

## 1. Rings and modules

The notion of a module is a generalization of the familiar notion of a vector space. The generalization consists in that the scalars used for scalar multiplication are taken to be elements of a general ring. We first define rings.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A *ring* is a triple  $(R, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $R$  and two maps  $+: R \times R \rightarrow R$  and  $\cdot: R \times R \rightarrow R$  that satisfy the following axioms.

- (A1) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ .
- (A2) There exists an element  $0 \in R$  such that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a + 0 = a = 0 + a$ .
- (A3) For every  $a \in R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $a + b = 0 = b + a$ .
- (A4) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $a + b = b + a$ .
- (P1) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ .
- (P2) There exists an element  $1 \in R$  such that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a$ .
- (D) For all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$  and  $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$ .

The ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called *commutative* if the following further axiom holds.

- (P3) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = ba$ .

The axioms (A1)–(A4) and (P1)–(P2) express that  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group and that  $(R, \cdot)$  is a monoid, respectively. The axiom (D) expresses that  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$ . We often suppress  $\cdot$  and write  $ab$  instead of  $a \cdot b$ . The zero element  $0$  which exist by axiom (A2) is unique. Indeed, if both  $0$  and  $0'$  satisfy (A2), then

$$0' = 0 + 0' = 0.$$

Moreover, for a given  $a \in R$ , the element  $b \in R$  such that  $a + b = 0 = b + a$  which exists by (A3) is unique. Indeed, if both  $b$  and  $b'$  satisfy (A3), then

$$b = b + 0 = b + (a + b') = (b + a) + b' = 0 + b' = b'.$$

We write  $-a$  instead of  $b$  for this element. Similarly, the element  $1 \in R$  which exists by axiom (P2) is unique. We abuse notation and write  $R$  instead of  $(R, +, \cdot)$ .

**EXERCISE 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Show that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot a$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.3.** (1) The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers. It is a commutative ring.

(2) The rings  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  of rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers respectively. These rings are all *fields* which mean that they are commutative, that  $1 \neq 0$ , and that for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1 = ba$ . This element  $b$  is uniquely determined by  $a$  and is written  $a^{-1}$ .

(3) The ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  of integers modulo  $n$ . It is a field if and only if  $n$  is a prime number.

(4) The ring  $\mathbb{H}$  of quaternions given by the set of formal sums

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + ib + jc + kd \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with addition  $+$  and multiplication  $\cdot$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (a + ib + jc + kd) + (a' + ib' + jc' + kd') &= (a + a') + i(b + b') + j(c + c') + k(d + d') \\ (a + ib + jc + kd) \cdot (a' + ib' + jc' + kd') &= (aa' - bb' - cc' - dd') + i(ab' + a'b + cd' - dc') \\ &\quad + j(ac' + a'c + db' - bd') + k(ad' + a'd + bc' - b'c) \end{aligned}$$

It is a *division ring* which means that  $1 \neq 0$  and that for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1 = ba$ . A field is a commutative division ring. The quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  is not a commutative ring. For instance,  $ij = k$  but  $ji = -k$ .

(5) Let  $R$  be a ring and. For every positive integer  $n$ , the set of  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in  $R$  equipped with matrix addition and matrix multiplication forms a ring  $M_n(R)$ . The multiplicative unit element  $1 \in M_n(R)$  is the identity matrix and is usually written  $I$ . The ring  $M_n(R)$  is not commutative except if  $n = 1$  and  $R$  is commutative.

(6) The set  $C^0(X, \mathbb{C})$  of continuous complex valued functions on a topological space  $X$  is a commutative ring under pointwise addition and multiplication. The multiplicative unit element  $1 \in C^0(X, \mathbb{C})$  is the constant function with value  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. A *ring homomorphism* from  $R$  to  $S$  is a map for which the following (i)—(iii) hold.

- (i)  $f(1) = 1$
- (ii) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$ .
- (iii) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$ .

EXERCISE 1.5. Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Show that  $f(0) = 0$  and that for all  $a \in R$ ,  $f(-a) = -f(a)$ .

EXAMPLE 1.6. (1) For every ring  $R$ , the identity map  $\text{id}: R \rightarrow R$  is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, if  $f: R \rightarrow S$  and  $g: S \rightarrow T$  are ring homomorphisms, then so is the composite map  $g \circ f: R \rightarrow T$ .

(2) For every ring  $R$ , there is a unique ring homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ . We sometimes abuse notation and write  $n \in R$  for the image of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) There is a ring homomorphism  $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$f(a + ib + jc + kd) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(4) The canonical inclusions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and of  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  all are ring homomorphisms.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let  $R$  be a ring. A *left  $R$ -module* is a triple  $(M, +, \cdot)$  consisting of a set  $M$  and two maps  $+: M \times M \rightarrow M$  and  $\cdot: R \times M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(M, +)$  satisfy the axioms (A1)–(A4) and such that the following additional axioms hold.

- (M1) For all  $a, b \in R$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (a \cdot b) \cdot x$ .
- (M2) For all  $a \in R$  and  $x, y \in M$ ,  $a \cdot (x + y) = (a \cdot x) + (a \cdot y)$ .
- (M3) For all  $a, b \in R$  and  $x \in M$ ,  $(a + b) \cdot x = (a \cdot x) + (b \cdot x)$ .
- (M4) For all  $x \in M$ ,  $1 \cdot x = x$ .

The notion of a right  $R$ -module is defined analogously.

EXAMPLE 1.8. (1) Let  $R$  be a ring. We may view  $R$  both as a left  $R$ -module and as a right  $R$ -module via the multiplication in  $R$ .

(2) The set  $R^n$  considered as the set of “ $n$ -dimensional column vectors” is a left  $M_n(R)$ -module and considered as the set of “ $n$ -dimensional row vectors” is a right  $M_n(R)$ -module.

(3) Let  $n$  be a positive integer, let  $d$  be a divisor in  $n$ , and define

$$\cdot: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$$

by  $(a + n\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (x + d\mathbb{Z}) = ax + d\mathbb{Z}$ . This makes  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  a left  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

We next recall three very important notions from linear algebra. These notions all concern *families* of elements. By definition, a *family of elements* in a set  $X$  is a map  $x: I \rightarrow X$  from some set  $I$  to  $X$ . We also write  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  to indicate the family  $x: I \rightarrow X$  with  $x(i) = x_i$ , and we say that  $I$  is the indexing set of the family. We remark that the families  $(1)$  and  $(1, 1)$  of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are distinct, since they have distinct indexing sets, whereas the subsets  $\{1\}$  and  $\{1, 1\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are equal.

EXAMPLE 1.9. For every set  $X$ , there are two extreme examples of families of elements in  $X$ , namely, the empty family  $( )$  with indexing set  $\emptyset$ , and the identity family  $(x)_{x \in X}$  with indexing set  $X$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements in  $R$ . We call

$$\text{supp}(a) = \{i \in I \mid a_i \neq 0\} \subset I$$

for the *support* of the family  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ , and we say that the family  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  has *finite support* if its support  $\text{supp}(a)$  is a finite set. Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module, and let  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements in  $M$ . If  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of elements in  $R$  with the same indexing set  $I$  and with finite support, then we define

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(a)} a_i x_i.$$

We say that a sum of this form is a *linear combination* of the family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ . If the support  $\text{supp}(a)$  is empty, then we define this sum to be equal to  $0 \in M$ . We say that the family  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  is the *zero family*, if its support is empty.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $M$  a left  $R$ -module, and let  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements in  $M$ .

- (1) The family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  *generates*  $M$  if every element  $y \in M$  can be written as a linear combination of  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ .
- (2) The family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is *linearly independent* if the only family  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  of elements in  $R$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i = 0$  is the zero family.
- (3) The family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a *basis* of  $M$  if it both generates  $M$  and is linearly independent.

We say that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is *free* if it admits a basis.

EXAMPLE 1.11. (1) The left  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in Example 1.8 (3) is not a free module. The family  $(1+2\mathbb{Z})$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  but it is not linearly independent. Indeed,  $(2+6\mathbb{Z}) \cdot (1+2\mathbb{Z}) = 2+2\mathbb{Z}$  is zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , but  $2+6\mathbb{Z}$  is not zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ , so the family  $(2+6\mathbb{Z})$  is not the zero family.

(2) Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. The empty family  $( )$  is linearly independent, and the identity family  $(x)_{x \in M}$  generates  $M$ . The empty family is a basis if and only  $M = \{0\}$ , whereas the identity family never is a basis.

Let  $X$  be a set. If  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of elements in  $X$ , and if  $J \subset I$  is a subset of the indexing set of the family, then we say that the family  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  is a *subfamily* of the family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ . In particular, the empty family is a subfamily of every family of elements in  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1.12.** *Every left module over a division ring  $R$  is free. More precisely, if  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of elements in  $M$  that generates  $M$ , and if  $(x_i)_{i \in K}$  is a linearly independent subfamily thereof, then there exists  $K \subset J \subset I$  such that  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  is a basis of  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $S$  be the set that consists of all subsets  $K \subset Z \subset I$  such that the subfamily  $(x_i)_{i \in Z}$  is linearly independent. The set  $S$  is partially ordered under inclusion and we will use Zorn's lemma to prove that  $S$  has a maximal element. To this end, we must verify the following (i)–(ii).

- (i) The set  $S$  is non-empty.
- (ii) Every subset  $T \subset S$  which is totally ordered with respect to inclusion has an upper bound in  $S$ .

We know that (i) holds, since  $K \in S$ . To verify (ii), we let  $T \subset S$  be a totally ordered subset of  $S$  and consider  $Z_T = \bigcup_{Z \in T} Z$ . The family  $(x_i)_{i \in Z_T}$  is linearly independent. Indeed, if

$$\sum_{i \in Z_T} a_i x_i = 0,$$

then  $\text{supp}(a) \subset Z$  for some  $Z \in T$ , since  $\text{supp}(a)$  is finite. But then

$$\sum_{i \in Z} a_i x_i = 0,$$

which, by the linear independence of  $(x_i)_{i \in Z}$ , implies that  $(a_i)_{i \in Z_T}$  is the zero family. So  $Z_T \in S$  and  $Z \subset Z_T$  for all  $Z \in T$ , which proves (ii). By Zorn's lemma,  $S$  has a maximal element  $J$ , and since  $J \in S$ , the subfamily  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  is linearly independent and  $K \subset J \subset I$ .

It remains to show that  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  generates  $M$ . If this is not the case, then there exists  $h \in I$  such that  $x_h$  is not a linear combination of  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ , and we claim that, in this case, the subfamily  $(x_i)_{i \in J'}$  with  $J' = J \cup \{h\} \subset I$  is linearly independent. Indeed, suppose that

$$\sum_{i \in J'} a_i x_i = 0.$$

If  $a_h \neq 0$ , then

$$x_h = -a_h^{-1} \left( \sum_{i \in J} a_i x_i \right),$$

which contradicts that  $x_h$  is not a linear combination of  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ . (This is where we use the assumption that  $R$  is a division ring.) So  $a_h = 0$ , and hence

$$\sum_{i \in J} a_i x_i = 0.$$

Since  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  is linearly independent, we conclude that  $(a_i)_{i \in J}$  is the zero family. Therefore, also  $(a_i)_{i \in J'}$  is the zero family, which shows the claim that  $(x_i)_{i \in J'}$  is linearly independent. But then  $J' \in S$  and  $J \subset J'$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $J \in S$ . This shows that  $(x_i)_{i \in J}$  generates  $M$ , and hence, is a basis of  $M$ , as desired.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 1.13.** A left module over a division ring is called a *left vector space*. A right module over a division ring is called a *right vector space*.

REMARK 1.14. Let  $M$  be a left vector space over the division ring  $R$ . One may show that if  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $M$ , then the cardinality of the indexing set  $I$  depends only on  $M$  and not on the particular choice of basis. This cardinality is called the *dimension* of  $M$ . For a general ring  $R$ , two different bases of the same free left  $R$ -module  $M$  may not have indexing sets of the same cardinality.

EXERCISE 1.15. The formula

$$(a + ib + jc + kd) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -c & -d \\ b & a & -d & c \\ c & d & a & -b \\ d & -c & b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a left  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space structure on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Show that any family  $(\mathbf{x})$  consisting of a single non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4$  is a basis of this left  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector space.