

## 2. Simple modules

We first introduce the natural notion of maps between modules.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. The map  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is called  $R$ -linear if for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in N$  and  $a \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}) \\ f(\mathbf{x} \cdot a) &= f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

The set of  $R$ -linear maps  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ .

REMARK 2.2. The set  $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  of  $R$ -linear maps from  $N$  to  $M$  is an abelian group with addition defined by  $(f + g)(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  are equal, we also write  $\text{End}_R(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$ . It is a ring in which the product of  $f$  and  $g$  is the composition  $f \circ g$  defined by  $(f \circ g)(\mathbf{x}) = f(g(\mathbf{x}))$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $N$  be free right  $R$ -modules with finite bases  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$ . If  $f: N \rightarrow M$  is an  $R$ -linear map, then we let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the  $m \times n$ -matrix, whose entries  $a_{ij} \in R$  are defined by

$$f(\mathbf{y}_j) = \mathbf{x}_1 a_{1j} + \mathbf{x}_2 a_{2j} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m a_{mj}.$$

In this situation, we find, for a general element  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 s_1 + \dots + \mathbf{y}_n s_n$  of  $N$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{y}) &= f(\mathbf{y}_1) s_1 + \dots + f(\mathbf{y}_n) s_n \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_1 a_{11} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m a_{m1}) s_1 + \dots + (\mathbf{x}_1 a_{1n} + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m a_{mn}) s_n \\ &= \mathbf{x}_1 (a_{11} s_1 + \dots + a_{1n} s_n) + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m (a_{m1} s_1 + \dots + a_{mn} s_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 s_1 + \dots + \mathbf{y}_n s_n$ , then  $f(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}_1 r_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m r_m$ , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

We say that the matrix  $A$  represents the  $R$ -linear maps  $f: N \rightarrow M$  with respect to the bases  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$  of  $N$  and  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  of  $M$ . We note that it is important here to consider right  $R$ -modules and not left  $R$ -modules. With left  $R$ -modules, we would obtain “row vectors” instead of “column vectors.”

PROPOSITION 2.4. Suppose that  $M$ ,  $N$ , and  $P$  are free right  $R$ -modules with finite bases  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$ ,  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$ , and  $(\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p)$ , respectively. Let  $A$  be the  $m \times n$ -matrix that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $f: N \rightarrow M$  with respect to the bases  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$  of  $N$  and  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  of  $M$ , and let  $B$  be the  $n \times p$ -matrix that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $g: P \rightarrow N$  with respect to the bases  $(\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p)$  of  $P$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$  of  $N$ . Then the  $m \times p$ -matrix  $C$  that represents the  $R$ -linear map  $f \circ g: P \rightarrow M$  with respect to the bases  $(\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_p)$  of  $P$  and  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  of  $M$  is

$$C = AB.$$

PROOF. We let  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}_1 t_1 + \dots + \mathbf{z}_p t_p$  be a general element of  $P$ , and write  $g(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}_1 s_1 + \dots + \mathbf{y}_n s_n$ , and  $f(g(\mathbf{z})) = \mathbf{x}_1 r_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_m r_m$ . By the definition of

the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1p} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1p} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{np} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the definition of the matrix  $C$  and by the associativity of matrix product, we conclude that  $C = AB$  as stated.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a free right  $R$ -module with a finite basis  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$ , and let*

$$M_m(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{End}_R(M)$$

*be the map that to an  $m \times m$ -matrix  $A$  assigns the  $R$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  that is represented by  $A$  with respect to the basis  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  for both domain and codomain. The map  $\alpha$  is a ring isomorphism.*

**PROOF.** Every  $R$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is represented with respect to the basis  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$  of  $M$  by the unique  $m \times m$ -matrix defined in Example 2.3. Hence, the map  $\alpha$  is a bijection. Moreover, the  $R$ -linear map represented by the identity matrix  $I_m$  is the identity map  $\text{id}_M$ ; the  $R$ -linear map represented by a sum  $A + B$  of two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is the sum  $f + g$  of the  $R$ -linear maps  $f$  and  $g$  represented by the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively; and, by Proposition 2.4, the  $R$ -linear map represented by the matrix product  $A \cdot B$  is the composition  $f \circ g$  of the  $R$ -linear maps  $f$  and  $g$ . This shows that  $\alpha$  is a ring homomorphism, and hence, a ring isomorphism.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.6.** Let  $R = (R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring. The opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}} = (R, +, *)$  has the same set  $R$  and addition  $+$  but the “opposite” product  $a * b = b \cdot a$ . A left  $R$ -module  $M = (M, +, \cdot)$  determines the right  $R^{\text{op}}$ -module  $M^{\text{op}} = (M, +, *)$  with  $x * a = a \cdot x$ . Now, a map  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is  $R$ -linear if and only if  $f: M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow M^{\text{op}}$  is  $R^{\text{op}}$ -linear, and therefore, the rings  $\text{End}_R(M)$  and  $\text{End}_{R^{\text{op}}}(M^{\text{op}})$  are equal. Hence, if  $M$  is a free left  $R$ -module with a finite basis  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m)$ , then the map

$$M_m(R^{\text{op}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{End}_R(M)$$

from Corollary 2.5 is a ring isomorphism.

A division ring  $R$  is the simplest kind of ring in the sense that every right (or left)  $R$ -module is a free module. We will next consider a slightly more complicated class of rings that are called simple rings.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  and  $M'$  be left  $R$ -modules.

- (i) The *direct sum* of  $M$  and  $M'$  is the left  $R$ -module

$$M \oplus M' = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \mid \mathbf{x} \in M, \mathbf{x}' \in M'\}$$

with sum and scalar multiplication defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{y}') \\ a \cdot (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &= (a\mathbf{x}, a\mathbf{x}').\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) A subset  $N \subset M$  is a *submodule* if for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in N$  and  $a \in R$ ,  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in N$  and  $a\mathbf{x} \in N$ .  
 (iii) The *sum* of two submodules  $N, N' \subset M$  is the submodule

$$N + N' = \{\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}' \mid \mathbf{x} \in N, \mathbf{x}' \in N'\} \subset M.$$

- (iv) The sum of two submodules  $N, N' \subset M$  is *direct* if the map

$$N \oplus N' \rightarrow N + N'$$

that to  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  assigns  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'$  is an isomorphism, or equivalently, if the intersection  $N \cap N'$  is the zero submodule  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.8. (1) Let  $R$  be a ring. A submodule  $I \subset R$  of  $R$  considered as a left  $R$ -module is called a *left ideal* of  $R$ .

- (2) Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be integers. Then  $m\mathbb{Z}, n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  are ideals and

$$m\mathbb{Z} \cap n\mathbb{Z} = [m, n]\mathbb{Z} \subset m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = (m, n)\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $(m, n)$  and  $[m, n]$  are the greatest common divisor and least common multiple of  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively. The sum  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z}$  is direct if and only if one or both of  $m$  and  $n$  are zero.

- (3) Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M_2(R)$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices. The subsets

$$\begin{aligned}P_{2,1}(R) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, c \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R) \\ P_{2,2}(R) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid b, d \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)\end{aligned}$$

are left ideals, and the sum  $P_{2,1}(R) + P_{2,2}(R)$  is direct and equals  $M_2(R)$ . Similarly, the subsets

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{2,1}(R) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R) \\ Q_{2,2}(R) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid c, d \in R \right\} \subset M_2(R)\end{aligned}$$

are right ideals, and the sum  $Q_{2,1}(R) + Q_{2,2}(R)$  is direct and equal to  $M_2(R)$ .

DEFINITION 2.9. Let  $R$  be a ring.

- (1) A left  $R$ -module  $S$  is *simple* if it is non-zero and if the only submodules of  $S$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $S$ .
- (2) A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is *semi-simple* if it is a direct sum

$$M = S_1 + \cdots + S_n$$

of finitely many simple submodules.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let  $D$  be a division ring. We claim that as a left module over itself,  $D$  is simple. Indeed, let  $N \subset D$  be a non-zero submodule and let  $a \in N$  be a non-zero element. If  $b \in D$ , then  $b = ba^{-1} \cdot a \in N$ , and hence,  $N = D$  which proves the claim. Let  $S$  be any simple left  $D$ -module and let  $\mathbf{x} \in S$  be a non-zero element. We claim that the  $D$ -linear map  $f: D \rightarrow S$  defined by  $f(a) = a \cdot \mathbf{x}$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, the image  $f(D) \subset S$  is a submodule and it is not zero since  $\mathbf{x} \in f(D)$ . Since  $S$  is simple, we necessarily have  $f(D) = S$ , so  $f$  is surjective. Similarly, the kernel  $\ker(f) = \{a \in D \mid f(a) = 0\} \subset D$  is a submodule, and it is not all of  $D$  since  $f(1) = \mathbf{x} \neq 0$ . Since  $D$  is simple, we have  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ , so  $f$  is injective. This proves the claim. We conclude that a division ring  $D$  has a unique isomorphism class of simple left  $D$ -modules.

LEMMA 2.11. Let  $D$  be a division ring and let  $R = M_n(D)$ . The left  $R$ -module of column  $n$ -vectors  $S = M_{n,1}(D)$  is a simple left  $R$ -module.

PROOF. Let  $N \subset S$  be a non-zero submodule. We must show that  $N = S$ . We first choose a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x}_1 \in N$ . By Theorem 1.12, we can choose additional vectors  $\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in S$  such that the family  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$  is a basis of  $S$  as a right  $D$ -vector space. Here and below, we use that, by Remark 1.14, every basis of  $S$  as a right  $D$ -vector space has  $n$  elements. Now let  $A \in R$  be the  $n \times n$ -matrix whose  $j$ th column is  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . We claim that  $A$  is invertible. Indeed, since  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$  is a right  $D$ -vector space basis, there exists  $B \in R$  such that  $AB = I$  which, by Gauss elimination, implies that  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible and that  $BA = I$ . Hence

$$B\mathbf{x}_1 = BA\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which shows that  $\mathbf{e}_1 \in N$ . Now, given  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ , we choose  $C \in R$  with  $\mathbf{x}$  as its first column. Then  $\mathbf{x} = C\mathbf{e}_1 \in N$  which shows that  $\mathbf{x} \in N$  as desired.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.12 (Schur's lemma). Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $S$  be a simple left  $R$ -module. Then the ring  $\text{End}_R(S)$  is a division ring.

PROOF. Let  $f: S \rightarrow S$  be a non-zero  $R$ -linear map. We must show that there exists an  $R$ -linear map  $g: S \rightarrow S$  such that both  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are the identity map of  $S$ . It suffices to show that  $f$  is a bijection. For the inverse of an  $R$ -linear bijection is automatically  $R$ -linear. Now, the image  $f(S) \subset S$  is a submodule, which is non-zero, since  $f$  is non-zero. As  $S$  is simple, we conclude that  $f(S) = S$ , so  $f$  is surjective. Similarly,  $\ker(f) \subset S$  is a submodule, which is not all of  $S$ , since  $f$  is not the zero map. Since  $S$  is simple, we conclude that  $\ker(f)$  is zero, so  $f$  is injective.  $\square$