

# STABLE TOPOLOGICAL CYCLIC HOMOLOGY IS TOPOLOGICAL HOCHSCHILD HOMOLOGY

By LARS HESSELHOLT

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1.** Topological cyclic homology is the codomain of the cyclotomic trace from algebraic  $K$ -theory

$$\mathrm{trc}: K(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(L).$$

It was defined in [2] but for our purpose the exposition in [6] is more convenient. The cyclotomic trace is conjectured to induce a homotopy equivalence after  $p$ -completion for a certain class of rings including the rings of algebraic integers in local fields of positive residue characteristic  $p$ . We refer to [11] for a detailed discussion of conjectures and results in this direction.

Recently B.Dundas and R.McCarthy have proven that the stabilization of algebraic  $K$ -theory is naturally equivalent to topological Hochschild homology,

$$K^S(R; M) \simeq T(R; M)$$

for any simplicial ring  $R$  and any simplicial  $R$ -module  $M$ , *cf.* [4]. We note that both functors are defined for pairs  $(L; P)$  where  $L$  is a functor with smash product and  $P$  is an  $L$ -bimodule; *cf.* [12]. An outline of a proof in this setting and by quite different methods, has been given by R.Schwänzl, R.Staffelt and F.Waldhausen. Hence the following result is a necessary condition for the conjecture mentioned above to hold.

**Theorem.** *Let  $L$  be a functor with smash product and  $P$  an  $L$ -bimodule. Then there is a natural weak equivalence,  $\mathrm{TC}^S(L; P)_p^\wedge \simeq T(L; P)_p^\wedge$ .*

It is not surprising that we have to  $p$ -complete in the case of  $\mathrm{TC}$  since the cyclotomic trace is really an invariant of the  $p$ -completion of algebraic  $K$ -theory, *cf.* 1.4 below. The rest of this paragraph recalls cyclotomic spectra, topological Hochschild homology, topological cyclic homology and stabilization. In paragraph 2 we decompose topological Hochschild homology of a split extension of  $FSP$ 's and approximate  $\mathrm{TC}$  in a stable range. Finally in paragraph 3 we study free cyclic objects and use them to prove the theorem.

Throughout  $G$  denotes the circle group, equivalence means weak homotopy equivalence and a  $G$ -equivalence is a  $G$ -map which induces an equivalence of  $H$ -fixed sets for any closed subgroup  $H \leq G$ .

I want to thank my adviser Ib Madsen for much help and guidance in the preparation of this paper as well as in my graduate studies as a whole. Part of this work was done during a stay at the University of Bielefeld and it is a pleasure to thank the university and in particular Friedhelm Waldhausen for their hospitality. I also want to thank him and John Klein for many enlightening discussions.

**1.2.** Let  $L$  be an  $FSP$  and let  $P$  be an  $L$ -bimodule. Then  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P)_\bullet$  is the simplicial space with  $k$ -simplices

$$\mathrm{holim}_{I^{k+1}} F(S^{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge S^{i_k}, P(S^{i_0}) \wedge L(S^{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge L(S^{i_k}))$$

---

The author was supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council

and Hochschild-type structure maps, *cf.* [12], and  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P)$  is its realization. When  $P = L$ , considered as an  $L$ -bimodule in the obvious way,  $\mathrm{THH}(L; L)$  is a cyclic space so  $\mathrm{THH}(L; L)$  has a  $G$ -action. In both cases we use a thick realization to ensure that we get the right homotopy type, *cf.* the appendix. More generally if  $X$  is some space we let  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P; X)_\bullet$  be the simplicial space

$$\mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{I^{k+1}} F(S^{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge S^{i_k}, P(S^{i_0}) \wedge L(S^{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge L(S^{i_k}) \wedge X),$$

where  $X$  acts as a dummy for the simplicial structure maps. If  $X$  has a  $G$ -action then  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P; X)$  becomes a  $G$ -space and  $\mathrm{THH}(L; L; X)$  a  $G \times G$ -space. We shall view the latter as a  $G$ -space via the diagonal map  $\Delta: G \rightarrow G \times G$  and then denote it  $\mathrm{THH}(L; X)$ .

We define a  $G$ -prespectrum  $t(L; P)$  in the sense of [9] whose 0'th space is  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P)$ . Let  $V$  be any orthogonal  $G$ -representation, or more precisely, any f.d. sub inner product space of a fixed 'complete  $G$ -universe'  $U$ . Then

$$t(L; P)(V) = \mathrm{THH}(L; P; S^V),$$

with the obvious  $G$ -maps

$$\sigma: S^{W-V} \wedge t(L; P)(V) \rightarrow t(L; P)(W)$$

as prespectrum structure maps. Here  $S^V$  is the one-point compactification of  $V$  and  $W - V$  is the orthogonal complement of  $V$  in  $W$ . We also define a  $G$ -spectrum  $T(L; P)$  associated with  $t(L; P)$ , *i.e.* a  $G$ -prespectrum where the adjoints  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of the structure maps are homeomorphisms. We first replace  $t(L; P)$  by a thickened version  $t^\tau(L; P)$  where the structure maps  $\sigma$  are closed inclusions. It has as  $V$ 'th space the homotopy colimit over suspensions of the structure maps

$$t^\tau(L; P)(V) = \mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{\overrightarrow{Z \subset V}} \Sigma^{V-Z} t(L; P)(Z)$$

and as structure maps the compositions ( $t = t(L; P)$ )

$$\Sigma^{W-V} \mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{\overrightarrow{Z \subset V}} \Sigma^{V-Z} t(Z) \cong \mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{\overrightarrow{Z \subset V}} \Sigma^{W-Z} t(Z) \rightarrow \mathop{\mathrm{holim}}\limits_{\overrightarrow{Z \subset W}} \Sigma^{W-Z} t(Z).$$

Here the last map is induced by the inclusion of a subcategory and as such is a closed cofibration, in particular it is a closed inclusion. Furthermore since  $V$  is terminal among  $Z \subset V$  there is natural map  $\pi: t^\tau(L; P) \rightarrow t(L; P)$  which is spacewise a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence. Next we define  $T(L; P)$  by

$$T(L; P)(V) = \varinjlim_{W \subset U} \Omega^{W-V} t^\tau(L; P)(W)$$

with the obvious structure maps.

We can replace  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P; S^V)$  by  $\mathrm{THH}(L; S^V)$  above and get a  $G$ -prespectrum  $t(L)$  and a  $G$ -spectrum  $T(L)$ . These possess some extra structure which allows the definition of  $\mathrm{TC}(L)$  and we will now discuss this in some detail. For a complete account we refer to [6], see also [3].

**1.3.** Let  $C$  be a finite subgroup of  $G$  of order  $r$  and let  $J$  be the quotient. The  $r$ 'th root  $\rho_C: G \rightarrow J$  is an isomorphism of groups and allows us to view a  $J$ -space  $X$  as a  $G$ -space  $\rho_C^* X$ . Recall that the free loop space  $\mathcal{L}X$  has the special property that  $\rho_C \mathcal{L}X^C \cong_G \mathcal{L}X$  for any finite subgroup of  $G$ . Cyclotomic spectra, as defined in [3] and [6], is a class of  $G$ -spectra which have the analogous property in the world of spectra. This section recalls the definition.

For a  $G$ -spectrum  $T$  there are two  $J$ -spectra  $T^C$  and  $\Phi^C T$  each of which could be called the  $C$ -fixed spectrum of  $T$ . If  $V \subset U^C$  is a  $C$ -trivial representation, then

$$T^C(V) = T(V)^C, \quad \Phi^C T(V) = \varinjlim_{W \subset U} \Omega^{W^C-V} T(W)^C$$

and the structure maps are evident. There is a natural map  $r_C: T^C \rightarrow \Phi^C T$  of  $J$ -spectra;  $r_C(V)$  is the composition

$$T^C(V) \cong \varinjlim_{W \subset U} F(S^{W-V}, T(W))^C \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \varinjlim_{W \subset U} F(S^{W^C-V}, T(W)^C) = \Phi^C T(V)$$

where the map  $\iota^*$  is induced by the inclusion of  $C$ -fixed points. The difference between  $T^C$  and  $\Phi^C T$  is well illustrated by the following example.

*Example.* Consider the case of a suspension  $G$ -spectrum  $T = \Sigma_G^\infty X$ ,

$$T(V) = \varinjlim_{W \subset U} \Omega^{W-V}(S^W \wedge X).$$

We let  $E_G H$  denote a universal  $H$ -free  $G$ -space, that is  $E_G H^K \simeq *$  when  $H \cap K = 1$  and  $E_G H^K = \emptyset$  when  $H \cap K \neq 1$ . Then on the one hand we have the tom Dieck splitting

$$(\Sigma_G^\infty X)^C \simeq_J \bigvee_{H \leq C} \Sigma_J^\infty (E_{G/H}(C/H)_+ \wedge_{C/H} X^H),$$

and on the other hand the lemma shows that  $\Phi^C(\Sigma_G^\infty X) \simeq_J \Sigma_J^\infty X^C$ . Moreover the natural map  $r_C: (\Sigma_G^\infty X)^C \rightarrow \Phi^C(\Sigma_G^\infty X)$  is the projection onto the summand  $H = C$ .  $\square$

A  $J$ -spectrum  $D$  defines a  $G$ -spectrum  $\rho_C^* D$ . However this  $G$ -spectrum is indexed on the  $G$ -universe  $\rho_C^* U^C$  rather than on  $U$ . To get a  $G$ -spectrum indexed on  $U$  we must choose an isometric isomorphism  $f_C: U \rightarrow \rho_C^* U^C$ , then  $(\rho_C^* D)(f_C(V))$  is the  $V$ 'th space of the required  $G$ -spectrum, which we denote it  $\rho_C^\# D$ .

We want the  $f_C$ 's to be compatible for any pair of finite subgroups, that is the following diagram should commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f_{C_{rs}}} & \rho_{C_{rs}}^* U^{C_{rs}} \\ f_{C_r} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \rho_{C_r}^* U^{C_r} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{C_r}^*(f_{C_s})^{C_r}} & \rho_{C_r}^*(\rho_{C_s}^* U^{C_s})^{C_r}. \end{array}$$

Moreover the restriction of  $f_C$  to the  $G$ -trivial universe  $U^G$  induces an automorphism of  $U^G$  which we request be the identity. We fix our universe,

$$U = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}(n)_\alpha,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}(n) = \mathbb{C}$  but with  $G$  acting through the  $n$ 'th power map. The index  $\alpha$  is a dummy. Since  $\rho_C^* \mathbb{C}(n) = \mathbb{C}(nr)$ , where  $r$  is the order of  $C$ , we obtain the required maps  $f_C$  by identifying  $\mathbb{Z} = r\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition.** ([6]) A *cyclotomic spectrum* is a  $G$ -spectrum indexed on  $U$  together with a  $G$ -equivalence

$$\varphi_C: \rho_C^\# \Phi^C T \rightarrow T$$

for every finite  $C \subset G$ , such that for any pair of finite subgroups the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} \rho_{C_s}^\# \Phi^{C_s} T & \xlongequal{\quad} & \rho_{C_{rs}}^\# \Phi^{C_{rs}} T \\ \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} \varphi_{C_s} \downarrow & & \varphi_{C_{rs}} \downarrow \\ \rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} T & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{C_r}} & T \end{array}$$

commutes.

We prove in [6] that the topological Hochschild spectrum  $T(L)$  defined above is a cyclotomic spectrum. The rest of this section recalls the definition of the  $\varphi$ -maps for  $T(L)$ . The definition goes back to [2] and begins with the concept of edgewise subdivision.

The realization of a cyclic space becomes a  $G$ -space upon identifying  $G$  with  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence  $C$  may be identified with  $r^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Edgewise subdivision associates to a cyclic space  $Z_\bullet$  a simplicial  $C$ -space  $\text{sd}_C Z_\bullet$ . It has  $k$ -simplices  $\text{sd}_C Z_k = Z_{r(k+1)-1}$  and the generator  $r^{-1} + \mathbb{Z}$  of  $C$  acts as  $\tau^{k+1}$ . Moreover, there is a natural homeomorphism

$$D: |\text{sd}_C Z_\bullet| \rightarrow |Z_\bullet|,$$

an  $\mathbb{R}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $|\text{sd}_C Z_\bullet|$  which extends the simplicial  $C$ -action, and  $D$  is  $G$ -equivariant when  $\mathbb{R}/r\mathbb{Z}$  is identified with  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  through division by  $r$ .

We now consider the case of  $\text{THH}(L; X)_\bullet$ . Let us write  $G_k(i_0, \dots, i_k)$  for the pointed mapping space

$$F(S^{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge S^{i_k}, L(S^{i_0}) \dots \wedge L(S^{i_k}) \wedge X).$$

Then the  $k$ -simplices of the edgewise subdivision is the homotopy colimit

$$\text{sd}_C \text{THH}(L; X)_k = \text{holim}_{I^{r(k+1)}} G_{r(k+1)-1}.$$

The  $C$ -action on  $\text{sd}_C \text{THH}(L; X)_k$  is not induced by one on  $G_{r(k+1)-1}$ . We consider instead the composite functor  $G_{r(k+1)-1} \circ \Delta_r$  where  $\Delta_r: I^{k+1} \rightarrow (I^{k+1})^r$  is the diagonal functor. It has  $C$ -action and the canonical map of homotopy colimits

$$b_k: \text{holim}_{I^{k+1}} G_{r(k+1)-1} \circ \Delta_r \rightarrow \text{holim}_{I^{r(k+1)}} G_{r(k+1)-1}$$

is a  $C$ -equivariant inclusion and induces a homeomorphism of  $C$ -fixed sets. Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be two  $C$ -spaces and consider the mapping space  $F(Y, Z)$ . It is a  $C$ -space by conjugation and we have a natural map

$$\iota^*: F(Y, Z)^C \rightarrow F(Y^C, Z^C),$$

which takes a  $C$ -equivariant map  $\psi: Y \rightarrow Z$  to the induced map of  $C$ -fixed sets. In the case at hand  $\iota^*$  gives us a natural transformation

$$(G_{r(k+1)-1} \circ \Delta_r)^C \rightarrow G_k,$$

and the induced map on homotopy colimits defines a map of simplicial spaces

$$\tilde{\phi}_{C,\bullet}: \text{sd}_C \text{THH}(L; X)_\bullet^C \rightarrow \text{THH}(L; X^C)_\bullet.$$

We define a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$\phi_C(V): \rho_C^* t(L)(V)^C \rightarrow t(L)(f_C^{-1}(\rho_C^* V^C))$$

as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_C^* |\text{THH}(L; S^V)|^C &\xrightarrow{D^{-1}} |\text{sd}_C \text{THH}(L; S^V)|^C \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_C} |\text{THH}(L; S^{\rho_C^* V^C})| \\ &\xrightarrow{(f_C^{-1})_*} |\text{THH}(L; S^{f_C^{-1} \rho_C^* V^C})|. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed it is  $G$ -equivariant by [2] lemma 1.11. Next we define a  $G$ -map

$$\varphi_C(V): \rho_C^* T(L)(V)^C \rightarrow T(f_C^{-1}(\rho_C^* V^C))$$

as the map on colimits over  $W \subset U$  induced by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_C^* (\Omega^{W-V} t^\tau(L)(W))^C &\xrightarrow{i^*} \rho_C^* (\Omega^{W^C-V^C} t^\tau(L)(W)^C) \\ &\xrightarrow{\phi_C(W)_*} \Omega^{\rho_C^*(W^C-V^C)} t^\tau(L)(f_C^{-1}(\rho_C^* W^C)) \\ &\xrightarrow{f_C^*} \Omega^{f_C^{-1}(\rho_C^*(W-V)^C)} t^\tau(L)(f_C^{-1}(\rho_C^* W^C)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the required maps  $\varphi_C: \rho_C^\# \Phi^C T \rightarrow T$  of  $G$ -spectra are evident in view of the definitions. Furthermore [2] 1.12 shows that the diagram which relates the  $\varphi$ -maps for a pair of finite subgroups of  $G$  commutes. We refer to [6] for the proof that the  $\varphi$ -maps are  $G$ -equivalences.

**1.4.** Let  $j: U^G \rightarrow U^C$  be the inclusion of the trivial  $G$ -universe and let  $D$  be a  $J$ -spectrum. The underlying non-equivariant spectrum of  $D$  is the spectrum  $j^*D$  with its  $J$ -action forgotten. By abuse of notation we usually denote this  $D$  again.

Let  $T$  be a cyclotomic spectrum, then  $r_{C_r}$  and  $\varphi_{C_r}$  induce a map of  $G$ -spectra

$$\rho_{C_{rs}}^\# T^{C_{rs}} = \rho_{C_s}^\# (\rho_{C_r}^\# T^{C_r})^{C_s} \rightarrow \rho_{C_s}^\# (\rho_{C_r}^\# \Phi^{C_r} T)^{C_s} \rightarrow \rho_{C_s}^\# T^{C_s}.$$

It gives a map  $\Phi_r: T^{C_{rs}} \rightarrow T^{C_s}$  of underlying non-equivariant spectra and the compatibility condition in definition 1.3 implies that  $\Phi_r \Phi_s = \Phi_{rs}$ . The inclusion of the fixed set of a bigger group in that of a smaller also defines a map of non-equivariant spectra  $D_r: T^{C_{rs}} \rightarrow T^{C_s}$ , and these satisfies that  $D_r D_s = D_{rs}$ . Moreover  $D_r \Phi_s = \Phi_s D_r$ .

Topological cyclic homology of an  $FSP$  was defined in [2]; the presentation here is due to T. Goodwillie [5]. Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be the category with  $\text{ob } \mathbb{I} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and two morphisms  $\Phi_r, D_r: n \rightarrow m$ , whenever  $n = rm$ , subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= D_1 = \text{id}_n, \\ \Phi_r \Phi_s &= \Phi_{rs}, \quad D_r D_s = D_{rs}, \\ \Phi_r D_s &= D_s \Phi_r. \end{aligned}$$

For a prime  $p$  we let  $\mathbb{I}_p$  denote the full subcategory with  $\text{ob } \mathbb{I}_p = \{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$ . The discussion above shows that a cyclotomic spectrum  $T$  defines a functor from  $\mathbb{I}$  to the category of non-equivariant spectra, which takes  $n$  to  $T^{C_n}$ .

**Definition.** ([2])  $\text{TC}(T) = \varprojlim_{\mathbb{I}} T^{C_n}$ ,  $\text{TC}(T; p) = \varprojlim_{\mathbb{I}_p} T^{C_{p^s}}$ .

If  $L$  is a functor with smash product then  $\text{TC}(L)$  and  $\text{TC}(L; p)$  are the connective covers of  $\text{TC}(T(L))$  and  $\text{TC}(T(L); p)$  respectively. It is often useful to have the definition of  $\text{TC}(T; p)$  in the form it is given in [2],

$$\text{TC}(T; p) \cong [\varprojlim_{D_p} T^{C_{p^s}}]^{h\langle \Phi_p \rangle} \cong [\varprojlim_{\Phi_p} T^{C_{p^s}}]^{h\langle D_p \rangle}.$$

Here  $\langle D_p \rangle$  is the free monoid on  $D_p$  and  $X^{h\langle D_p \rangle}$  stands for the  $\langle D_p \rangle$ -homotopy fixed points of  $X$ . It is naturally equivalent to the homotopy fiber of  $1 - D_p$ .

The functor  $\text{TC}(-)$  is really not a stronger invariant than the  $\text{TC}(-; p)$ 's. Indeed we have the following result, which will be proved elsewhere.

**Proposition.** *The projections  $\text{TC}(T) \rightarrow \text{TC}(T; p)$  induce an equivalence of  $\text{TC}(T)$  with the fiber product of the  $\text{TC}(T; p)$ 's over  $T$ . Moreover the  $p$ -complete theories agree,  $\text{TC}(T)_p^\wedge \simeq \text{TC}(T; p)_p^\wedge$ .*  $\square$

*Remark.* In [2] the authors define a space  $\text{TC}(L; p)$  and a  $\Gamma$ -space structure on it. Furthermore they show that the cyclotomic trace  $\text{trc}: K(L) \rightarrow \text{TC}(L; p)$  is a map of  $\Gamma$ -spaces. We show in [6] that the spectrum  $\text{TC}(L; p)$  defined above is equivalent to the one determined by the  $\Gamma$ -space structure.  $\square$

**1.5.** Stable  $K$ -theory of simplicial rings was defined by Waldhausen in [15], see also [8]. We conclude this paragraph with the definition of stable  $\text{TC}$  of a  $FSP$  and leave it to reader to see that stable  $K$ -theory also may be defined in this generality.

**Definition.** Let  $P$  be an  $L$ -bimodule and  $K$  a space. The *shift*  $P[K]$  of  $P$  by  $K$  is the functor given by  $P[K](X) = K \wedge P(X)$  with structure maps

$$l_{X,Y}^{P[K]} = \text{id}_K \wedge l_{X,Y}^P \circ \text{tw} \wedge \text{id}_{P(Y)}, \quad r_X^{P[K]}, Y = \text{id}_K \wedge r_{X,Y}^P.$$

We shall write  $P[n]$  for  $P[S^n]$ .

We define a new  $FSP$  denoted  $L \oplus P$  which is to be thought of as an extension of  $L$  by a square zero ideal  $P$ .

**Definition.** Let  $L$  be an  $FSP$  and  $P$  an  $L$ -bimodule. We define the *extension* of  $L$  by  $P$  as  $L \oplus P(X) = L(X) \vee P(X)$  with multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} L \oplus P(X) \wedge L \oplus P(Y) &\rightarrow L(X) \wedge L(Y) \vee L(X) \wedge P(Y) \vee P(X) \wedge L(Y) \vee P(X) \wedge P(Y) \\ &\rightarrow L(X \wedge Y) \vee P(X \wedge Y) \vee P(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow L \oplus P(X \wedge Y). \end{aligned}$$

The first map is the canonical homeomorphism, the second is  $\mu_{X,Y} \vee l_{X,Y} \vee r_{X,Y} \vee *$  and the last is convolution. Finally the unit in  $L \oplus P$  is the composite

$$X \rightarrow L(X) \rightarrow L \oplus P(X).$$

One verifies immediately that  $L \oplus P$  is in fact an  $FSP$  and that it contains  $L$  as a retract. We shall write  $\widetilde{TC}(L \oplus P)$  for the homotopy fiber of the induced retraction  $TC(L \oplus P) \rightarrow TC(L)$ .

**Lemma.** *If  $K$  is contractible then so is  $\widetilde{TC}(L \oplus P[K])$ . Furthermore a contraction of  $K$  induces one of  $\widetilde{TC}(L \oplus P[K])$ .*

*Proof.* Let us write  $F$  instead of  $L \oplus P[K]$ . If  $h: I_+ \wedge K \rightarrow K$  is a contraction we can define  $h(X): I_+ \wedge F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$  by the composition

$$I_+ \wedge (L(X) \vee K \wedge P(X)) \cong I_+ \wedge L(X) \vee I_+ \wedge K \wedge P(X) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2 \vee h \wedge \text{id}} L(X) \vee K \wedge P(X).$$

It is compatible with the multiplication and unit in  $F$ , that is the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_+ \wedge (F(X) \wedge F(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \mu_{X,Y}} & I_+ \wedge F(X \wedge Y) \\ \Delta \wedge \text{id} \downarrow & & h_{X \wedge Y} \downarrow \\ (I \times I)_+ \wedge F(X) \wedge F(Y) & & F(X \wedge Y) \\ \text{id} \wedge \text{tw id} \downarrow & & \mu_{X,Y} \uparrow \\ I_+ \wedge F(X) \wedge I_+ \wedge F(Y) & \xrightarrow{h_X \wedge h_Y} & F(X) \wedge F(Y). \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_+ \wedge X & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge 1_X} & I_+ \wedge F(X) \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & & h(X) \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & F(X). \end{array}$$

Therefore the composition

$$\begin{aligned} I_+ \wedge (F(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(S^{i_k})) &\xrightarrow{\text{tw} \circ (\Delta \wedge \text{id})} I_+ \wedge F(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge I_+ \wedge F(S^{i_k}) \\ &\xrightarrow{h(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge h(S^{i_k})} F(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(S^{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to a cyclic map  $h_{V,\bullet}: I_+ \wedge \text{THH}(F; F; S^V)_\bullet \rightarrow \text{THH}(F; F; S^V)_\bullet$  whose realization is a  $G$ -equivariant homotopy

$$h_V: I_+ \wedge t(F)(V) \rightarrow t(F)(V).$$

Furthermore these are compatible with the structure maps in the prespectrum such that we get a  $G$ -equivariant homotopy

$$H: I_+ \wedge T(F) \rightarrow T(F).$$

This gives in turn a homotopy  $I_+ \wedge TC(F) \rightarrow TC(F)$  from the identity to the retraction onto the image of  $TC(L)$ .  $\square$

If we apply  $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[-])$  to the cocartesian square of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^n & \longrightarrow & D_+^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_-^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & S^{n+1}. \end{array}$$

we get a map from  $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n])$  to the homotopy limit of

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[D_+^{n+1}]) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[S^{n+1}], p) \leftarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[D_-^{n+1}]).$$

By the lemma the radial contractions of the discs  $D^{n+1}$  give a preferred contraction of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[D_+^{n+1}])$ . Hence we obtain a natural map from the homotopy limit above to  $\Omega\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n+1])$ . All in all we get a stabilization map

$$\sigma: \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]) \rightarrow \Omega\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n+1])$$

which is natural in  $L$  and  $P$ .

**Definition.** Let  $L$  be an  $FSP$  and  $P$  an  $L$ -bimodule. Then

$$\mathrm{TC}^S(L; P) = \operatorname{holim}_{\overrightarrow{n}} \Omega^{n+1} \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]),$$

with the colimit taken over the stabilization maps.

## 2. STABLE APPROXIMATION OF $\mathrm{TC}(L \oplus P)$

**2.1.** In the rest of this paper the prime  $p$  is fixed and we shall always consider the functor  $\mathrm{TC}(-; p)$  rather than  $\mathrm{TC}(-)$ .

Recall that by definition  $L \oplus P(S^i) = L(S^i) \vee P(S^i)$ . Thus we can decompose the smash product

$$L \oplus P(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge L \oplus P(S^{i_k})$$

into a wedge of summands of the form

$$F_0(S^{i_0}) \wedge \dots \wedge F_k(S^{i_k}),$$

where  $F_i = L, P$ . A summand where  $\#\{i | F_i = P\} = a$  will be called an  $a$ -configuration and the one-point space  $*$  will be considered an  $a$ -configuration for any  $a \geq 0$ .

Recall from 1.3 the functor  $G_k = G_k(L \oplus P; X)$  whose homotopy colimit is  $\mathrm{THH}(L \oplus P; X)_k$ . The  $a$ -configurations define subspaces

$$G_{a,k}(i_0, \dots, i_k) \subset G_k(i_0, \dots, i_k)$$

preserved under  $G_k(f_0, \dots, f_k)$ , *i.e.* we get a functor  $G_{a,k} = G_{a,k}(L \oplus P; X)$ . The spaces

$$\mathrm{THH}_a(L \oplus P; X)_k = \operatorname{holim}_{\overrightarrow{I_{k+1}}} G_{a,k}(L \oplus P; X)$$

form a cyclic subspace  $\mathrm{THH}_a(L \oplus P; X)_\bullet \subset \mathrm{THH}(L \oplus P; X)_\bullet$  with realization  $\mathrm{THH}_a(L \oplus P; X)$ . Like in 1.2 we can define a  $G$ -prespectrum  $t_a(L \oplus P)$  and a  $G$ -spectrum  $T_a(L \oplus P)$ . Then  $T_a(L \oplus P)$  is a retract of  $T(L \oplus P)$ . We show below that as a  $G$ -spectrum  $T(L \oplus P)$  is the wedge sum of the  $T_a(L \oplus P)$ 's.

**Lemma.** Let  $j$  be a  $G$ -prespectrum and let  $J$  be the  $G$ -spectrum associated with  $j^\tau$ . If  $J^\Gamma \simeq *$  for any finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$  and  $j(V)^G \simeq *$  for any  $V \subset U$  then  $J \simeq_G *$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of finite subgroups of the circle, then  $J$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -contractible. Since  $J \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+ \rightarrow J$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -equivalence,  $J \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+$  is also  $\mathcal{F}$ -contractible. However  $J \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+$  is  $G$ -equivalent to an  $\mathcal{F}$ -CW-spectrum and therefore it is in fact  $G$ -contractible by the  $\mathcal{F}$ -Whitehead theorem, [9] p.63. Now

$$(J \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+)(V) \cong \varinjlim_W \Omega^W(j^\tau(V + W) \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+),$$

and  $j^\tau(V) \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+ \rightarrow j^\tau(V)$  is an  $G$ -equivalence since  $j(V)^G \simeq *$ . Therefore  $J \simeq_G J \wedge E\mathcal{F}_+$  and we have already seen that the latter is  $G$ -contractible.  $\square$

**Lemma.** Let  $H$  be a compact Lie group, let  $X$  a finite  $H$ -CW-complex and let  $Y_a$  a family of  $H$ -spaces. For  $K \leq H$  a closed subgroup we let  $n(K) = \min_a \{\text{conn}(Y_a^K)\}$ . Then the inclusion

$$\bigvee_a F(X, Y_a)^H \rightarrow F(X, \bigvee_a Y_a)^H$$

is  $2 \min\{n(K) - \dim(X^K) | K \leq H\} + 1$ -connected.

*Proof.* The inclusion above fits into a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee_a F(X, Y_a)^C & \longrightarrow & F(X, \bigvee_a Y_a)^C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod'_a F(X, Y_a)^C & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F(X, \prod'_a Y_a)^C, \end{array}$$

where  $\prod'$  is the weak product, i.e. the subspace of the product where all but a finite number of coordinates are at the basepoint. The lower horizontal map is a homeomorphism because  $X$  is finite, and the connectivity of the vertical maps may be estimated by elementary equivariant obstruction theory. For example the connectivity of an equivariant mapping space satisfies

$$\text{conn}(F(X, Y)^H) \geq \min\{\text{conn}(Y^K) - \dim(X^K) | K \leq H\}.$$

Therefore the left vertical map is  $2 \min\{n(K) - \dim(X^K) | K \leq H\} + 1$ -connected.  $\square$

**Proposition.**  $T(L \oplus P) \simeq_G \bigvee_a T_a(L \oplus P)$ .

*Proof.* We apply the first lemma with  $j$  the  $G$ -prespectrum whose  $V$ 'th space is the homotopy fiber of the inclusion

$$\bigvee_{a=0}^{\infty} t_a(L \oplus P)(V) \rightarrow t(L \oplus P)(V).$$

We first consider a finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$  and show that  $J^\Gamma \simeq *$ . It suffices to show that  $j(V)^C$  is  $\dim(V^C) + k(V, C)$ -connected, where  $k(V, C) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $V$  runs through the f.d. sub inner product spaces of  $U$ , for any subgroup  $C \subset \Gamma$ . We use edgewise subdivision to get a simplicial  $C$ -action, that is we can identify  $j(V)^C$  with the homotopy fiber of

$$|\bigvee_a \text{sd}_C \text{THH}_a(L \oplus P; S^V)_\bullet^C| \rightarrow |\text{sd}_C \text{THH}(L \oplus P; S^V)_\bullet^C|.$$

As in the 1.3 we consider the diagonal functor  $\Delta_r: I^{k+1} \rightarrow (I^{k+1})^r$ . Then the second lemma shows that the inclusion

$$\bigvee_a (G_{a, r(k+1)-1} \circ \Delta_r(i_0, \dots, i_k))^C \rightarrow (G_{r(k+1)-1} \circ \Delta_r(i_0, \dots, i_k))^C$$



is  $2 \dim(V^C) - 1$ -connected. By [1] theorem 1.5 the same is true for the homotopy colimits over  $I^{k+1}$ . Hence the inclusion map

$$\bigvee_a \mathrm{sd}_C \mathrm{THH}_a(L \oplus P; S^V)_k^C \rightarrow \mathrm{sd}_C \mathrm{THH}(L \oplus P; S^V)_k^C$$

is  $2 \dim(V^C) - 1$ -connected. Finally the spectral sequence of [13] shows that the induced map on realizations is  $2 \dim(V^C) - 1$ -connected. It follows that  $J^\Gamma \simeq *$ .

We have only left to show that  $j(V)^G \simeq *$ . If  $X_\bullet$  is a cyclic space, then  $|X_\bullet|^G$  is homeomorphic to the subspace  $\{x \in X_0 | s_0 x = \tau_1 s_1 x\}$  of the 0-simplices. For the domain and the codomain of  $j(V)$  this is  $S^{V^G}$  and  $j(V)$  is the identity.  $\square$

**2.2.** Let us write  $a = p^s k$  with  $(k, p) = 1$  and denote  $T_a(L \oplus P)$  by  $T_s^k(L \oplus P)$ . Then the cyclotomic structure map  $\varphi = \varphi_{C_p}$  induces a  $G$ -equivalence

$$\varphi_s: \rho_{C_p}^\# \Phi^{C_p} T_s^k(L \oplus P) \rightarrow T_{s-1}^k(L \oplus P), \quad s \geq 0,$$

where for convenience  $T_{-1}^k(L \oplus P)$  denotes the trivial  $G$ -spectrum  $*$ .

**Lemma.** i) *The cyclotomic structure map induces a map of underlying non-equivariant spectra*

$$T_s^k(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}} \rightarrow T_0^k(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^{r-s}}}$$

*which is  $kpn$ -connected.*

ii)  $T_0^k(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}}$  *is  $kn$ -connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{E}G$  be the mapping cone of the map  $\pi: EG_+ \rightarrow S^0$  which collapses  $EG$  to the non-basepoint of  $S^0$ . It comes with a  $G$ -map  $\iota: S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}G$  and a  $G$ -null homotopy of the composition

$$EG_+ \xrightarrow{\pi} S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{E}G.$$

We can also describe  $\tilde{E}G$  as the unreduced suspension of  $EG$  and  $\iota$  as the inclusion of  $S^0$  as the two cone vertices. Finally we note that  $\tilde{E}G$  is non-equivariantly contractible while  $\tilde{E}G^C = S^0$  for any non-trivial subgroup  $C \leq G$ .

Let us write  $T_s$  for  $T_s^k(L \oplus P[n])$ . We can smash the sequence above with  $T_s$  and take  $C_{p^r}$ -fixed points. Then we get maps of underlying non-equivariant spectra

$$[EG_+ \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}} \xrightarrow{\pi_*} T_s^{C_{p^r}} \xrightarrow{\iota_*} [\tilde{E}G \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}}$$

and a preferred null homotopy of their composition. These data specifies a map from  $[EG_+ \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}}$  to the homotopy fiber of  $\iota_*$  and this an equivalence.

We identify the right hand term. Recall the natural map  $r_{C_p}: T_s^{C_p} \rightarrow \Phi^{C_p} T_s$  from 1.3. It factors as a composition

$$T_s^{C_p} \xrightarrow{\pi_*} [\tilde{E}G \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}} \xrightarrow{\bar{r}_C} \Phi^{C_p} T_s,$$

where  $\bar{r}_C(V)$  is induced from the restriction map

$$F(S^{W-V}, \tilde{E}G \wedge T_s(W))^{C_p} \rightarrow F(S^{W^{C_p}-V}, T(W)^{C_p}).$$

Moreover  $\bar{r}_{C_p}(V)$  is a fibration with fiber the equivariant (pointed) mapping space

$$F(S^{W-V}/S^{W^{C_p}-V}, \tilde{E}G \wedge T(W))^{C_p}.$$

If we regard  $W$  as a  $C_{p^r}$ -space, then  $W^{C_p}$  is the singular set, so  $S^{W-V}/S^{W^{C_p}-V}$  is a free  $C_{p^r}$ -CW-complex in the pointed sense. Since  $\tilde{E}G$  is non-equivariantly contractible it follows that  $\bar{r}_{C_p}$  is a  $C_{p^r}/C_p$ -equivalence. The map  $\Phi_p$  of underlying non-equivariant spectra defined in 1.4 restricts to a map

$$T_s^{C_{p^r}} \xrightarrow{r_{C_p}^{C_{p^r}/C_p}} (\Phi_p^{C_p} T_s)^{C_{p^r}/C_p} = (\rho_{C_p}^\# \Phi_p^{C_p} T_s)^{C_{p^r-1}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{C_p}^{C_{p^r-1}}} T_{s-1}^{C_{p^r-1}}.$$

Our calculation above shows that its homotopy fiber is equivalent to the underlying non-equivariant spectrum of  $[EG_+ \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}}$ . We contend that this is as highly connected as is  $T_s$ . Indeed the skeleton filtration of  $EG$  gives rise to a first quadrant spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s(C_{p^r}; \pi_t(T_s)) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+t}([EG_+ \wedge T_s]^{C_{p^r}}),$$

where  $\pi_t(T_s)$  is a trivial  $C_{p^r}$ -module. The identification of the  $E^2$ -term uses the transfer equivalence of [9] p. 89.  $\square$

**Proposition.** *In the stable range  $\leq 2n$  we have*

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]) \simeq_{2n} \varprojlim_r T_1(L \oplus P[n]; p)^{C_{p^r}},$$

with the limit taken over the inclusion maps  $D$ .

*Proof.* We get from the connectivity statements in the lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}} &\simeq_{2n} T^1(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}} = \bigvee_{s=0}^{\infty} T_s^1(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}} \\ &\simeq_{2n} \bigvee_{s=0}^r T_0^1(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r-s}} = \bigvee_{t=0}^r T_0^1(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Under these equivalences  $\Phi: \tilde{T}(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}} \rightarrow \tilde{T}(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r-1}}$  becomes projection onto the first  $r$  summands. Therefore

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]; p) = [\varprojlim_{\Phi} \tilde{T}(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^r}}]^{h\langle D \rangle} \simeq_{2n} [\prod_{t=0}^{\infty} T_0^1(L \oplus P[n])^{C_{p^t}}]^{h\langle D \rangle}.$$

The latter spectrum is naturally equivalent to the homotopy limit stated above.  $\square$

*Remark.* When  $P = L$  there is an unstable formula for  $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(L \oplus L[n])$ . It was found in [6] and used to evaluate TC of rings of dual numbers over finite fields.

### 3. FREE CYCLIC OBJECTS

**3.1.** In this paragraph we examine the cyclic spaces  $t_1(L \oplus P)(V)$ , we introduced in 2.2. They turn out to be the free cyclic spaces generated by the simplicial spaces  $t(L; P)(V)$ , from 1.2. First we study free cyclic objects.

Suppose  $K: I \rightarrow J$  is a functor between small categories and  $\mathbb{C}$  a category which have all colimits. Then the functor  $K^*: \mathbb{C}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^I$  has a left adjoint  $F$ . If  $X: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a functor then

$$FX(j) = \varinjlim((K \downarrow j) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} I \xrightarrow{X} \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $(K \downarrow j)$  is the category of objects  $K$ -over  $j$ . It is called the left Kan extension of  $X$  along  $K$ , cf. [10]. As an instance of this construction suppose  $I$  and  $J$  are monoids, *i.e.* categories with one object, and  $\mathbb{C}$  the category of (unbased) spaces. Then a functor  $X: I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is just an  $I$ -space and  $FX$  is the  $J$ -space  $J \times_I X$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X_\bullet$  be a simplicial object in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *free cyclic object* generated by  $X_\bullet$  is the left Kan extension of  $X_\bullet$  along the forgetfull functor  $K: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ . It is denoted  $FX_\bullet$ .

If  $X$  is an object in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $S$  is a set, then we let  $S \ltimes X$  denote the coproduct of copies of  $X$  indexed by  $S$ . We give a concrete description of  $FX_\bullet$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $C_{n+1} = \{1, \tau_n, \tau_n^2, \dots, \tau_n^n\}$ . Then  $FX_\bullet$  has  $n$ -simplices

$$FX_n \cong C_{n+1} \ltimes X_n,$$

and the cyclic structure maps are

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(\tau_n^s \ltimes x) &= \tau_{n-1}^s \ltimes d_{i+s}x & , \text{ if } i+s \leq n \\ &= \tau_{n-1}^{s-1} \ltimes d_{i+s}x & , \text{ if } i+s > n \\ s_i(\tau_n^s \ltimes x) &= \tau_{n+1}^s \ltimes s_{i+s}x & , \text{ if } i+s \leq n \\ &= \tau_{n+1}^{s+1} \ltimes s_{i+s}x & , \text{ if } i+s > n \\ t_n(\tau_n^s \ltimes x) &= \tau_n^{s-1} \ltimes x. \end{aligned}$$

All indices are to be understood as the principal representatives modulo  $n+1$ .

*Proof.* Both  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  has objects the finite ordered sets  $\mathbf{n} = \{0, \dots, n\}$  but  $\Lambda$  has more morphism than  $\Delta$ . Specifically  $\Lambda(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \times \text{Aut}_\Lambda(\mathbf{n})$  and  $\text{Aut}_\Lambda(\mathbf{n})$  is a cyclic group of order  $n+1$ . As a generator for  $\text{Aut}_\Lambda(\mathbf{n})$  we choose the cyclic permutation  $\tau_n: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}; \tau_n(i) = i-1 \pmod{n+1}$ .

Consider the full subcategory  $C(\mathbf{n}) \subset (K \downarrow \mathbf{n})$  whose objects are the automorphisms  $K\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ , i.e.  $\text{ob } C(\mathbf{n}) = C_{n+1}$ . The restriction of colimits comes with a map

$$\varinjlim(C(\mathbf{n}) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{X_\bullet} \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \varinjlim((K \downarrow \mathbf{n}) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{X_\bullet} \mathbb{C}) = FX_n,$$

and from the definitions one may readily show that this is an isomorphism. Since in  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  there are no automorphisms of  $\mathbf{n}$  apart from the identity, the category  $C(\mathbf{n})$  is a discrete category, i.e. any morphism is an identity. We conclude that

$$FX_n \cong \coprod_{\text{ob } C(\mathbf{n})} X_n = C_{n+1} \ltimes X_n.$$

It is straightforward to check that the cyclic structure maps are as claimed.  $\square$

*Example.* Suppose  $\mathbb{C}$  is the category of commutative rings, where the coproduct is tensor product of rings, and  $R_\bullet = R$  is a constant simplicial ring. Then the complex associated with  $FR$  is the standard Hochschild complex  $Z(R)$  whose homology is  $\text{HH}_*(R)$ .

**3.2.** We now take  $\mathbb{C}$  to be the category of pointed topological spaces and study the relation between  $F$  and realization.

**Lemma.** There is a natural  $G$ -homeomorphism  $|FX_\bullet| \cong G_+ \wedge |X_\bullet|$ .

*Proof.* Consider the standard cyclic sets  $\Lambda[n] = \Lambda(-, n)$  and their realizations  $\Lambda^n$ . From [7], 3.4 we know that as cocyclic spaces  $\Lambda^\bullet \cong G \times \Delta^\bullet$ , so we may view  $\Lambda^\bullet$  as a cocyclic  $G$ -space. Now suppose  $Y$  is a (based)  $G$ -space. We can define a cyclic space  $C_\bullet(Y)$  as the equivariant mapping space

$$C_\bullet(Y) = F_G(\Lambda^\bullet, Y),$$

with the compact open topology. Then one immediately verifies that  $C_\bullet$  is right adjoint to the realization functor  $|-|$ . The realization functor for simplicial spaces also has a right adjoint. It is given as  $S_\bullet(X) = F(\Delta^\bullet, X)$  with the compact open topology. Finally the forgetfull functor  $U$  from  $G$ -spaces to spaces is right adjoint to the functor  $G_+ \wedge -$ .

By a very general principle in category theory called conjunction, to prove the lemma we may as well show that  $S_\bullet(UY) = K^*C_\bullet(Y)$  for any  $G$ -space  $Y$ . But this is evident since  $F_G(G_+ \wedge X, Y) \cong F(X, UY)$

$\square$

**Proposition.** *There is a natural equivalence of  $G$ -spectra*

$$G_+ \wedge T(L; P) \simeq_G T_1(L \oplus P).$$

*The  $V$ 'th space in the smash product  $G$ -spectrum on the left is naturally homeomorphic to  $\varinjlim_W \Omega^{W-V}(G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P)(W))$ , where  $G$  acts diagonally on  $G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P)(W)$ .*

*Proof.* The smash product  $P(S^{i_0}) \wedge L(S^{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge L(S^{i_k})$  is a 1-configuration, cf. 2.1. Thus we have an inclusion map  $\mathrm{THH}(L; P; X)_k \hookrightarrow \mathrm{THH}_1(L \oplus P; X)_k$  and these commutes with the simplicial structure maps. By definition we get a map of cyclic spaces

$$j(X)_\bullet : F \mathrm{THH}(L; P; X)_\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_1(L \oplus P; X)_\bullet$$

and lemma 3.2 shows that on realizations this gives rise to a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$j(X): G_+ \wedge \mathrm{THH}(L; P; X) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}_1(L \oplus P; X).$$

When  $X$  runs through the spheres  $S^V$  these maps form a map  $j$  of  $G$ -prespectra. Let us write  $G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P)$  for the  $G$ -spectrum whose  $V$ 'th space is the colimit

$$\varinjlim_{W \subset U} \Omega^{W-V}(G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P)(W)).$$

Then  $j$  induces a map  $J: G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P) \rightarrow T_1(L \oplus P)$  and an argument completely analogous to the proof of proposition 2.1 shows that this is a  $G$ -equivalence. Finally the canonical inclusion

$$G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P)(V) \rightarrow G_+ \wedge T(L; P)(V)$$

gives a map  $G_+ \wedge t^\tau(L; P) \rightarrow G_+ \wedge T(L; P)$  and this is a homeomorphism, cf. the appendix.  $\square$

**3.3.** Before we prove our main theorem we need the following key lemma, also used extensively in [6].

**Lemma.** *Let  $T$  be a  $G$ -spectrum. Then there is a natural equivalence of non-equivariant spectra*

$$[T \wedge G_+]^{C_{p^r}} \simeq T \vee \Sigma T,$$

*and the inclusion  $D: [T \wedge G_+]^{C_{p^r}} \hookrightarrow [T \wedge G_+]^{C_{p^{r-1}}}$  becomes  $p \vee \mathrm{id}$ . Here  $p$  denotes multiplication by  $p$ .*

*Proof.* The Thom collapse  $t: S^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow S^{i\mathbb{R}} \wedge G_+$  of  $S(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}$  gives rise to a  $G$ -equivariant transfer map

$$\tau: F(G_+, \Sigma T) \rightarrow G_+ \wedge T$$

which is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence, cf. [9], p.89. There is a cofibration sequence of  $C_{p^r}$ -spaces

$$C_{p^r+} \hookrightarrow G_+ \rightarrow C_{p^r+} \wedge S^1$$

where  $S^1$  is  $C_{p^r}$ -trivial. We may apply  $F_{C_{p^r}}(-, \Sigma T)$  and get a cofibration sequence of spectra

$$F(S^1, \Sigma T) \longrightarrow F_{C_{p^r}}(G_+, \Sigma T) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_\zeta} \Sigma T.$$

Finally  $\mathrm{ev}_\zeta$  is naturally split by the adjoint of the  $G$ -action  $G_+ \wedge \Sigma T \rightarrow \Sigma T$ .  $\square$

*Proof of theorem.* If we compare proposition 3.2 and lemma 3.3 we find that

$$T_1(L \oplus P)^{C_{p^r}} \simeq T(L; P) \vee \Sigma T(L; P).$$

Now holim of a string of maps

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_i} X_n \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_2} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_0$$

where every  $f_i = pg_i$  for some  $g_i$  vanishes after  $p$ -completion, so by proposition 2.2 and lemma 3.3 we get

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]) \simeq_{2n} \Sigma T(L; P[n]).$$

The functor  $T(L; P)$  is linear in the second variable, cf. [12] 2.13, so therefore

$$\Omega^{n+1} \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(L \oplus P[n]) \simeq_n \Omega^{n+1} \Sigma T(L; P[n]) \simeq T(L; P).$$

It remains only to check that the stabilization maps defined in 1.5 induce an equivalence of  $T(L; P)$ . They do.  $\square$

## APPENDIX

**A.1.** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be either of the categories  $\Delta$  or  $\Lambda$  and let  $X: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$  be a functor to pointed spaces. We define a new functor  $\bar{X}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$  by the homotopy colimit

$$\underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{holim}}((- \downarrow \mathbb{C})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{X} \text{Top}_*),$$

where  $(\mathbf{n} \downarrow \mathbb{C})$  is the category under  $\mathbf{n}$ , cf. [10]. If  $\theta: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{m}$  is a morphism in  $\Delta$  (not  $\mathbb{C}$ ), which is surjective, then  $\theta^*: (\mathbf{m} \downarrow \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{n} \downarrow \mathbb{C})$  is an inclusion functor. In general inclusions of index categories induces closed cofibrations on homotopy colimits. In particular  $\theta^*: \bar{X}_m \rightarrow \bar{X}_n$  is a closed cofibration, so  $\bar{X}$  is good in the sense of [14]. Moreover we have a homotopy equivalence  $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow X_n$  because  $\text{id}: \mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$  is initial in  $(\mathbf{n} \downarrow \mathbb{C})$ .

**A.2.** This section explains a technical point in the passage from  $G$ -prespectra to  $G$ -spectra. Let  $G\mathcal{P}U$  denote the category of  $G$ -prespectra indexed on the universe  $U$  and let  $GSU$  be the full subcategory of  $G$ -spectra. In [9] the authors prove that the forgetful functor  $l: GSU \rightarrow G\mathcal{P}U$  has a left adjoint  $L: G\mathcal{P}U \rightarrow GSU$ . We call this functor spectrification and if  $t \in G\mathcal{P}U$  then we call  $Lt$  the associated  $G$ -spectrum. Such a functor is needed since many constructions such as  $X \wedge -$  and any (homotopy) colimits do not preserve  $G$ -spectra. However  $L$  has the serious drawback that in general it loses (weak) homotopy type, i.e. the homotopy type of  $(Lt)(V)$  cannot be described in terms of that of the spaces  $t(W)$ . To control the homotopy type the  $G$ -prespectrum  $t$  has to be an inclusion  $G$ -prespectrum, that is the structure maps  $\tilde{\sigma}: t(V) \rightarrow \Omega^{W-V}t(W)$  must be inclusions, then

$$(Lt)(V) = \varinjlim_{W \subset U} \Omega^{W-V}t(W).$$

This is the case for example if the adjoints  $\sigma: \Sigma^{W-V}t(V) \rightarrow t(W)$  are closed inclusions. The thickening functor  $(-)^{\tau}$  defined in 1.2 produces  $G$ -prespectra of this kind. Therefore  $L(t^{\tau})$  has the right homotopy type.

If  $a: G\mathcal{P}U \rightarrow G\mathcal{P}U$  is a functor we define  $A: GSU \rightarrow GSU$  as the composite functor  $Lal$  and if  $a$  has a right adjoint  $b$ , then  $B$  is the right adjoint of  $A$ . Suppose  $b$  preserves  $G$ -spectra, then  $b(lT) \cong lB(T)$  for any  $T \in GSU$ . By conjugation we get

$$A(Lt) \cong La(t)$$

for any  $t \in G\mathcal{P}U$ . The functors  $a$  we consider take a  $G$ -prespectrum, whose structure maps  $\sigma$  are closed inclusions, to a  $G$ -prespectrum of the same kind. Hence the homotopy type of  $La(t^{\tau})$  and therefore  $A(L(t^{\tau}))$  may be calculated. This shows that all  $G$ -spectra considered in this paper have the right homotopy type.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.Bökstedt, *Topological Hochschild Homology*, to appear in Topology.
- [2] M.Bökstedt, W.C.Hsiang, I.Madsen, *The Cyclotomic Trace and Algebraic K-theory of Spaces*, Invent. Math. (1993).
- [3] M.Bökstedt, I.Madsen, *Topological Cyclic Homology of the Integers*, these proceedings.
- [4] B.Dundas, R.McCarthy, *Stable K-theory and Topological Hochschild Homology*, preprint Brown University.
- [5] T.Goodwillie, *Notes on the Cyclotomic Trace*, MSRI (unpublished).
- [6] L.Hesselholt, I.Madsen, *Topological Cyclic Homology of Dual Numbers over Finite Fields*, preprint series, Aarhus University (1993).
- [7] J.D.S.Jones, *Cyclic Homology and Equivariant Homology*, Invent.math. **87** (1987), 403-423.
- [8] C.Kassel, *La K-théorie Stable*, Bull.Soc.math., France **110** (1982), 381-416.
- [9] L.G.Lewis, J.P.May, M.Steinberger, *Stable Equivariant Homotopy Theory*, LNM 1213.
- [10] S.MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, GTM 5, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] I.Madsen, *The Cyclotomic Trace in Algebraic K-theory*, Proc. ECM, Paris, France (1992).
- [12] T.Pirashvili, F.Waldhausen, *MacLane Homology and Topological Hochschild Homology*, J.Pure Appl.Alg **82** (1992), 81-99.
- [13] G.Segal, *Classifying Spaces and Spectral Sequences*, Publ.Math.I.H.E.S. **34** (1968), 105-112.
- [14] G.Segal, *Categories and Cohomology Theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293-312.
- [15] F.Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of Spaces II*, Algebraic Topology Aarhus 1978, LNM **763**, 356-394.