

## 1. Rings and modules

The notion of a module is a generalization of the familiar notion of a vector space. The generalization consists in that the scalars used for scalar multiplication are taken to be elements of a general ring. We first define rings.

DEFINITION 1.1. A *ring* is a triple  $(R, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $R$  and two maps  $+: R \times R \rightarrow R$  and  $\cdot: R \times R \rightarrow R$  that satisfy the following axioms.

- (A1) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ .
- (A2) There exists an element  $0 \in R$  such that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a + 0 = a = 0 + a$ .
- (A3) For every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a + b = 0 = b + a$ .
- (A4) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $a + b = b + a$ .
- (P1) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ .
- (P2) There exists an element  $1 \in R$  such that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a$ .
- (D) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$  and  $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$ .

The ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called *commutative* if the following further axiom holds.

- (P3) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = ba$ .

The axioms (A1)–(A4) and (P1)–(P2) express that  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group and that  $(R, \cdot)$  is a monoid, respectively. The axiom (D) expresses that  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$ . We often suppress  $\cdot$  and write  $ab$  instead of  $a \cdot b$ . The zero element  $0$  which exist by axiom (A2) is unique. Indeed, if both  $0$  and  $0'$  satisfy (A2), then

$$0' = 0 + 0' = 0.$$

Moreover, for a given  $a \in R$ , the element  $b \in R$  such that  $a + b = 0 = b + a$  which exists by (A3) is unique. Indeed, if both  $b$  and  $b'$  satisfy (A3), then

$$b = b + 0 = b + (a + b') = (b + a) + b' = 0 + b' = b'.$$

We write  $-a$  instead of  $b$  for this element. Similarly, the element  $1 \in R$  which exists by axiom (P2) is unique. We usually abuse language and write  $R$  instead of  $(R, +, \cdot)$ .

EXAMPLE 1.2. (1) The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers. It is a commutative ring.

(2) The rings  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  of rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers respectively. These rings are all *fields* which mean that they are commutative, that  $1 \neq 0$ , and that for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1 = ba$ . This element  $b$  is uniquely determined by  $a$  and is written  $a^{-1}$ .

(3) The ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  of integers modulo  $n$ . It is a field if and only if  $n$  is a prime number.

(4) The ring  $\mathbb{H}$  of quaternions given by the set

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with addition  $+$  and multiplication  $\cdot$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + bi + cj + dk) + (a' + b'i + c'j + d'k) \\ &= (a + a') + (b + b')i + (c + c')j + (d + d')k \\ & (a + bi + cj + dk) \cdot (a' + b'i + c'j + d'k) \\ &= (aa' - bb' - cc' - dd') + (ab' + a'b + cd' - dc')i \\ & \quad + (ac' + a'c + db' - bd')j + (ad' + a'd + bc' - b'c)k \end{aligned}$$

It is a *division ring* which means that  $1 \neq 0$  and that for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1 = ba$ . A field is a commutative division ring. The quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  is not a commutative ring. For instance,  $ij = k$  but  $ji = -k$ .

(5) Let  $R$  be a ring and. For every positive integer  $n$ , the set of  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in  $R$  equipped with matrix addition and matrix multiplication forms a ring  $M_n(R)$ . The multiplicative unit element  $1 \in M_n(R)$  is the identity matrix and is usually written  $I$ . The ring  $M_n(R)$  is not commutative except if  $n = 1$  and  $R$  is commutative.

(6) The set  $C^0(X, \mathbb{C})$  of continuous complex valued functions on a topological space  $X$  is a commutative ring under pointwise addition and multiplication. The multiplicative unit element  $1 \in C^0(X, \mathbb{C})$  is the constant function with value  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. A *ring homomorphism* from  $R$  to  $S$  is a map for which the following (i)—(iii) hold.

- (i)  $f(1) = 1$
- (ii) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$ .
- (iii) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$ .

EXERCISE 1.4. Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Show that  $f(0) = 0$  and that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $f(-a) = -f(a)$ .

EXAMPLE 1.5. (1) For every ring  $R$ , the identity map  $\text{id}: R \rightarrow R$  is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, if  $f: R \rightarrow S$  and  $g: S \rightarrow T$  are ring homomorphisms, then so is the composite map  $g \circ f: R \rightarrow T$ .

(2) For every ring  $R$ , there is a unique ring homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ . We sometimes abuse notation and write  $n \in R$  for the image of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) There is a ring homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{R})$  determined by

$$f(a + bi + cj + dk) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(4) The canonical inclusions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and of  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  are all ring homomorphisms.

DEFINITION 1.6. Let  $R$  be a ring. A *left  $R$ -module* is a triple  $(M, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $M$  and two maps  $+: M \times M \rightarrow M$  and  $\cdot: R \times M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(M, +)$  satisfy the axioms (A1)–(A4) and such that the following additional axioms hold.

- (M1) For all  $a, b \in R$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (a \cdot b) \cdot x$ .
- (M2) For all  $a \in R$  and  $x, y \in M$ ,  $a \cdot (x + y) = (a \cdot x) + (a \cdot y)$ .
- (M3) For all  $a, b \in R$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $(a + b) \cdot x = (a \cdot x) + (b \cdot x)$ .
- (M4) For all  $x \in M$ ,  $1 \cdot x = x$ .

The notion of a right  $R$ -module is defined analogously.

EXAMPLE 1.7. (1) The ring  $R$  is both a left  $R$ -module and a right  $R$ -module.

(2) The set  $R^n$  considered as the set of “ $n$ -dimensional column vectors” is a left  $M_n(R)$ -module and considered as the set of “ $n$ -dimensional row vectors” is a right  $M_n(R)$ -module.

(3) Let  $n$  be a positive integer, let  $d$  be a divisor in  $n$ , and define

$$\cdot: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$$

by  $(a + n\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (x + d\mathbb{Z}) = ax + d\mathbb{Z}$ . This makes  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  a left  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

DEFINITION 1.8. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  a left  $R$ -module.

- (1) A *linear combination* of  $X \subset M$  is a sum of the form

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$$

with  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

- (2) The subset  $X \subset M$  *generates*  $M$  if every  $y \in M$  can be written as a linear combination  $y = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$  of  $X$ .  
(3) The subset  $X \subset M$  is *linearly independent* if for the linear combination  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n$  to equal 0 implies that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are all zero.  
(4) The subset  $X \subset M$  is a *basis* if it generates  $M$  and is linearly independent.  
(5) The module  $M$  is *free* if it admits a basis.

EXAMPLE 1.9. (1) The left  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in Example 1.7 (3) is not a free module. The subset  $X = \{1 + 2\mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  but it is not linearly independent. Indeed,  $(2 + 6\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (1 + 2\mathbb{Z}) = 2 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  is zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , but  $2 + 6\mathbb{Z}$  is not zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ .

(2) Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. The empty subset  $\emptyset \subset M$  is linearly independent and the whole subset  $M \subset M$  generates  $M$ .

THEOREM 1.10. *Every left module over a division ring is free. More precisely, given two subsets  $X \subset Y \subset M$  such that  $X$  is linearly independent and such that  $Y$  generates  $M$ , there exists a basis  $B \subset M$  with  $X \subset B \subset Y$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be the set that consists of all subsets  $Z \subset M$  that are linearly independent and satisfy  $X \subset Z \subset Y$ . We will use Zorn's lemma to prove that  $S$  has a maximal element. To this end, we must verify the following (i)–(ii).

- (i) The set  $S$  is non-empty.  
(ii) Every to subset  $T \subset S$  which is totally ordered with respect to inclusion has an upper bound in  $S$ .

Now, since  $X \in S$ , we conclude that (i) holds. To verify (ii), let  $T \subset S$  be a totally ordered subset of  $S$ . Then  $Z_T = \bigcup_{Z \in T} Z$  is a linearly independent subset of  $M$  and  $X \subset Z_T \subset Y$ . So  $Z_T \in S$  and for all  $Z \in T$ ,  $Z \subset Z_T$  which proves (ii). By Zorn's lemma,  $S$  has a maximal element  $B$ . Since  $B \in S$ ,  $B \subset M$  is linearly independent and  $X \subset B \subset Y$ . We show that  $B$  generates  $M$ . If not, there exists  $y \in Y$  which is not a linear combination of elements in  $B$ . We claim that  $B \cup \{y\} \subset M$  is linearly independent. Indeed, suppose

$$a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n + ay = 0$$

with  $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in R$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ . Then  $a = 0$  or else

$$y = -a^{-1}(a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n)$$

which contradicts that  $y$  is not a linear combination of elements of  $B$ . (Here we have used the assumption that  $R$  is a division ring.) Since  $B$  is linearly independent, we further have  $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$ . This proves the claim that  $B \cup \{y\}$  is linearly independent. Thus  $B \cup \{y\} \in S$  which contradicts that  $B \in S$  is the maximal element. This shows that  $B$  generates  $M$ , and hence, is a basis as desired.  $\square$

DEFINITION 1.11. A left module over a division ring is called a *left vector space*.

REMARK 1.12. Let  $M$  be a left vector space over the division ring  $R$ . One may show that the cardinality of a basis  $B \subset M$  depends only on  $M$  and not on  $B$ . This

cardinality is called the *dimension* of  $M$ . For a general ring  $R$ , two different bases of the same free left  $R$ -module  $M$  do not necessarily have the same cardinality.

EXERCISE 1.13. The formula

$$(a + bi + cj + dk) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a left  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space structure on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Show that a subset  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  is a basis of this left  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space if and only if  $B$  consists of a single non-zero vector.