that \mathcal{P} contains all full projections in A . Note first that if q_1, q_2 are projections in A with $q_1 \preceq q_2$ and $q_1 \in \mathcal{P}$, then $q_2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Indeed, if $v_1 \in q_1Aq_1$ is unitary with $g = [v_1 + (1_A - q_1)]_1$ and if $s^*s = q_1$, $ss^* \leq q_2$, then v_2 given by $sv_1s^* + (q_2 - ss^*)$ is a unitary element in q_2Aq_2 , and $[v_1 + (1_A - q_1)]_1 = [v_2 + (1_A - q_2)]_1$.

Let $p \in A$ be a full projection. Then $(n-1)\langle p \rangle \geq \langle 1_A \rangle$ in $W(A)$ for some large enough natural number n , cf. (4.1). By approximate divisibility of A there is a unitary element

$u_0 \in A$ with $\|u - u_0\| < 2$, and a unital embedding $M_n \oplus M_{n+1} \rightarrow A \cap \{u_0\}'$; in other words, there are matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ and $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ in $A \cap \{u_0\}'$ such that $\sum_i e_{ii} + \sum_i f_{ii} = 1_A$. Note that $g = [u_0]_1$. Put $q = e_{11} + f_{11}$ and put $v = u_0^n e_{11} + u_0^{n+1} f_{11}$, so that v is a unitary element in qAq . It follows from the Whitehead lemma that u_0 is homotopic to $v + (1_A - q)$, whence q belongs to \mathcal{P} . As $n\langle q \rangle \leq \langle 1_A \rangle \leq (n-1)\langle p \rangle$, it follows from Theorem 4.5 that $q \lesssim p$, whence $p \in \mathcal{P}$ by the result in the second paragraph of the proof. \square

Rieffel proved in [19] that if A is a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one, then the canonical map $\mathcal{U}(A)/\mathcal{U}_0(A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is an isomorphism, and hence injective. Rieffel also showed for any such C^* -algebra A and any hereditary sub- C^* -algebra B of A (full or not) that the stable rank of $B + \mathbb{C}1_A$ is one.

Take now a full hereditary sub- C^* -algebra B of A , where A is unital and of stable rank one. Then

$$\mathcal{U}(B + \mathbb{C}1_A)/\mathcal{U}_0(B + \mathbb{C}1_A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow K_1(A)$$

is an isomorphism (the second map is an isomorphism by Brown's theorem, which guarantees that $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong B \otimes \mathcal{K}$).

This shows that any unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one is strongly K_1 -injective.

For each element x in a C^* -algebra A we can write $x = v|x|$, where v is a partial isometry in A^{**} . The element $x_\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v(|x| - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to A for every $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and $\|x - x_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon$. If x is positive, then $x_\varepsilon = (x - \varepsilon)_+$.

Lemma 6.4 *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, let a be a positive element in A , let $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ be given, and set $A^0 = \overline{g_\varepsilon(a)Ag_\varepsilon(a)}$, where $g_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by $g_\varepsilon(t) = \max\{1 - t/\varepsilon, 0\}$. Then $wa_\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon$ for every $w \in A^0 + 1_A$; and if w is a unitary element in A that satisfies $wa_{\varepsilon'} = a_{\varepsilon'}$, then w belongs to $A^0 + 1_A$.*

Proof: The first claim follows from the fact that $xa_\varepsilon = 0$ for every $x \in A^0$. Suppose that w is a unitary element in A with $wa_{\varepsilon'} = a_{\varepsilon'}$. Then $a_{\varepsilon'}w = a_{\varepsilon'}$, so $w - 1_A$ is orthogonal to $a_{\varepsilon'}$. But the orthogonal complement of $a_{\varepsilon'}$ is contained in A^0 . \square

Proposition 6.5 *Given a pull-back diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A & \\
 \varphi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \varphi_2 \\
 A_1 & & A_2 \\
 \psi_1 \searrow & \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \psi_2 \\
 & B &
 \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

with surjective $*$ -homomorphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 . Suppose that A, A_1, A_2 and B are unital C^* -algebras and that $a \in A$ are such that

- (i) A_1 and A_2 are strongly K_1 -surjective,
- (ii) B is strongly K_1 -injective,
- (iii) $\text{Im}(K_1(\psi_1)) + \text{Im}(K_1(\psi_2)) = K_1(B)$,
- (iv) a^*a is non-invertible in every non-zero quotient of A .

Then a belongs to the closure of $\text{GL}(A)$ if and only if $\varphi_j(a)$ belongs to the closure of $\text{GL}(A_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$.

The pull-back diagram (6.1) can, given $\psi_j: A_j \rightarrow B$, $j = 1, 2$, be realized with $A = \{(a_1, a_2) \in A_1 \oplus A_2 \mid \psi_1(a_1) = \psi_2(a_2)\}$ and with $\varphi_j(a_1, a_2) = a_j$.

Proof: The ‘‘only if’’ part is trivial. Assume now that $\varphi_j(a)$ belongs to the closure of $\text{GL}(A_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. It then follows from [20, Theorem 2.2] that there are unitary elements u_j in A_j such that $\varphi_j(a_{\varepsilon/2}) = u_j|\varphi_j(a_{\varepsilon/2})|$ for $j = 1, 2$. We show below that there are unitary elements v_j in A_j , $j = 1, 2$, such that $\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon) = v_j|\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)|$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\psi_1(v_1) = \psi_2(v_2)$. It follows that $v = (v_1, v_2)$ is a unitary element in A and that $a_\varepsilon = v|a_\varepsilon|$. This shows that a belongs to the closure of the invertibles in A (because $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary).

Let $g_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be as in Lemma 6.4, and put $A^0 = \overline{g_\varepsilon(|a|)Ag_\varepsilon(|a|)}$. Put

$$A_j^0 = \varphi_j(A^0) = \overline{g_\varepsilon(|\varphi_j(a)|)A_jg_\varepsilon(|\varphi_j(a)|)}, \quad B^0 = \pi(A^0) = \overline{g_\varepsilon(|\pi(a)|)Bg_\varepsilon(|\pi(a)|)}.$$

Assumption (iv) implies that A^0 is full in A . It follows that the hereditary subalgebras A_1^0, A_2^0, B^0 are full in A_1, A_2 and B , respectively.

It follows from the identity

$$\pi(a_{\varepsilon/2}) = \psi_1(u_1)|\pi(a_{\varepsilon/2})| = \psi_2(u_2)|\pi(a_{\varepsilon/2})|,$$

that $\psi_2(u_2)^*\psi_1(u_1)|\pi(a_{\varepsilon/2})| = |\pi(a_{\varepsilon/2})|$, and so $z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_2(u_2)^*\psi_1(u_1)$ belongs to $B^0 + 1_B$ (cf. Lemma 6.4). We show below that $z = \psi_2(w_2)\psi_1(w_1^*)$ for some unitaries w_j in $A_j^0 + \mathbb{C}1_{A_j}$, $j = 1, 2$.

Use conditions (i) and (iii) to find unitaries $y_j \in A_j^0 + \mathbb{C}1_{A_j}$ such that $[\psi_2(y_2)\psi_1(y_1)^*]_1 = [z]_1$ in $K_1(B)$. By condition (ii), the unitary element $(z_0 =) z\psi_1(y_1)\psi_2(y_2^*)$ is homotopic to

1 in the unitary group of $B^0 + \mathbb{C}1_B$. Hence $z_0 = \psi_2(y_0)$ for some unitary y_0 in $A_2^0 + \mathbb{C}1_{A_2}$. Now, $w_1 = y_1$ and $w_2 = y_0 y_2$ are as desired.

Upon replacing w_1 and w_2 by λw_1 and λw_2 for a suitable $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, we can assume that $w_j \in A_j^0 + 1_{A_j}$. Then, by Lemma 6.4, $w_j |\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)| = |\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)|$, $j = 1, 2$. It follows that $(v_j =) u_j w_j$ is a unitary in A_j , that $v_j |\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)| = u_j |\varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)| = \varphi_j(a_\varepsilon)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\psi_1(v_1) = \psi_1(u_1) \psi_1(w_1) = \psi_2(u_2) \psi_2(w_2) = \psi_2(v_2)$, as desired. \square

Lemma 6.6 *Let A be a simple, unital, finite C^* -algebra. Then $a \otimes 1$ belongs to the closure of the invertibles in $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for every $a \in A$.*

Proof: Let $E_{2,3}$ be the C^* -algebra which in Proposition 2.2 is shown to have a unital embedding into \mathcal{Z} . It suffices to show that $a \otimes 1$ belongs to the invertibles in $A \otimes E_{2,3}$. If $a^*a \otimes 1$ is invertible in some non-zero quotient of $A \otimes E_{2,3}$, then a^*a is invertible in A by simplicity of A , which again implies that a is invertible, because A is finite. The claim of the lemma is trivial in this case. Suppose now that there is no non-zero quotient of $A \otimes E_{2,3}$ in which $a^*a \otimes 1$ is invertible.

Identify $A \otimes E_{2,3}$ with

$$\{f \in C([0, 1], A \otimes B) \mid f(0) \in A \otimes B_1, f(1) \in A \otimes B_2\},$$

where B, B_1, B_2 are UHF algebras of type $6^\infty, 2^\infty$, and 3^∞ , respectively, with $B_j \subseteq B$. We have a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A \otimes E_{2,3} & \\ \varphi_1 \swarrow & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\frac{1}{2}} & \searrow \varphi_2 \\ D_1 & & D_2 \\ \text{ev}_{\frac{1}{2}} \swarrow & & \swarrow \text{ev}_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & A \otimes B & \end{array} \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$D_1 = \{f \in C([0, \frac{1}{2}], A \otimes B) \mid f(0) \in A \otimes B_1\}, \quad D_2 = \{f \in C([\frac{1}{2}, 1], A \otimes B) \mid f(1) \in A \otimes B_2\},$$

and where φ_1 and φ_2 are the restriction mappings.

We shall now use Proposition 6.5 to prove that $a \otimes 1$ belongs to the closure of the invertibles in $A \otimes E_{2,3}$. Condition (iv) of Proposition 6.5 is satisfied by the assumption on a made in the first paragraph of the proof. The C^* -algebras D_1 and D_2 are approximately

divisible because $D_j \cong D_j \otimes B_j$. It thus follows from Lemma 6.3 that condition (i) of Proposition 6.5 is satisfied. The C^* -algebra $A \otimes B$ is of stable rank one (cf. [21]), whence $A \otimes B$ is strongly K_1 -injective (cf. the remarks below Lemma 6.3).

We have natural inclusions $A \otimes B_j \subseteq D_j$ (identifying an element in $A \otimes B_j$ with a constant function), and the composition $A \otimes B_j \rightarrow D_j \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is the inclusion mapping. Hence, to prove that (iii) of Proposition 6.5 is satisfied, it suffices to show that $K_1(A \otimes B)$ is generated by the images of the two mappings $K_1(A \otimes B_j) \rightarrow K_1(A \otimes B)$, $j = 1, 2$. We have natural identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(A \otimes B_1) &= K_1(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2], & K_1(A \otimes B_2) &= K_1(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/3], \\ K_1(A \otimes B) &= K_1(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/6]. \end{aligned}$$

The desired identity now follows from the elementary fact that $\mathbb{Z}[1/2] + \mathbb{Z}[1/3] = \mathbb{Z}[1/6]$.

Retaining the inclusion $A \otimes B_j \subseteq D_j$ from the previous paragraph, $\varphi_j(a \otimes 1_{E_{2,3}}) = a \otimes 1_{B_j}$. Following [21], $a \otimes 1_{B_j}$ belongs to the closure of the invertibles in $A \otimes B_j$ (and hence to the closure of the invertibles in D_j) if (and only if) $\alpha_s(a) = 0$; and $\alpha_s(a) = 0$ for every element a in any unital, finite, simple C^* -algebra A . It thus follows that $\varphi_j(a)$ belongs to the closure of $\text{GL}(D_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. (If we had assumed that A is stably finite, then we could have used [21, Corollary 6.6] to conclude that the stable rank of $A \otimes B_j$ is one, which would have given us a more direct route to the conclusion above.) \square

Theorem 6.7 *Every simple, unital, finite \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra has stable rank one.*

Proof: Let A be a simple, unital, finite C^* -algebra such that A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$. Let $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. It follows from Lemma 6.6 that there is an invertible element $b \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\|a \otimes 1 - b\| < \varepsilon/2$. Let $\sigma_n: A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow A$ be as in Lemma 4.3 and choose n such that $\|\sigma_n(a \otimes 1) - a\| < \varepsilon/2$. Then $\|a - \sigma_n(b)\| < \varepsilon$, and $\sigma_n(b)$ is an invertible element in A . \square

7 The real rank of \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras

We conclude this paper with a result that describes when a simple \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra is of real rank zero. A simple *approximately divisible* C^* -algebra is of real rank zero if and only if projections separate quasitraces, as shown in [3] (and, as remarked earlier, each quasitrace on an exact C^* -algebra is a trace by [11] and [14]). It is not true that any \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra, where quasitraces are being separated by projections, is of real

rank zero. The Jiang–Su \mathcal{Z} itself is a counterexample. We must therefore require some further properties, for example that the K_0 -group is *weakly divisible*: for each $g \in K_0^+$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $h_1, h_2 \in K_0^+$ such that $g = nh_1 + (n+1)h_2$.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $T(A)$ denote the simplex of tracial states on A , and let $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ denote the normed space of real valued affine continuous functions on $T(A)$. Let $\rho: K_0(A) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(T(A))$ be the canonical map defined by $\rho(g)(\tau) = K_0(\tau)(g)$.

The result below is essentially contained in [22, Theorem 7.2] (see also [3]).

Proposition 7.1 *Let A be an exact unital simple C^* -algebra of stable rank one for which $W(A)$ is almost unperforated. Then A is of real rank zero if and only if $\rho(K_0(A))$ is uniformly dense in the normed space $\text{Aff}(T(A))$.*

The proof of [22, Theorem 7.2] applies almost verbatim. (At the point where we have a positive element $x \in A$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $d_\tau(\langle f_{\delta/2}(x) \rangle) < d_\tau(\langle f_{\delta/4}(x) \rangle)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, then, because $\tau \mapsto d_\tau(\langle y \rangle)$ defines an element in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ for every $y \in A^+$, it follows by density of $\rho(K_0(A))$ in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ that there is an element $g \in K_0(A)$ such that $d_\tau(\langle f_{\delta/2}(x) \rangle) < K_0(\tau)(g) < d_\tau(\langle f_{\delta/4}(x) \rangle)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. One next uses weak unperforation of $K_0(A)$ ([9] or Corollary 4.9) to conclude that g is positive, i.e., that $g = [q]$ for some projection q in a matrix algebra over A .)

Theorem 7.2 *The following conditions are equivalent for each unital, simple, exact, finite, \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra A .*

- (i) $\text{RR}(A) = 0$,
- (ii) $\rho(K_0(A))$ is uniformly dense in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$,
- (iii) $K_0(A)$ is weakly divisible and projections in A separate traces on A ,

If A is a simple, infinite, \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra, then A is purely infinite by [9]; and purely infinite C^* -algebras are of real rank zero by [28].

Proof: It follows from Theorem 4.5 that $W(A)$ is almost unperforated, and from Theorem 6.7 that the stable rank of A is one. We therefore get (ii) \Rightarrow (i) from Proposition 7.1. If (iii) holds, then for each element g in $K_0(A)^+$ and for each natural number n there exists $h \in K_0(A)^+$ such that $nh \leq g \leq (n+1)h$. This implies that the uniform closure of $\rho(K_0(A))$ in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$ is a closed subspace which separates points. Thus, by Kadison's Representation Theorem (see [1, II.1.8]), $\rho(K_0(A))$ is uniformly dense in $\text{Aff}(T(A))$, so (ii) holds. If (i) holds, then A is weakly divisible by [18] and traces on A are separated by projections. \square

A C^* -algebra is said to have property (SP) (“small projections”) if each non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebra contains a non-zero projection.

Corollary 7.3 *Let A be a simple, unital, exact \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebra with a unique trace τ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{RR}(A) = 0$,
- (ii) $K_0(\tau)(K_0(A))$ is dense in \mathbb{R} ,
- (iii) $K_0(A)$ is weakly divisible,
- (iv) A has property (SP).

Proof: The equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii) follows immediately from Theorem 7.2. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is trivial, and one easily sees that (iv) implies (ii). \square

References

- [1] E. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and boundary integrals*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 57*.
- [2] B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on C^* -algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **45** (1982), 297–340.
- [3] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian, and M. Rørdam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of non-commutative tori*, *K-theory* **6** (1992), 267–284.
- [4] B. Blackadar and M. Rørdam, *Extending states on Preordered semigroups and the existence of quasitraces on C^* -algebras*, *J. Algebra* **152** (1992), 240–247.
- [5] J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras*, *Math. Ann.* **233** (1978), 145–153.
- [6] S. Eilers, T. Loring, and G. K. Pedersen, *Stability of anticommutation relations. An application of non-commutative CW complexes*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **499** (1998), 101–143.
- [7] G. A. Elliott, *Dimension groups with torsion*, *Int. J. Math.* **1** (1990), 361–380.

- [8] ———, *The classification problem for amenable C^* -algebras*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Basel), vol. 1,2, Birkhäuser, 1995, pp. 922–932.
- [9] G. Gong, X. Jiang, and H. Su, *Obstructions to \mathcal{Z} -stability for unital simple C^* -algebras*, Canadian Math. Bull. **43** (2000), no. 4, 418–426.
- [10] K. R. Goodearl and D. Handelman, *Rank functions and K_0 of regular rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **7** (1976), 195–216.
- [11] U. Haagerup, *Every quasi-trace on an exact C^* -algebra is a trace*, preprint, 1991.
- [12] X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, American J. Math. **121** (1999), no. 2, 359–413.
- [13] E. Kirchberg, *Permanence properties of purely infinite C^* -algebras*, in preparation.
- [14] ———, *On the existence of traces on exact stably projectionless simple C^* -algebras*, Operator Algebras and their Applications (P. A. Fillmore and J. A. Mingo, eds.), Fields Institute Communications, vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, pp. 171–172.
- [15] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, *Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras*, American J. Math. **122** (2000), 637–666.
- [16] ———, *Infinite non-simple C^* -algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞* , Advances in Math. **167** (2002), no. 2, 195–264.
- [17] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [18] F. Perera and M. Rørdam, *AF -embeddings into C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, to appear in J. Funct. Anal.
- [19] M. Rieffel, *Dimension and stable rank in the K -theory of C^* -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **46** (1983), no. (3), 301–333.
- [20] M. Rørdam, *Advances in the theory of unitary rank and regular approximation*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 153–172.
- [21] ———, *On the Structure of Simple C^* -algebras Tensored with a UHF-Algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 1–17.

- [22] ———, *On the Structure of Simple C^* -algebras Tensored with a UHF-Algebra, II*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 255–269.
- [23] ———, *Classification of Nuclear, Simple C^* -algebras*, Classification of Nuclear C^* -Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras (J. Cuntz and V. Jones, eds.), vol. 126, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Subseries: Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, no. VII, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001, pp. 1–145.
- [24] ———, *A simple C^* -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection*, Acta Math. **191** (2003), 109–142.
- [25] A. Toms, *On the Independence of K -theory and Stable Rank for Simple C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
- [26] A. Toms and W. Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, preprint.
- [27] J. Villadsen, *Simple C^* -algebras with perforation*, J. Funct. Anal. **154** (1998), no. 1, 110–116.
- [28] S. Zhang, *A property of purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 717–720.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, ODENSE,
 CAMPUSVEJ 55, 5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

E-mail address: `mikael@imada.sdu.dk`

Internet home page: `www.imada.sdu.dk/~mikael/welcome`