

## SCHUR'S LEMMA AND ITS APPLICATIONS

### SCHUR'S LEMMA

Let  $(V_1, \pi_1)$  and  $(V_2, \pi_2)$  be  $k$ -linear representations of a group  $G$  and recall that a  $k$ -linear map  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is intertwining between  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1(g) & & \downarrow \pi_2(g) \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $g \in G$ . We will write

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \subset \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)$$

for the subspace of intertwining  $k$ -linear maps.

**Theorem 1** (Schur's lemma). *Let  $(V_1, \pi_1)$  and  $(V_2, \pi_2)$  be two irreducible  $k$ -linear representations of a group  $G$ . A  $k$ -linear map  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that is intertwining between  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  is either an isomorphism or the zero map.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from the fact that  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is intertwining between  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , that  $\ker(f) \subset V_1$  is  $\pi_1$ -invariant and that  $\text{im}(f) \subset V_2$  is  $\pi_2$ -invariant. Therefore, since  $\pi_1$  was assumed to be irreducible, either  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$  or  $\ker(f) = V_1$ , and since  $\pi_2$  was assumed to be irreducible, either  $\text{im}(f) = \{0\}$  or  $\text{im}(f) = V_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $((V_s, \pi_s))_{s \in S}$  is a finite family of pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible  $k$ -linear representations of a group  $G$ , and that  $U \subset \bigoplus_{s \in S} V_s$  is a  $\bigoplus_{s \in S} \pi_s$ -invariant subspace. There exists a (unique) subset  $T \subset S$  such that*

$$U = \bigoplus_{t \in T} V_t \subset \bigoplus_{s \in S} V_s.$$

*Proof.* Let  $V = \bigoplus_{s \in S} V_s$ , let  $\pi = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \pi_s$ , and let  $i_s: V_s \rightarrow V$  be the canonical inclusion, which is intertwining between  $\pi_s$  and  $\pi$ . Theorem 12 in Lecture 4 shows that  $U \subset V$  is the image of \*some\* injective  $k$ -linear map

$$\bigoplus_{t \in T} V_t \xrightarrow{f} V$$

that is intertwining between  $\bigoplus_{t \in T} \pi_t$  and  $\pi$ , and we wish to show that the map

$$\bigoplus_{t \in T} V_t \xrightarrow{i = \sum_{t \in T} i_t} V$$

will do. Let  $p_s: V \rightarrow V_s$  be the unique map such that  $p_s \circ i_t: V_t \rightarrow V_s$  is the identity map of  $V_s$  if  $s = t$  and the zero map if  $s \neq t$ . We consider the composite maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{u \in T} V_u & \xrightarrow{f} & V \\ \uparrow i_t & & \downarrow p_s \\ V_t & \xrightarrow{f_{s,t}} & V_s \end{array}$$

for  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . Theorem 1 shows that  $f_{s,t}$  is zero if  $s \neq t$ , so the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{t \in T} V_t & \xrightarrow{f} & V \\ \downarrow \bigoplus_{t \in T} f_{t,t} & & \uparrow i \\ \bigoplus_{t \in T} V_t & \xrightarrow{i} & V \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, the maps  $f_{t,t}$  cannot be zero, since the top slanted map is injective, so Theorem 1 shows that the  $f_{t,t}$  all are isomorphisms, and hence, the left-hand vertical map is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$U = \text{im}(f) = \text{im}(i),$$

as we wanted to prove.  $\square$

If  $(V, \pi)$  is an irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of  $G$ , then Schur's lemma shows, in particular, that the endomorphism ring

$$\text{End}(\pi) \subset \text{End}_k(V)$$

is a division algebra  $D$  over  $k$ . In general, every finite dimensional division algebra over  $k$  occurs as  $\text{End}(\pi)$  for a finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of some group  $G$ .<sup>1</sup> We now make the very simplifying assumption that

$$k = \bar{k}$$

is an algebraically closed field, so that, up to unique isomorphism, the only finite dimensional division algebra over  $k$  is  $D = k$ . In this case, Schur's lemma implies the following result, which is also known as Schur's lemma.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. If  $(V, \pi)$  is a finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of a group  $G$ , then the map*

$$k \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{End}(\pi)$$

*defined by  $\eta(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V$  is a ring isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The map  $\eta$  is injective, because  $V$  is nonzero, and to prove that it is surjective, we let  $f: V \rightarrow V$  be a  $k$ -linear map that is intertwining with respect to  $\pi$ . Since  $k$  is algebraically closed, the map  $f$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda \in k$ , and since  $f$  is intertwining with respect to  $\pi$ , the eigenspace

$$\{0\} \neq V_\lambda \subset V$$

is a  $\pi$ -invariant subspace. Since  $\pi$  is irreducible, we conclude that  $V_\lambda = V$ , or equivalently, that  $f = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V$ , which shows that  $\eta$  is surjective.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup> If  $D$  finite dimensional real division algebra, then  $D \simeq \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $(V_1, \pi_1)$  and  $(V_2, \pi_2)$  be isomorphic finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representations of a group  $G$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then*

$$\dim_k \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We choose any  $k$ -linear isomorphism  $h: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  that intertwines between  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . (Such an  $h$  exists by assumption.) Now, if  $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is any  $k$ -linear map that intertwines between  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , then  $f \circ h^{-1}: V_2 \rightarrow V_2$  is intertwining with respect to  $\pi_2$ . Hence, by Theorem 3,  $f \circ h^{-1} = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_{V_2}$  for a unique  $\lambda \in k$ , so we find that  $f = \lambda \cdot h$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.* More precisely, Corollary 4 shows that the composition maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \times \text{End}(\pi_1) &\xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \\ \text{End}(\pi_2) \times \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) &\xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \end{aligned}$$

simultaneously make  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  a free right  $\text{End}(\pi_1)$ -module of rank 1 and a free left  $\text{End}(\pi_2)$ -module of rank 1. However, neither module has a preferred generator: There is no preferred way to compare  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ .

In Theorem 2, we considered a finite sum of pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations. In the next result, we will consider the opposite situation of a finite sum of irreducible representations, all of which are isomorphic.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $(U, \tau)$  and  $(V, \pi)$  be finite dimensional  $k$ -linear representations of a group  $G$  such that  $\tau$  is trivial and  $\pi$  is irreducible. Given a  $\pi \otimes \tau$ -invariant subspace  $W \subset V \otimes U$  with  $(\pi \otimes \tau)_W$  irreducible, there exists (a non-unique) vector  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  such that the map*

$$V \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbf{u}}} V \otimes U$$

*defined by  $i_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$  is an isomorphism from  $V$  onto  $W$  and is intertwining between  $\pi$  and  $(\pi \otimes \tau)_W$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $i_{\mathbf{u}}$  is clearly  $k$ -linear and intertwining between  $\pi$  and  $\pi \otimes \tau$ , so we must show that  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  can be chosen such that  $W = i_{\mathbf{u}}(V) \subset V \otimes U$ . We have seen earlier that every irreducible subrepresentations of  $\pi \otimes \tau$  is isomorphic to  $\pi$ . In particular, we may choose a  $k$ -linear isomorphism  $h: W \rightarrow V$  that intertwines between  $(\pi \otimes \tau)_W$  and  $\pi$ . Now, for every  $f \in U^*$ , we let

$$V \otimes U \xrightarrow{c_f} V$$

to be the unique  $k$ -linear map such that  $c_f(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot f(\mathbf{u})$ . It intertwines between  $\pi \otimes \tau$  and  $\pi$ , and since  $k$  is algebraically closed, Corollary 4 shows that

$$c_f|_W = \lambda(f) \cdot h$$

for a unique  $\lambda(f) \in k$ . The map

$$U^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} k$$

that to  $f$  assigns  $\lambda(f)$  is  $k$ -linear, so  $\lambda \in U^{**}$ . But the map

$$U \xrightarrow{\eta} U^{**}$$

is an isomorphism, since  $U$  is finite dimensional, so  $\lambda = \eta(\mathbf{u})$  for a unique  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ . We claim that for this  $\mathbf{u} \in U$ , we have  $i_{\mathbf{u}}(V) = W \subset V \otimes U$ . To prove this, we choose a basis  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  of  $V$  and write  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  as

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{u}_i$$

with  $\mathbf{u}_i \in U$ . (This way of writing  $\mathbf{w}$  is unique, but we will not need this fact.) Now, for every  $f \in U^*$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{v}_i f(\mathbf{u}_i) = c_f(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda(f) \cdot h(\mathbf{w}) = f(\mathbf{u}) \cdot h(\mathbf{w}).$$

Therefore, if  $f(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ , then  $f(\mathbf{u}_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and hence,

$$\mathbf{u}_i \in \text{span}_k(\mathbf{u}) \subset U.$$

This shows that  $\{0\} \neq W \subset i_{\mathbf{u}}(V) \subset V \otimes U$ , and since  $i_{\mathbf{u}}(V) \simeq V$  is irreducible, we conclude that  $W = i_{\mathbf{u}}(V)$  as stated. Note that the vector  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  depends on the choice of the isomorphism  $h: W \rightarrow V$ .  $\square$

## ABELIAN GROUPS

We will next show that if  $k$  is algebraically closed, then every finite dimensional  $k$ -linear irreducible representation of an abelian group is 1-dimensional. We have seen that the representation of the group  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by rotation is irreducible, so the assumption that  $k$  be algebraically closed is necessary.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. If  $(V, \pi)$  is a finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of an abelian group  $A$ , then*

$$\dim_k(V) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is abelian, we have

$$\pi(g) \circ \pi(h) = \pi(g \cdot h) = \pi(h \cdot g) = \pi(h) \circ \pi(g)$$

for all  $g, h \in A$ . Hence, for all  $g \in A$ , the  $k$ -linear map

$$V \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} V$$

is intertwining with respect to  $\pi$ , so by Schur's lemma, we have

$$\pi(g) = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V$$

for some  $\lambda = \lambda(g) \in k$ . But this implies that every subspace  $W \subset V$  is  $\pi$ -invariant, and since  $V$  is irreducible, this shows that  $\dim_k(V) = 1$ .  $\square$

We recall that the abelianization of a group  $G$  is a group homomorphism

$$G \xrightarrow{p} G^{\text{ab}}$$

with the property that for every group homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow A$  with  $A$  abelian, there exists a unique group homomorphism  $f^{\text{ab}}: G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A$  such that  $f = f^{\text{ab}} \circ p$ . This property characterizes the abelianization  $p: G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  uniquely, up to unique isomorphism under  $G$ . The group homomorphism  $p$  is surjective, and its kernel is the commutator subgroup  $[G, G] \subset G$ . In particular, any 1-dimensional  $k$ -linear representation  $\pi: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  of a group  $G$  determines and is determined by the 1-dimensional  $k$ -linear representation  $\pi^{\text{ab}}: G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  of  $G^{\text{ab}}$ . Moreover, if  $k$  is algebraically closed, then a finite dimensional  $k$ -linear representation of  $G^{\text{ab}}$  is 1-dimensional if and only if it is irreducible.

*Example 8.* The abelianization of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  is the signature

$$\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{\text{sgn}} \{\pm 1\}.$$

Therefore, up to non-canonical isomorphism, the only 1-dimensional representations of  $\Sigma_n$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  are the trivial representation and the sign representation.

## EXTERIOR TENSOR PRODUCT

We have seen that tensor products of irreducible  $k$ -linear representations are typically not irreducible, even if  $k$  is algebraically closed. We now show that the exterior tensor product of irreducible  $k$ -linear representations is always irreducible.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. If both  $\pi_1: G_1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_1)$  and  $\pi_2: G_2 \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_2)$  are finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representations, then so is their exterior tensor product*

$$G_1 \times G_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2} \text{GL}(V_1 \otimes V_2).$$

*Proof.* We let  $\{0\} \neq W \subset V_1 \otimes V_2$  be a  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ -invariant subspace and must show that  $W = V_1 \otimes V_2$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)(g_1, e) &= (\pi_1 \otimes \tau)(g_1) \\ (\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2)(e, g_2) &= (\tau \otimes \pi_2)(g_2), \end{aligned}$$

so  $W \subset V_1 \otimes V_2$  is both a  $\pi_1 \otimes \tau$ -invariant subspace of the  $k$ -linear representation  $\pi_1 \otimes \tau$  of  $G_1 \times \{e\} \subset G_1 \times G_2$  and a  $\tau \otimes \pi_2$ -invariant subspace of the  $k$ -representation  $\tau \otimes \pi_2$  of  $\{e\} \times G_2 \subset G_1 \times G_2$ . Hence, by Theorem 6, there exists  $U_2 \subset V_2$  and  $U_1 \subset V_1$  such that both the (injective) maps induced by the canonical inclusions

$$V_1 \otimes U_2 \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2 \longleftarrow U_1 \otimes V_2$$

have image  $W$ . So the square diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_1 \otimes U_2 & \longrightarrow & V_1 \otimes U_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_1 \otimes U_2 & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

is cocartesian, and the right-hand vertical map and the lower horizontal are both isomorphisms. This implies (by the five-lemma) that

$$(V_1/U_1) \otimes U_2 \simeq \{0\} \simeq U_1 \otimes (V_2/U_2),$$

which, in turn, implies that  $U_1 = V_1$  and  $U_2 = V_2$ . So  $W = V_1 \otimes V_2$  as desired.  $\square$

*Example 10.* We show that, in Theorem 9, the assumption that  $k$  be algebraically closed is necessary. The representation  $\pi: G = (\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  defined by

$$\pi(t) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is irreducible, but we claim that  $\pi \boxtimes \pi$  is not. Indeed, if  $\pi \boxtimes \pi$  were irreducible, then also  $(\pi \boxtimes \pi)_{\mathbb{C}}$  would either be irreducible or a sum of two irreducible representations. But this contradicts Theorem 7, since  $G \times G$  is abelian and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}((\pi \boxtimes \pi)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi \boxtimes \pi) = 4.$$

Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $G$  be a group. We recall that  $k[G]$  is  $k$ -vector space of all functions  $f: G \rightarrow k$  and that

$$G \xrightarrow{L,R} \mathrm{GL}(k[G])$$

are the left and right regular  $k$ -linear representations defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L(g)(f)(h) &= f(g^{-1}h) \\ R(g)(f)(h) &= f(hg). \end{aligned}$$

Since the maps  $R(g_1)$  and  $L(g_2)$  commute, we obtain a representation

$$G \times G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Reg}} \mathrm{GL}(k[G])$$

defined by  $\mathrm{Reg}(g_1, g_2) = L(g_2) \circ R(g_1) = R(g_1) \circ L(g_2)$ . We call this representation the two-sided regular representation. Spelling out the definition, we have

$$\mathrm{Reg}(g_1, g_2)(f)(h) = f(g_2^{-1}h g_1).$$

Given any  $k$ -linear representation

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{GL}(V),$$

the  $k$ -linear map

$$V \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\mu} k[G]$$

defined by  $\mu(\mathbf{x} \otimes f)(h) = f(\pi(h)(\mathbf{x}))$  is intertwining between  $\pi \boxtimes \pi^*$  and  $\mathrm{Reg}$ . We define the space of matrix coefficients (or matrix elements) of  $\pi$  to be its image

$$M(\pi) = \mu(V \otimes V^*) \subset k[G].$$

The reason for this name is as follows. Suppose that  $V$  is finite dimensional. If we let  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  be a basis of  $V$ , let  $(\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n^*)$  be the dual basis of  $V^*$ , and let

$$A(h) = (a_{ij}(h)) \in M_n(k)$$

be the matrix that represents  $\pi(h)$  with respect to  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ , then

$$a_{ij}(h) = \mu(\mathbf{v}_j \otimes \mathbf{v}_i^*)(h).$$

This shows that that space of matrix coefficients is the subspace

$$M(\pi) = \mathrm{span}_k(a_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n) \subset k[G]$$

spanned by the functions  $a_{ij}: G \rightarrow k$ , whence the name. The reason that we do not take this formula as our definition of  $M(\pi)$  is that it is not a priori clear that the subspace  $\mathrm{span}_k(a_{ij}) \subset k[G]$  is independent of the choice of basis.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. If  $(V, \pi)$  is a finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of a group  $G$ , then*

$$\pi \boxtimes \pi^* \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{Reg}_{M(\pi)}$$

*is an isomorphism of  $k$ -linear representations of  $G \times G$ . In particular, the  $k$ -linear representation  $\mathrm{Reg}_{M(\pi)}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* The map  $\mu: V \otimes V^* \rightarrow M(\pi)$  is surjective, by definition, and it intertwines between  $\pi \boxtimes \pi^*$  and  $\text{Reg}_{M(\pi)}$ . Since  $\pi$  is finite dimensional and irreducible, the same is true for  $\pi^*$ , and since  $k$  is algebraically closed, Theorem 9 shows that  $\pi \boxtimes \pi^*$  is irreducible. Hence, the kernel of  $\mu$  is either zero or all of  $V \otimes V^*$ . But it is easy to see that  $\mu: V \otimes V^* \rightarrow k[G]$  is not the zero map. Indeed, choosing a basis of  $V$  as above, we see that  $a_{ii}(e) = 1$ , so  $0 \neq a_{ii} \in M(\pi) = \text{im}(\mu)$ . So  $\mu$  is injective.  $\square$

We list some consequences of Theorem 11:

- (1) If  $k$  is algebraically closed and if  $\pi$  is an irreducible  $k$ -linear representation of finite dimension  $n$ , then

$$\dim_k(M(\pi)) = n^2.$$

- (2) For  $\pi$  as in (1), we have  $R_{M(\pi)} \simeq \pi \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi$  and  $L_{M(\pi)} \simeq \pi^* \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi^*$ , where there are  $n$  summands in both cases.  
(3) If  $k$  is algebraically closed and if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representations, then  $\text{Reg}_{M(\pi_1)} \simeq \text{Reg}_{M(\pi_2)}$  implies that  $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$ .  
(4) If  $k$  is algebraically closed and if  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m$  are pairwise non-isomorphic finite dimensional irreducible  $k$ -linear representations of  $G$ , then

$$M(\pi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(\pi_m) \longrightarrow k[G]$$

is injective.

## UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS

Our final application of Schur's lemma concerns unitary representations. A finite dimensional complex representation  $(V, \pi)$  of a group  $G$  is unitary if there exists a hermitian inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$  on  $V$  that is  $\pi$ -invariant in the sense that

$$\langle \pi(g)(\mathbf{x}), \pi(g)(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle,$$

for all  $g \in G$  and  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ , or equivalently, if the induced isomorphism

$$\bar{V} \xrightarrow{b} V^*$$

given by  $b(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  is intertwining between  $\bar{\pi}$  and  $\pi^*$ . We will now show that a  $\pi$ -invariant hermitian inner product is unique, up to scaling.

**Theorem 12.** *Suppose that  $\pi: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is a finite dimensional irreducible unitary representation. If both  $\langle -, - \rangle_1$  and  $\langle -, - \rangle_2$  are  $\pi$ -invariant hermitian inner products on  $V$ , then there exists a real number  $\lambda > 0$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V$ ,*

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_2 = \lambda \cdot \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_1.$$

*Proof.* We define  $h: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V}$  to be the composite isomorphism

$$\bar{V} \xrightarrow{b_1} V^* \xrightarrow{(b_2)^{-1}} \bar{V}.$$

By assumption, both  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are intertwining with respect to  $\bar{\pi}$  and  $\pi^*$ , so  $h$  is intertwining with respect to  $\bar{\pi}$ . Finally, since  $\bar{\pi}$  is irreducible, Schur's lemma shows that  $h = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_{\bar{V}}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , because  $h$  is an isomorphism. Finally, for any  $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{x} \in V$ , both  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_1$  and  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_2$  are positive real numbers, so  $\lambda$  is necessarily real and positive.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $(V, \pi)$  be a finite dimensional unitary representation of a group  $G$ , and suppose that  $U_1, U_2 \subset V$  are  $\pi$ -invariant subspaces with the property that the representations  $\pi_1 = \pi|_{U_1}$  and  $\pi_2 = \pi|_{U_2}$  are non-isomorphic and irreducible. In this situation, the subspaces  $U_1, U_2 \subset V$  are necessarily orthogonal with respect to any  $\pi$ -invariant hermitian inner product on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* We choose a  $\pi$ -invariant hermitian inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$  on  $V$ . Since  $U_1 \subset V$  is  $\pi$ -invariant, so is its orthogonal complement  $W_1 \subset V$  with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$ , and moreover, the composition of the canonical inclusion and the canonical projection

$$U_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} V \xrightarrow{q_1} V/W_1$$

is a complex linear isomorphism  $h = q_1 \circ i_1$  that intertwines between  $\pi|_{U_1}$  and  $\pi|_{V/W_1}$ . The orthogonal projection  $p: V \rightarrow U_1$  with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{q_1} V/W_1 \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} U_1,$$

so it is intertwining between  $\pi$  and  $\pi_1$ . Now, the composite map

$$U_2 \xrightarrow{i_2} V \xrightarrow{p_1} U_1$$

is intertwining between  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_1$ , and since these representations are assumed to be irreducible and non-isomorphic, it follows from Schur's lemma that the composite map is zero. This shows that the subspaces  $U_1, U_2 \subset V$  are orthogonal with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$ , as stated.  $\square$