

+

+

STABILITY OF MATTER
WITH
MAGNETIC SELF-INTERACTIONS

Jan Philip Solovej
Dept. of Math.
Aarhus University

Joint work with
Elliott H. Lieb and Michael Loss

+

1

+

+

Many-Body Quantum systems:

N electrons and K nuclei. Hamiltonian:

$$H_{N,K} = H = \sum_{k=1}^K T_k + \sum_{i=1}^N t_i + V_C.$$

The nuclear kinetic energy operator:

$$T_k = -\frac{1}{2M_k} \Delta_{R_k}, \quad M_k = \text{Nuclear mass}$$

The electron kinetic energy operator:

$$t_i = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_i}.$$

We shall consider other possible choices for t_i .

The Coulomb potential V_C is the function

$$V_C = - \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^N Z_k |x_i - R_k|^{-1} + \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} \\ + \sum_{k < \ell} Z_k Z_\ell |R_k - R_\ell|^{-1}$$

Units: $m_e = \hbar = e$

+

2

+

+

Question: In which Hilbert space \mathcal{H} does $H_{N,K}$ act?

1. case: We could choose \mathcal{H} to be the space of all square integrable functions.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \bigotimes_k^K L^2(\mathbb{R}_{R_k}^3) \otimes \bigotimes_i^N L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x_i}^3)$$

(we can always think of $H_{N,K}$ as being defined on the subspace of C_0^∞ -functions)

Ground State Energy: The lowest energy of the system is

$$E_{\mathcal{H}}^{N,K} = \inf \{ (H\psi, \psi) : \psi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\psi\| = 1, \psi \in C_0^\infty \}$$

Stability of 1st kind: $E_{\mathcal{H}}^{N,K} > -\infty$

Stability of 2nd kind:

$$E_{\mathcal{H}}^{N,K} > C(\max\{Z_k\})(N + K)$$

Explanation: The energy per particle must be bounded.

+

3

+

+

Theorem *On the space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ of all L^2 -functions stability of the first kind holds. Stability of the second kind is false.*

Stability of the first kind, one of the original motivations for quantum mechanics, follows e.g. from the Sobolev inequality. Instability of the 2nd. kind is difficult, proved by Dyson '67.

The Pauli principle: The missing ingredient to obtain stability of the 2nd kind. The physical Hilbert space is not $\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}}$ but

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{physical}} = \bigotimes_k^K L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_{R_k}) \otimes \bigwedge_i^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2).$$

The electrons are described by *antisymmetric* (the wedge \wedge) *spinor valued* functions. Spinor valued: here we just mean with values in \mathbb{C}^2 , but the spinor structure will be important later.

+

4

+

+

Theorem (Stability of Matter) *If ψ is a normalized C_0^∞ function in the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{physical}}$ then*

$$(H\psi, \psi) \geq C(\max\{Z_k\})K$$

Thus on the physical Hilbert space stability of the 2nd kind holds.

Proved originally by Dyson and Lenard '68. Lieb and Thirring gave a simple proof in '75 where the constant is of the correct physical order of magnitude.

Relativistic corrections:

Replace

$$t = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta \longrightarrow t = \sqrt{-c^2\Delta + c^4} - c^2.$$

Recall $m_e = 1$. In our units the speed of light $c = \alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha =$ fine structure constant $\approx 1/137$.

+

5

+

+

Theorem (Relativistic stability)

(a) $\exists \alpha_0 > 0 : \alpha < \alpha_0, \max\{Z_k\}\alpha \leq 2/\pi \Rightarrow$
The relativistic Hamiltonian stable of 2nd kind.

(b) $\max\{Z_k\}\alpha > 2/\pi$: *unstable of 1st kind.*

First result; Conlon '84. Improved by Fefferman-de la Llave '86. Complete formulation: Lieb-Yau '88

Magnetic self-interactions: Replace

$$t = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} [(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}].$$

Here \mathbf{A} is the vector potential for the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ generated by the electrons themselves.

$\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the interaction of the magnetic field with the spinor components (Clifford multiplication by the vector \mathbf{B}).

+

+

+

The Lichnerowicz formula:

$$(-i\nabla + A)^2 + \sigma \cdot B = [\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + A)]^2.$$

$\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + A)$ is the 3-dimensional Dirac operator.

Magnetic field generated by electrons:

Maxwell's equation

$$(8\pi\alpha^2)^{-1} \nabla \times B = J\psi$$

$J\psi$ is the current in the state ψ of the electrons.

Equivalent formulation: The magnetic field minimizes

$$(H\psi, \psi) + (8\pi\alpha^2)^{-1} \int B^2$$

Recall:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N [\sigma_j \cdot (-i\nabla_j + A(x_j))]^2 + \sum_{k=1}^K T_k + V_C$$

+

7

+

+

Theorem (Magnetic Stability)

(a) $\exists \alpha_0, \eta_0 > 0 : \alpha < \alpha_0, \max\{Z_k\}\alpha^2 \leq \eta_0 \Rightarrow$

$$(H\psi, \psi) + (8\pi\alpha^2)^{-1} \int B^2 \geq C(\max\{Z_k\})(N+K)$$

(b) $\exists \eta_1 > 0 : \max\{Z_k\}\alpha^2 > \eta_1 \Rightarrow$ *Instability of the 1st kind, i.e.,*

$$\inf_{B, \psi} \left[(H\psi, \psi) + (8\pi\alpha^2)^{-1} \int B^2 \right] = -\infty$$

Statement (b) proved by Loss-Yau '86.

Fefferman '95 announced a result similar to (a), ($Z = 1$ and $\alpha_0 \ll (1/137)$).

Lieb-Loss-S.: $\alpha_0 > 1/137$ for $\alpha = 1/137$ stable up to $Z = 1050$.

+

8

+

+

The instability:

Theorem (Loss-Yau) $\exists \psi, B: \int |\psi|^2 < \infty$
and $\int B^2 < \infty$ such that

$$\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + A)\psi = 0.$$

The Dirac operator has a non-trivial kernel.
Not from the index theorem since dimension=3.

The instability follows by scaling. All the non-vanishing terms scale like length^{-1} .

One example of such a B:

The hodge dual $*B$ is the two form obtained from stereographic projection of β the two-form on S^3 which is the pull back of the volume form by the Hopf map $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$.

+

9

+

+

Sketch of proof of stability:

Two steps:

Step (1) Reducing to a one-body problem:

$$V_C \geq \sum_{j=1}^N W(x_j)$$

$$W(x) \approx Z_k |x - R_k|^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \text{ near } R_k.$$

(note not $\sum_k Z_k |x - R_k|^{-1}$. Screening!)

Then

$$(H\psi, \psi) \geq \text{sum of neg. eigenvalues of } \frac{1}{2}[\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + A)]^2 - W$$

+

+

+

Step(2) Analyzing the one-body-problem:

Theorem (Lieb-Loss-S.)

sum of neg. eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{2}[\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + A)]^2 - W$

$$\geq -C_1 \int [W]_+^{5/2} - C_2 \int [W]_+^{-4} - C_3 \int B^2$$

This is not quite enough to prove stability *but almost*.

+

+

+

Proof:

sum of neg. eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{2}[\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})]^2 - W$

$$= - \int_0^\infty \# \text{of neg. ev} [\frac{1}{2}(\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}))^2 - W + e] de$$

(with $0 \leq \mu(e) \leq 1/2$)

$$\geq - \int_0^\infty \# \text{of neg. ev} [\mu(e)(\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}))^2 - W + e] de$$

(using Lichnerowicz)

$$= - \int_0^\infty \# \text{of neg. ev} [\mu(e)((-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}))^2 + \mu(e)\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B} - W + e] de$$

(using the Cwikel-Lieb-Rozenblum inequality)

$$\geq - \int \int_0^\infty [-|B| - \mu(e)^{-1}W + \mu(e)^{-1}e]_-^{3/2} dedx.$$

The rest is just a matter of choosing $\mu(e)$.
 $\mu(e) = 1/2$ for small e . $\mu(e) \sim e^{-1}$ for large e .

+