3. Semi-simple rings

We next consider semi-simple modules in more detail.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let R be a ring, let M be a left R-module, and let $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of simple submodules the union of which generates M. Then there exists a subset $J \subset I$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{i \in J} S_i$.*

PROOF. We consider a subset $J \subset I$ which is maximal among subsets with the property that the sum of submodules $\sum_{j \in J} S_j \subset M$ is direct. Now, if $i \in I \smallsetminus J$, then $S_i \cap \sum_{j \in J} S_j \neq \{0\}$ or else J would not be maximal. Since S_i is simple, we conclude that S_i is contained in the submodule $\sum_{j \in J} S_j \subset M$. It follows that this submodule is all of M. This completes the proof. □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let R be a ring and let M be a semi-simple left R-module.*

(i) *Let Q be a left R-module and let $p \colon M \to Q$ be a surjective R-linear map. Then Q is semi-simple and there exists an R-linear map $s \colon Q \to M$ such that $p \circ s \colon Q \to Q$ is the identity map.*

(ii) *Let N be a left R-module and let $i \colon N \to M$ be an injective R-linear map. Then N is semi-simple and there exists an R-linear map $r \colon M \to N$ such that $r \circ i \colon N \to N$ is the identity map.*

PROOF. (i) We write $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$ as a finite direct sum of simple submodules. Let $J \subset I$ be the subset of indices i such that $p(S_i)$ is non-zero. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a subset $K \subset J$ such that $\bigoplus_{i \in K} p(S_i) = Q$. Let $j \colon \bigoplus_{i \in K} S_i \to M$ be the canonical inclusion. Then $p \circ j$ is an isomorphism which shows that Q is semi-simple. Moreover, the composite map $s = j \circ (p \circ j)^{-1} \colon Q \to M$ has the desired property that $p \circ s$ is the identity map of Q.

(ii) It follows from (i) that there exists a submodule $P \subset M$ such that the composition $P \to M \to M/N$ of the canonical inclusion and the canonical projection is an isomorphism. Now, if $q \colon M \to M/P$ is the projection onto the quotient by P, then $q \circ i \colon N \to M/P$ is an isomorphism. This shows that N is semi-simple and that the map $r = (q \circ i)^{-1} \circ q \colon M \to N$ satisfies that $r \circ i = \mathrm{id}_N$. □

Let M be a semi-simple left R-module and let Λ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple left R-modules. If the simple submodule $S \subset M$ belongs to the class $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we say that S has *type* λ. We prove that semi-simple left R-modules admit the following canonical *isotypic decomposition*.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let R be a ring.*

(i) *Let M be a semi-simple left R-module, and let $M_\lambda \subset M$ be the submodule generated by the union of all simple submodules of type λ. Then*

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$$

and M_λ is a direct sum of simple submodules of type λ.

(ii) *Let M and N be semi-simple left R-modules and let $f \colon M \to N$ be an R-linear map. Then $f(M_\lambda) \subset N_\lambda$.*

PROOF. (i) Since M is semi-simple, we can write $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$ as a direct sum of simple submodules. Let $M'_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i \in I_\lambda} S_i$ where $I_\lambda \subset I$ is the subset of $i \in I$ such that S_i is of type λ. We have $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M'_\lambda$ and $M'_\lambda \subset M_\lambda$. We must prove that $M'_\lambda = M_\lambda$. So let $S \subset M$ be a simple submodule of type λ and let $i \in I$. The composition $f_i\colon S \to M \to S_i$ of the canonical inclusion and the canonical projection is an R-linear map. Since S and S_i are both simple left R-modules, the map f_i is either zero or an isomorphism. If it is an isomorphism, we have $i \in I_\lambda$ by definition. This shows that $S \subset M'_\lambda$, and hence, $M_\lambda \subset M'_\lambda$ as desired.

(ii) Let $S \subset M$ be a simple submodule of type λ. Then $f(S) \subset N$ is either zero or a simple submodule of type λ. Therefore, $f(M_\lambda) \subset N_\lambda$ as stated. □

Definition 3.4. (i) The ring R is *semi-simple* if it semi-simple as a left module over itself.

(ii) The ring R is *simple* if it is semi-simple and if it has exactly one type of simple modules.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a semi-simple ring and let $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} R_\lambda$ be the isotypic decomposition of R as a left R-module.*

(i) *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the left ideal $R_\lambda \subset R$ is non-zero. In particular, Λ is a finite set.*
(ii) *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the left ideal $R_\lambda \subset R$ is also a right ideal.*
(iii) *Let $a, b \in R$ and write $a = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} a_\lambda$ and $b = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} b_\lambda$ with $a_\lambda, b_\lambda \in R_\lambda$. Then $ab = \sum_\lambda a_\lambda b_\lambda$ and $a_\lambda b_\lambda \in R_\lambda$.*
(iv) *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, R_λ is a ring with respect to the restriction of the multiplication on R and the identity element is the unique element $e_\lambda \in R_\lambda$ such that $\sum_\lambda e_\lambda = 1$.*
(v) *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the ring R_λ is simple.*

Proof. (i) Let S be a simple left R-module of type λ. We choose a non-zero element $x \in S$ and consider the R-linear map $p\colon R \to S$ defined by $p(a) = a \cdot x$. The image $p(S) \subset S$, which is a non-zero submodule of a simple left R-module, is necessarily all of S, so p is surjective. We conclude from Proposition 3.2 that there exists an R-linear map $s\colon S \to R$ such that $p \circ s = \mathrm{id}_S$. But then $s(S) \subset R$ is a simple submodule of type λ.

(ii) Let $a \in R$ and let $\rho_a\colon R \to R$ be the map $\rho_a(b) = ba$ defined by right multiplication by a. It is an R-linear map from the left R-module R to itself. By Proposition 3.3 (ii), we conclude that $\rho_a(R_\lambda) \subset R_\lambda$ which is precisely the statement that $R_\lambda \subset R$ is a right ideal.

(iii) Since $R_\mu \subset R$ is a left ideal, we have $a_\lambda b_\mu \in R_\mu$, and since $R_\lambda \subset R$ is a right ideal, we have $a_\lambda b_\mu \in R_\lambda$. It follows that $a_\lambda b_\mu \in R_\lambda \cap R_\mu$ which is equal to R_λ and $\{0\}$, respectively, as $\lambda = \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$.

(iv) We have already proved in (iii) that the multiplication on R restricts to a multiplication on R_λ. Now, for all $a_\lambda \in R_\lambda$, we have

$$a_\lambda = a_\lambda \cdot 1 = a_\lambda \cdot (\sum_{\mu\in\Lambda} e_\mu) = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda} a_\lambda \cdot e_\mu = a_\lambda \cdot e_\lambda$$

and the identity $a_\lambda = e_\lambda \cdot a_\lambda$ is proved analogously. It follows that R_λ is a ring.

(v) Let S_λ be a simple left R-module of type λ. Since $R_\lambda \subset R$, the left multiplication of R on S_λ defines a left multiplication of R_λ on S_λ. To prove that this defines a left R_λ-module structure on S_λ, we must show that $e_\lambda \cdot x = x$, for all $x \in S_\lambda$. We have just proved that $e_\lambda \cdot y = y$, for all $y \in R_\lambda$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.3 (i), we can find an injective R-linear map $f_\lambda\colon S_\lambda \to R_\lambda$. Since

$$f_\lambda(e_\lambda \cdot x) = e_\lambda \cdot f_\lambda(x) = f_\lambda(x),$$

we conclude that $e_\lambda \cdot x = x$, for all $x \in S_\lambda$, as desired. We further note that S_λ is a simple left R_λ-module. Indeed, it follows from (iii) that the subset $N \subset S_\lambda$ is an R-submodule if and only if it is an R_λ-submodule. Finally, by Proposition 3.3 (i), the left R-module R_λ is isomorphic to a direct sum $S_{\lambda,1}+\cdots+S_{\lambda,r}$ of simple submodules, all of which are isomorphic to the simple left R-module S_λ. Therefore, as a left R_λ-module, R_λ is isomorphic to the direct sum $S_{\lambda,1} + \cdots + S_{\lambda,r}$ of submodules, all of which are isomorphic to the simple left R_λ-module S_λ. This shows that R_λ is a semi-simple ring, and we conclude from (i) that every simple left R_λ-module is isomorphic to S_λ. So R_λ is a simple ring. □

Remark 3.6. The inclusion map $i_\lambda \colon R_\lambda \to R$ is not a ring homomorphism unless $R = R_\lambda$. Indeed, the map i_λ takes the multiplicative identity element $e_\lambda \in R_\lambda$ to the element $e_\lambda \in R$ which is not equal to the multiplicative identity element $1 \in R$ unless $R = R_\lambda$. However, the projection map

$$p_\lambda \colon R \to R_\lambda$$

that takes $a = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} a_\mu$ with $a_\mu \in R_\mu$ to a_λ is a ring homomorphism. In general, the *product ring* of the family of rings $\{R_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\}$ is the defined to be the set

$$\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} R_\lambda = \{(a_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mid a_\lambda \in R_\lambda\}$$

with componentwise addition and multiplication. The multiplicative identity element in the product ring is the tuple $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ where $e_\lambda \in R_\lambda$ is the multiplicative unit element. We may now restate Theorem 3.5 (ii)–(v) as saying that the map

$$p \colon R \to \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} R_\lambda$$

defined by $p(a) = (p_\lambda(a))_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism of rings, and that each of the component rings R_λ is a simple ring.

We next prove the following structure theorem for simple rings. We recall from Schur's lemma that the endomorphism ring of a simple module is a division ring.

Theorem 3.7. *The following statements holds.*

(i) *Let D be a division ring and let $R = M_n(D)$ be the ring of $n \times n$-matrices. Then R is a simple ring with the left R-module $S = M_{n,1}(D)$ of column n-vectors as its simple module, and the map*

$$\rho \colon D \to \mathrm{End}_R(S)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

defined by $\rho(a)(x) = xa$ is a ring isomorphism.

(ii) *Let R be a simple ring and let S be a simple left R-module. Then S is a finite dimensional right vector space over the division ring $D = \mathrm{End}_R(S)^{\mathrm{op}}$ opposite of the ring of R-linear endomorphisms of S, and the map*

$$\lambda \colon R \to \mathrm{End}_D(S)$$

defined by $\lambda(a)(x) = ax$ is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. (i) We have proved in Lemma 2.12 that S is a simple R-module. Now, let $e_i \in M_{1,n}(D)$ be the row vector whose ith entry is 1 and whose remaining entries are 0. Then the map $f \colon S \oplus \cdots \oplus S \to R$, where there are n summands S, defined by $f(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = v_1e_1 + \cdots + v_ne_n$ is an isomorphism of left R-modules. Indeed, in the $n \times n$-matrix v_ie_i, the ith column is v_i and the remaining columns are zero.

This shows that R is a semi-simple ring. By Theorem 3.5 (i), we conclude that every simple left R-module is isomorphic to S. Hence, the ring R is simple.

It is readily verified that the map ρ is a ring homomorphism. Now, the kernel of ρ is a two-sided ideal in the division ring D, and hence, is either zero or all of D. But $\rho(1) = \mathrm{id}_S$ is not zero, so the kernel is zero, and hence the map ρ is injective. It remains to show that ρ is surjective. So let $f\colon S \to S$ be an R-linear map. We must show that there exists $a \in D$ such that for all $y \in S$, $f(y) = ya$. To this end, we fix a non-zero element $x \in S$ and choose a matrix $P \in R$ such that $Px = x$ and such that $PS = xD \subset S$. Since f is R-linear, we have

$$f(x) = f(Px) = Pf(x) \in xD$$

which shows that $f(x) = xa$ with $a \in D$. Now, given any $y \in S$, we can find a matrix $A \in R$ such that $Ax = y$. Again, since f is R-linear, we have

$$f(y) = f(Ax) = Af(x) = Axa = ya$$

as desired. This shows that ρ is surjective, and hence, and isomorphism.

(ii) Since R is a simple ring with simple left R-module S, there exists an isomorphism of left R-modules $f\colon S^n \to R$ from the direct sum of finite number n copies of S onto R. We now have ring isomorphisms

$$R^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(S^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n(\mathrm{End}_R(S)) = M_n(D^{\mathrm{op}})$$

where the left-hand isomorphism is given by Remark 2.6, the middle isomorphism is induced by the chosen isomorphism f, and the right-hand isomorphism takes the endomorphism g to the matrix of endomorphisms (g_{ij}) with the endomorphism g_{ij} defined to be the composition $g_{ij} = p_i \circ g \circ i_j$ of the inclusion $i_j\colon S \to S^n$ of the jth summand, the endomorphism $g\colon S^n \to S^n$, and the projection $p_i\colon S^n \to S$ on the ith summand. It follows that we have a ring isomorphism

$$R \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n(D^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n((D^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}}) = M_n(D)$$

given by the composition of the isomorphism above and the isomorphism that takes the matrix A to its transpose ${}^tA$. This shows that the simple ring R is isomorphic to the simple ring $M_n(D)$ we considered in (i). Therefore, it suffices to show that the map λ is an isomorphism in this case. But this is precisely the statement of Corollary 2.5. □

Exercise 3.8. Let D be a division ring, let $R = M_n(D)$, and let $S = M_{n,1}(D)$. We view S as a left R-module and as a right D-vector space.

(1) Let $x \in S$ be a non-zero vector. Show that there exists a matrix $P \in R$ such that $PS = xD \subset S$. (Hint: Try $x = e_1$ first.)
(2) Let $x, y \in S$ be non-zero vectors. Show that there exists a matrix $A \in R$ such that $Ax = y$.

Remark 3.9. The center of a ring R is the subring $Z(R) \subset R$ of all elements $a \in R$ with the property that for all $b \in R$, $ab = ba$; it is a commutative ring. The center $k = Z(D)$ of the division ring D clearly is a field, and it is not difficult to show that also $Z(M_n(D)) = k$. It is possible for a division ring D to be of infinite dimension over the center k. However, one can show that if D is of finite dimension d over k, then $d = m^2$ is a square and every maximal subfield $E \subset D$ has dimension m over k. For example, the center of the division ring of quarternions $\mathbb{H}$ is the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ and the complex numbers $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a maximal subfield.

It is high time that we see an example of a semi-simple ring. In general, if k is a commutative ring and if G is a group, the group ring $k[G]$ is defined to be the free k-module with basis G and with multiplication

$$(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) \cdot (\sum_{g \in G} b_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} (\sum_{\substack{h,k \in G \\ hk = g}} a_h b_k) \, g.$$

We note that $G \subset k[G]$ as the set of basis elements; the unit element $e \in G$ is also the multiplicative unit element in the ring $k[G]$. Moreover, the map $\eta \colon k \to k[G]$ defined by $\eta(a) = a \cdot e$ is ring homomorphism. If M is a left $k[G]$-module, we also say that M is a k-linear representation of the group G.

Let k be a field and let $\eta \colon \mathbb{Z} \to k$ be the unique ring homomorphism. We define the characteristic of k to be the unique non-negative integer $\operatorname{char}(k)$ such that $\ker(\eta) = \operatorname{char}(k)\mathbb{Z}$. For example, the fields $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ have characteristic zero while, for every prime number p, the field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ has characteristic p.

EXERCISE 3.10. Let k be a field. Show that $\operatorname{char}(k)$ is either zero or a prime number, and that every integer n not divisible by $\operatorname{char}(k)$ is invertible in k.

THEOREM 3.11 (Maschke's theorem). *Let k be a field and let G be finite group whose order is not divisible by the characteristic of k. Then the group ring $k[G]$ is a semi-simple ring.*

PROOF. We show that every left $k[G]$-module M of finite dimension m over k is a semi-simple left $k[G]$-module. The proof is by induction on m; the basic case $m = 1$ follows from Example 2.11, since a left $k[G]$-module of dimension 1 over k is simple as a left k-module, and hence, also as a left $k[G]$-module. So we let M be a left $k[G]$-module of dimension $m > 1$ over k and assume, inductively, that every left $k[G]$-module of smaller dimension is semi-simple. We must show that M is semi-simple. If M is simple, we are done. If M is not simple, there exists a non-zero proper submodule $N \subset M$. We let $i \colon N \to M$ be the inclusion and choose a k-linear map $\sigma \colon M \to N$ such that $\sigma \circ i = \mathrm{id}_N$. The map σ is not necessarily $k[G]$-linear. However, we claim that the map $s \colon M \to N$ defined by

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\sigma(g^{-1}x)$$

is $k[G]$-linear and satisfies $s \circ i = \mathrm{id}_N$. Indeed, s is k-linear and if $h \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} s(hx) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\sigma(g^{-1}hx) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} hh^{-1}g\sigma(g^{-1}hx) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} hk\sigma(k^{-1}x) = hs(x) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that s is $k[G]$-linear. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (s \circ i)(x) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\sigma(g^{-1}i(x)) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\sigma(i(g^{-1}x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} gg^{-1}x = x \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $s \circ i = \mathrm{id}_N$. This proves the claim. Now, let P be the kernel of s. The claim shows that M is equal to the direct sum of the submodule $N, P \subset M$.

But N and P both have dimension less than m over k, and hence, are semi-simple by the inductive hypothesis. This shows that M is semi-simple as desired. $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.12 (Cyclic groups). To illustrate the theory above, we determine the structure of the group rings $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$, $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$, and $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$, where C_n is the cyclic group of order n. Theorem 3.11 shows that the three rings are semi-simple rings, and their structure are given by Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 once we identify their isomorphism classes of simple modules; we proceed to do so. We fix choices of a generator $g \in C_n$ and of a primitive nth root of unity $\zeta_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

We first consider the complex group ring $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$. For every $0 \leqslant k < n$, we define the left $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-module $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k)$ to be the sub-$\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ spanned by the elements ζ_n^{ki} with $0 \leqslant i < n$ and with the module structure defined by

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i g^i) \cdot z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \zeta_n^{ki} z.$$

The left $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-module $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k)$ is simple. For as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k) = \mathbb{C}$, and therefore has no non-trivial proper submodules. Suppose that $f\colon \mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k) \to \mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^l)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-linear isomorphism. Then we have

$$\zeta_n^k f(1) = f(\zeta_n^k) = f(g \cdot 1) = g \cdot f(1) = \zeta_n^l f(1),$$

where the first and third equalities follows from $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-linearity. Since $f(1) \neq 0$, we conclude that $k = l$. So the n simple left $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-modules $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k)$, $0 \leqslant k < n$, are pairwise non-isomorphic. Therefore, Theorem 3.5 (i) implies that

$$\mathbb{C}[C_n] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k)$$

as a left $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$-module. The endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}[C_n]}(\mathbb{C}(\zeta_n^k))$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{C}$ for all $0 \leqslant k < n$.

We next consider the real group ring $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$. Again, for $0 \leqslant k < n$, we define the left $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$-module $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$ to be the sub-$\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ spanned by the elements ζ_n^{ki} with $0 \leqslant i < n$ and with the module structure defined by

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i g^i) \cdot z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \zeta_n^{ki} z.$$

The left $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$-module $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$ is simple. For given two elements $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$, there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}[C_n]$ with $\omega \cdot z = z'$. The dimension of $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space is either 1 or 2 according as $\zeta_n^k \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\zeta_n^k \notin \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we find that the left $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$-modules $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$ and $\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^l)$ are isomorphic if and only if the complex numbers ζ_n^k and ζ_n^l are conjugate. Again, from Theorem 3.5 (i), we conclude that

$$\mathbb{R}[C_n] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k)$$

as a left $\mathbb{R}[C_n]$-module. Here $[n/2]$ is the largest integer less than or equal to $n/2$. The endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{R}[C_n]}(\mathbb{R}(\zeta_n^k))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, if $k = 0$ or $k = n/2$, and to $\mathbb{C}$, otherwise.

Finally, we consider the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$. For all $0 \leqslant k < n$, we define the left $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-module $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k)$ to be the sub-$\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ spanned by the elements ζ_n^{ki} with $0 \leqslant i < n$ and with the module structure defined by

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i g^i) \cdot z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \zeta_n^{ki} z.$$

Again, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k)$ is a simple left $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-module, since given $z, z' \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k)$, there exists an element $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}[C_n]$ with $\omega \cdot z = z'$. Suppose that

$$\{\zeta_n^{ki} \mid 0 \leqslant i < n\} = \{\zeta_n^{li} \mid 0 \leqslant i < n\} \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we may define a $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-linear isomorphism

$$f\colon \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k) \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^l)$$

to be the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map that takes ζ_n^{ki} to ζ_n^{li}, for all $0 \leqslant i < n$. Suppose that the set $\{\zeta_n^{ki} \mid 0 \leqslant i < n\}$ has d elements. Then d divides n and

$$\{\zeta_n^{ki} \mid 0 \leqslant i < n\} = \{\zeta_d^i \mid 0 \leqslant i < d\}$$

with $\zeta_d \in \mathbb{C}$ a primitive dth root of unity. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the left $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$-module defined by the sub-Q-vector space $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \subset \mathbb{C}$ spanned by the ζ_d^i with $0 \leqslant i < d$ and with the module structure

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_i g^i) \cdot z = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \zeta_d^i z.$$

Then we define a $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-linear isomorphism

$$f\colon \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \to \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^k)$$

to be the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map that takes ζ_d^i to ζ_n^{ki}. It is not difficult to show that the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space is equal to the number $\varphi(d)$ of the integers $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ that are prime to d. Moreover, since

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d) = n$$

we conclude from Theorem 3.5 (i) that these represent all isomorphism classes of simple left $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-modules. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{Q}[C_n] = \bigoplus_{d \mid n} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$$

as a left $\mathbb{Q}[C_n]$-module. We note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a subfield, the dth cyclotomic field over $\mathbb{Q}$. The endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[C_n]}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d))^{\mathrm{op}}$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ for every divisor d of n.

Remark 3.13 (Modular representation theory). If the characteristic of the field k divides the order of the group G, then the group ring $k[G]$ is not semi-simple, and it is a very difficult problem to understand the structure of this ring. For example, if $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the field with p elements and $\mathfrak{S}_p$ is the symmetric group on p letters, then the structure of the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathfrak{S}_p]$ is only understood for a few primes p.