

## 2. Simple modules

We first introduce the natural notion of maps between modules.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $R$ -modules. The map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is called  $R$ -linear if for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $a \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + y) &= f(x) + f(y) \\ f(a \cdot x) &= a \cdot f(x). \end{aligned}$$

The set of  $R$ -linear maps  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ .

REMARK 2.2. The set  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  of  $R$ -linear maps from  $M$  to  $N$  is an abelian group with addition defined by  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  are equal, we also write  $\text{End}_R(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ . It is a ring with the product given by composition  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $N$  be free right  $R$ -modules with finite bases  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . If  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is an  $R$ -linear map, we let  $A$  be the  $m \times n$ -matrix whose entries  $a_{ij} \in R$  are defined by

$$f(y_i) = x_1 a_{1i} + x_2 a_{2i} + \dots + x_m a_{mi}.$$

Then for a general element  $y = y_1 s_1 + \dots + y_n s_n$  of  $N$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= f(y_1) s_1 + \dots + f(y_n) s_n \\ &= (x_1 a_{11} + \dots + x_m a_{m1}) s_1 + \dots + (x_1 a_{1n} + \dots + x_m a_{mn}) s_n \\ &= x_1 (a_{11} s_1 + \dots + a_{1n} s_n) + \dots + x_m (a_{m1} s_1 + \dots + a_{mn} s_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $y = y_1 s_1 + \dots + y_n s_n$ , then  $f(y) = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_m r_m$ , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

We say that the matrix  $A$  represents the  $R$ -linear maps  $f: N \rightarrow M$  with respect to the given bases  $Y \subset N$  and  $X \subset M$ . We note that it is important here to consider right  $R$ -modules and not left  $R$ -modules. With left  $R$ -modules, we would obtain “row vectors” instead of “column vectors.”

PROPOSITION 2.4. Suppose that  $M$ ,  $N$ , and  $P$  are free right  $R$ -modules with finite bases  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , and  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_p\}$ , respectively. Let  $A$  be the  $m \times n$ -matrix that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $f: N \rightarrow M$  with respect to the bases  $Y \subset N$  and  $X \subset M$ , and let  $B$  be the  $n \times p$ -matrix that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $g: P \rightarrow N$  with respect to the bases  $Z \subset P$  and  $Y \subset N$ . Then the  $m \times p$ -matrix that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $f \circ g: P \rightarrow M$  with respect to the bases  $Z \subset P$  and  $X \subset M$  is the product matrix  $AB$ .

PROOF. Let  $z = z_1 t_1 + \cdots + z_p t_p$  be an element of  $P$ , let  $g(z) = y_1 s_1 + \cdots + y_n s_n$ , and let  $f(g(z)) = x_1 r_1 + \cdots + x_m r_m$ . By the definition of  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1p} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1p} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{pmatrix}$$

The proposition follows.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.5. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a free right  $R$ -module with a finite basis  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . Then the map

$$\alpha: M_m(R) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$$

that takes the  $m \times m$ -matrix  $A$  to the  $R$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  represented by  $A$  with respect to the basis  $X \subset M$  is a ring isomorphism.

PROOF. Every  $R$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is represented with respect to the basis  $X \subset M$  by the unique  $m \times m$ -matrix defined in Example 2.3. Therefore, the map  $\alpha$  is a bijection. Moreover, the  $R$ -linear map represented by the identity matrix  $I_m$  is the identity map  $\text{id}_M$ ; the  $R$ -linear map represented by a sum  $A + B$  of two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is the sum  $f + g$  of the  $R$ -linear maps  $f$  and  $g$  represented by the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively; and the  $R$ -linear map represented by the matrix product  $AB$  is the composition  $f \circ g$  of the  $R$ -linear maps  $f$  and  $g$ . This shows that  $\alpha$  is a ring homomorphism, and hence, a ring isomorphism.  $\square$

REMARK 2.6. Let  $R = (R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. The opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}} = (R, +, *)$  has the same set  $R$  and addition  $+$  but the “opposite” product  $a * b = b \cdot a$ . The left  $R$ -module  $M = (M, +, \cdot)$  determines the right  $R^{\text{op}}$ -module  $M^{\text{op}} = (M, +, *)$  with  $x * a = a \cdot x$ . Now, the map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is  $R$ -linear if and only if  $f: M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow M^{\text{op}}$  is  $R^{\text{op}}$ -linear, and therefore, the rings  $\text{End}_R(M)$  and  $\text{End}_{R^{\text{op}}}(M^{\text{op}})$  are equal. Hence, if  $M$  is a free left  $R$ -module with a finite basis  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ , then the map

$$\alpha: M_m(R^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$$

from Corollary 2.5 is a ring isomorphism.

EXERCISE 2.7. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in the ring  $R$ . Describe the product  $A * B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  in the opposite ring  $M_n(R)^{\text{op}}$ .

A division ring  $R$  is the simplest kind of ring in the sense that every left  $R$ -module is a free module. We will next consider a slightly more complicated class of rings that are called simple rings.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $M'$  be left  $R$ -modules.

- (i) The *direct sum* of  $M$  and  $M'$  is the left  $R$ -module

$$M \oplus M' = \{(x, x') \mid x \in M, x' \in M'\}$$

with sum and scalar multiplication defined by

$$(x, x') + (y, y') = (x + y, x' + y')$$

$$a \cdot (x, x') = (ax, ax').$$

- (ii) The subset  $N \subset M$  is a *submodule* if for all  $x, y \in N$  and  $a \in R$ ,  $x + y \in N$  and  $ax \in N$ .

- (iii) The *sum* of two submodules  $N, N' \subset M$  is the submodule

$$N + N' = \{x + x' \mid x \in N, x' \in N'\} \subset M.$$

- (iv) The sum of the submodules  $N, N' \subset M$  is *direct* if the map

$$N \oplus N' \rightarrow N + N'$$

that to  $(x, x')$  associates  $x + x'$  is an isomorphism, or equivalently, if the intersection  $N \cap N'$  is the zero module  $\{0\}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.9. (1) A submodule  $I \subset R$  of the ring  $R$  considered as a left  $R$ -module over itself is called a *left ideal* of  $R$ .

- (2) Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be integers. Then  $m\mathbb{Z}, n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  are ideals and

$$m\mathbb{Z} \cap n\mathbb{Z} = [m, n]\mathbb{Z} \subset m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = (m, n)\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $(m, n)$  and  $[m, n]$  are the greatest common divisor and least common multiple of  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively. The sum  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z}$  is direct if and only if one or both of  $m$  and  $n$  are zero.

- (3) Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M_2(R)$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices. The subsets

$$P_{2,1}(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, c \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)$$

$$P_{2,2}(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid b, d \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)$$

are left ideals, and the sum  $P_{2,1}(R) + P_{2,2}(R)$  is direct and equals  $M_2(R)$ . Similarly, the subsets

$$Q_{2,1}(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)$$

$$Q_{2,2}(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid c, d \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)$$

are right ideals, and the sum  $Q_{2,1}(R) + Q_{2,2}(R)$  is direct and equal to  $M_2(R)$ .

DEFINITION 2.10. Let  $R$  be a ring.

- (1) The left  $R$ -module  $S$  is *simple* if it is non-zero and if the only submodules of  $S$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $S$ .
- (2) The left  $R$ -module  $M$  is *semi-simple* if it is a direct sum

$$M = S_1 + \cdots + S_n$$

of finitely many simple submodules.

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let  $D$  be a division ring. We claim that as a left module over itself,  $D$  is simple. Indeed, let  $N \subset D$  be a non-zero submodule and let  $a \in N$  be a non-zero element. If  $b \in D$ , then  $b = ba^{-1} \cdot a \in N$ , and hence,  $N = D$  which proves the claim. Let  $S$  be any simple left  $D$ -module and let  $x \in S$  be a non-zero element. We claim that the  $D$ -linear map  $f: D \rightarrow S$  defined by  $f(a) = a \cdot x$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, the image  $f(D) \subset S$  is a submodule and it is not zero since  $x \in f(D)$ . Since  $S$  is simple, we necessarily have  $f(D) = S$ , so  $f$  is surjective. Similarly, the kernel  $\ker(f) = \{a \in D \mid f(a) = 0\} \subset D$  is a submodule and it is not all of  $D$  since  $f(1) = x \neq 0$ . Since  $D$  is simple, we have  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ , so  $f$  is injective. This proves the claim. We conclude that a division ring  $D$  has a unique isomorphism class of simple left  $D$ -modules.

LEMMA 2.12. *Let  $D$  be a division ring and let  $R = M_n(D)$ . The left  $R$ -module of column  $n$ -vectors  $S = M_{n,1}(D)$  is a simple left  $R$ -module.*

PROOF. Let  $N \subset S$  be a non-zero submodule. We must show that  $N = S$ . We first choose a non-zero vector  $x_1 \in N$ . By Theorem 1.10, we can choose additional vectors  $x_2, \dots, x_n \in S$  such that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a basis of  $S$  as a right  $D$ -vector space. Here and below, we use that, by Remark 1.12, every basis of  $S$  as a right  $D$ -vector space has  $n$  elements. Now let  $A \in R$  be the  $n \times n$ -matrix whose  $j$ th column is  $x_j$ . We claim that  $A$  is invertible. Indeed, since  $X \subset S$  is a right  $D$ -vector space basis, there exists  $B \in R$  such that  $AB = I$  which, in turn, implies that  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible and  $BA = I$ . Hence

$$Bx_1 = BAe_1 = e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which shows that  $e_1 \in N$ . Now, given  $x \in S$ , we choose  $C \in R$  with  $x$  as its first column. Then  $x = Ce_1 \in N$  which shows that  $x \in N$  as desired.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.13 (Schur's lemma). *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $S$  be a simple right  $R$ -module. Then the ring  $\text{End}_R(S)$  is a division ring.*

PROOF. Let  $f: S \rightarrow S$  be a non-zero  $R$ -linear map. We must show that there exists an  $R$ -linear map  $g: S \rightarrow S$  such that both  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are the identity map of  $S$ . It suffices to show that  $f$  is a bijection. For then  $f^{-1}: S \rightarrow S$  is the desired  $R$ -linear map. Now, the image  $f(S) \subset S$  is a submodule which is non-zero since  $f$  is non-zero. As  $S$  is simple, we conclude that  $f(S) = S$ , so  $f$  is surjective. Similarly,  $\ker(f) \subset S$  is a submodule which is not all of  $S$  since  $f$  is not the zero map. Since  $S$  is simple, we conclude that  $\ker(f)$  is zero, so  $f$  is injective.  $\square$